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## Types of dots encountered in Arabic script

- Two types of dots must be separated

$$
\text { - } \quad(\mathrm{U}+06 \mathrm{~A} 2) \quad \text { vs. } \quad \text { ب }(\mathrm{U}+06 \mathrm{~A} 1 \& \mathrm{U}+065 \mathrm{C})
$$

- Most dots are nuqṭa '[diacritical] dot' or 'i'ğām 'providing letters with diacritical dots ${ }^{\text {s }}$
- Few dots are taškil ‘Phonetic aids (Lit. forming)’ or ḥarakāt ‘Vowel marks (lit. motions) ${ }^{6}$
- In hand-writing, these taškil / ḥarakāt are traditionally seperated from nuqta / 'ič̌ām by red color
- In Arabic script, dots are frequently used as modifiers of basic letter shapes
, Only nuqța / `i'ğăm are used to form new letters, while taškil / harakāt are used to modify existing letters
- Among taškil / harakāt, only one dot occurs regularly - the so called Warš dot < \gg
, Other exceptions include sukūn $\langle\dot{8}\rangle$, which in hand-writing could be reduced to just a dot

Arabic script as an extensible writing system: nuqta / 'i'ğām

- Originally rasm ‘Basic letter shapes (lit. drawing, outline, or pattern)‘ were written without any (diacritical) dots

- nuqta were introduced from the 7 th century onward (Bauer 1996:559) raising the specification of rasm, i.e. making letter shapes unambiguous
v nuqta were introduced to facilitate standardization of the spelling of Qur ${ }^{〔} \bar{a} n$
- Effectively, this increased the number of letters from 12/15 to 28


## Dots in Arabic script based orthographies

- nuqța / 'i'ğām were recognized by users of Arabic script wanting to write other languages as a prominent strategy
- By far the most widely encountered strategy for formation of new letters
- At least 57 out of 90 new letters encountered in Africa only are modifications of nuqta / 'iğām
- In analogy with the original dotting patterns dotting was added modifying phonological parameters (Daniels 1997), e.g. 2 dots $=$ Alveolar, 3 dots $=$ Inter-dental
- Number of dot varies from 1-4(5)


## The warš dot

- The warš dot became employed in other Arabic script writing, often much indicating /e/
- Originally technographic sign indicating the correct pronunciation (taǧwīd) of Qur'ānic texts, which specifically indicates the 'imāla, i.e., raising of the vowel [a] in certain contexts
- So called after 'Uțmān ibn Sa‘īd Warš al-Qurašī al-Miṣrī (d. 812), whose qirā̀'āt 'reading', i.e. exegetical tradition of the Qur'ān became the most widespread tradition in North and West Africa
, Used in Arabic language only in certain Qur ${ }^{\prime}$ ānic manuscripts following the Warš tradition


## Further letter formation strategies

- Apart from dotting, other strategies for formation of new letters are encountered in Arabic based script, including
- Empy ring/sukūn attached to a letter ت
- Hacek/caron ت
- Parallel $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { and crossing } \\ \text { U strokes }\end{array}$
- Superscripting of existing letters including
- $t \bar{a}^{\prime}$
- t $\bar{a}^{\text {® }}$
- mīm
, nūn ند
, $h \bar{a}^{\prime}$


## Orientation of dotting patterns

- Dotting in single groups بor in two groups بَ on multiple sides (of the letter shape)
- Dotting groups left and right or above and below بُ
- Dotting groups can be opposite or not قص
- Within groups, dots can be rotated
- Vertically j
- Horizontically j
- Diagonally
- Within groups, dots can be mirrored/inverted $\stackrel{\bullet}{\bullet}$ or simply point upwards $j$ as default rotation


## Number of dots

- Dots can number from 0 (absence of or removal of dots) to 4 within groups

| 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | B | $j$ | $j$ | $j$ |

- And can number up to five across two groups


## Summary of dot orientation and similarity in Arabic script

- Wide variety of dotting patterns are encountered in Arabic based script
- While graphic similarity might be an issue for the non-user, there is currently no indication that dotting patterns are confused by local users
- In an IT context, confusability would be highly dependent on
* the lingustic background/aptitude with Arabic script of the user । the fonts employed by the clients, e.g. the browser
- The Arabic Case Study Team of the Internationalized Domain Names Variant Issues Project, had prepared an Issues Report containing an appendix of codepoints, for which they foresaw problems of confusability due to similarity in dotting patterns


## Appendix B.2. Confusable Similar Shape with Difference in Dot Orientation

Appendix B.2. Confusable Similar Shape with Difference in Dot Orientation

| Unicode |  | Characters |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| i) | U+062A | i) | $\because$ |
| ii) | U+067A | ii) | $\pm$ |
| i) | U+062B | i) | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{*}$ |
| ii) | U+067D | ii) | $\pm$ |
| i) | U+063C | i) |  |
| ii) | U+0764 | ii) | ك |
| i) | U+064A | i) | ي |
| ii) | U+06D0 | ii) | ب |
| iii) | U+067B | iii) | ب! |
| i) | $\mathrm{U}+067 \mathrm{E}$ | i) | ب |
| ii) | U+0752 | ii) | - |
| i) | $\mathrm{U}+0683$ | i) | E |
| ii) | U+0684 | ii) | E |


| i) | U+0686 | i) | を |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ii) | U+0758 | ii) | を |
| i) | $\mathrm{U}+068 \mathrm{E}$ | i) | 3 |
| ii) | U+068F | ii) | ذ |
| i) | U+06A0 | i) | है |
| ii) | $\mathrm{U}+075 \mathrm{~F}$ | ii) | غ |
| i) | U+06B2 | i) | $\underline{3}$ |
| ii) | U+06B3 | ii) | \% |
| i) | U+075D | i) | $\stackrel{\square}{\varepsilon}$ |
| ii) | U+075F | ii) | ع |
| i) | U+0697 | i) | j |
| ii) | U+076B | ii) | j |
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