JULIA CHARVOLEN: This is Julia speaking. Welcome everyone to the ALAC Sub-Committee and Outreach Meeting on Tuesday, 5th of November 2013 at 1900 UTC. On the call today we have Olivier Crépin-Leblond, Cheryl Langdon-Orr, Wolf Ludwig, Darlene Thompson, Roberto Gaetano, Siranush Vardanyan, Pascal Bekono and Eduardo Diaz. We have apologies from Jacqueline Morris and Dev Anand Teelucksingh. From Staff we have Heidi Ullrich and myself, Julia Charvolen.

May I please remind all participants to state your names before speaking for transcript purposes. Thank you and over to you.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Thank you Julia. Hopefully I'm going to be in the Adobe Connect room momentarily, but in the absence of having an Agenda sitting in front of me I'm assuming that the very first Item will be a review of any Action Items from the last meeting. If that is the case then I'm going to ask Heidi if she'd be so kind as to cover off any Action Items and filibust for me. Over to you Heidi.

Olivier, if you're available…

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: You're back. I don't know what happened. You muted and then unmuted again. We can hear you again though Cheryl.

Note: The following is the output resulting from transcribing an audio file into a word/text document. Although the transcription is largely accurate, in some cases may be incomplete or inaccurate due to inaudible passages and grammatical corrections. It is posted as an aid to the original audio file, but should not be treated as an authoritative record.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Terrific. I'm still getting into the Adobe Connect room after a reboot, so I can't see whether Heidi heard me when I asked her to cover off any Action Items from the last call? You're also on mobile aren't you, so that doesn't help.

HEIDI ULLRICH: I'm on mute. Sorry.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: That would explain the silence. I'm just asking while I'm getting back into the Adobe Connect room whether there was any Action Items that we needed to cover off from the last call?

HEIDI ULLRICH: No, there were not. Dev and I discussed that yesterday and we were both rather happy about that.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: That's all good then.   Once the Adobe Connect room stops saying "connecting", we might get back in. In fact it looks like it's going to be that problem again. What is the next Item on the Agenda?

HEIDI ULLRICH: The next Item is the selection of Members from the Outreach Sub-Committee. Actually, this is… Hang on. Yes, selection of Members from the Outreach Sub-Committee to serve on the CROPP Review Team.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Terrific. Have we had a call go out on that?

HEIDI ULLRICH: I think that question was still remaining to be whether this was going to be some combination of Outreach and [NFBSC? 03:21].

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: I believe that I saw from their advice that it was going to be a 50/50, so five Members, one from each Region, which could include ALAC Members representing their region. Notice I'm saying Region, not RALO. As well as five from Budget and Finance Sub-Committee. Olivier, are you aware that that's been a resolution or is that up for discussion?

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much Cheryl. The status as it is at the moment is that this is all still up in the air. This is what's on the table. The Regions for this meeting here now, is for this Outreach Sub-Committee to discuss this and I hope to agree to such a thing. There will also be a separate call of the Finance and Budget Sub-Committee that will also be leading to approval of that.

Let me just give you a little bit more background on this, because I think we've skipped Item #2, which was the review of the At-Large procedure for submission of At-Large outreach request to the community and to the CROP Program. Effectively… I'm not quite sure how clued up everyone else is, having missed the last Outreach call, but effectively the RALOs are going to submit the request.

Then this Committee of CROPP Review Team will effectively look at the requests and find out if on the one hand it falls inline with the outreach work that this Committee has determined to do, and also will fall in line with the strategic plan and the Finance and Budget plan. And that's effectively the Finance and Budget Sub-Committee Members that will be able to check on this.

We need to make sure that the requests, which are going to be sent to ICANN, are going to be both useful, inline with the ICANN objectives, but also be use for At-Large as a whole. So that's why it's that important to have these requests reviewed, etc., before they're being sent over. What better to review all these requests than to have community members review the requests?

That's effectively the way… The reason why it's five members from each region is, well, of course we need to have a Committee that is regionally-balanced. And as Cheryl very well said it's regions, not RALOs. So it could very well be individual members or people who are appointed by… People from a certain region who would like to take part that might not

necessarily be part of an At-Large structure or part of a formal committee, as such, or part of the ALAC itself.

That's the reason for the whole process. And really the purpose of the call today is to select the Members for this CROPP Review Team that's come from the Outreach Sub-Committee. That's all. Back to you Cheryl.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Thank you Olivier. Now I can see the Agenda. I see that I had been given Item #3, not #2 to go through. I apologise for that. Olivier, in tag team and perfect manner, has picked up on that. I think the other matter that we might want to just…

Before we go into the selection of the Members, we do have a little bit more time devoted to Item #2 on the Agenda. We can offer a little bit of space in this call for any Members of our Outreach Sub-Committee on the call, but also for those who may be listening to the recording or reading transcripts later…

And to encourage them to put any questions or discussion points to the list. But for those of you on the call I think it would be quite useful right now to have a brief discussion and ask any questions or make any clarifying points that you wish to on this CROPP, which is the Community Regional Outreach Pilot Program.

One point I want to make however is that we have a very short period of time for this pilot program to run, and it's very much incumbent on us in

the At-Large community to make this program a success. I don't want to belabor the regions but they are important why we do need to make this pilot program a success, because when it succeeds it will be a first step into a very important part of close to autonomy on regions being able to interact and make outreach with appropriate support from ICANN, without being caught up in a slightly more cumbersome annual funding cycle.

However it is only going to be running this pilot program for the duration of this existing financial year. In other words, we only have between now and 30 June 2014. So we have half a year to run a pilot and that means that once we've got – as has been outlined in the page to do with the CROPP – an amount of time that needs to be taken up by having this new Sub-Committee Review Team look at applications and work with them very promptly.

So with that point made I think Olivier had the first hand out, followed by Eduardo. Over to you Olivier.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you Cheryl. I was just going to give a few more details of the CROPP and the process. I'm very thankful Cheryl that you've mentioned it's a pilot program and you've emphasized that point, because that's what it is. It's a one-off. It's really a test to see if something like that can work. Of course it's not going to be something that is perfect, and I guess it was put together so as to see if we could do this in this fiscal year.

As we know, financial years go from July to July. We've already gone through half of the FY14 already. This thing will run until the end of FY14, so until July. If you look at the paper there's a link over to a very, very short summary of how the CROPP works. It provides a framework for each of the five RALOs to have five regional outreach trips, funded by ICANN. The trips are only a couple of days in length. Three days, two nights, and they are regional trips.

So someone in the LACRALO region would be funded to go to an event or to something in the LACRALO region. It's not something to use and go across the world. So that was one thing. But the important thing there is the amount of time that will be required to process the applications. I know that… Dev and I have already had a chat. He'd discussed with a number of you why it would take so much time from the time the RALO submits an application to the time it's actually being allowed.

And really the first thing is that the traveller request needs a minimum of two weeks to be processed by the CROPP Review Team and that's really the minimum amount of time. When you think of it, there could be several requests coming from several different regions at the same time. We cannot get the CROPP Review Team to meet at a moment's notice within three days of receiving applications.

So you send applications over and then once every couple of weeks the CROPP Review Team would meet. Then they would be able to review whatever application is there and pass these on – if they're all well formatted – over to ICANN. And you need a minimum of about six

weeks for things to be processed by ICANN. Why is that? Well, primarily because you've got the problem of the flights, the accommodation, and all of this takes time.

So there might be times when ICANN Constituency Travel might be busy. It also has to go through various committees within the ICANN structure, so it's one of these things where it does take at least eight weeks before any travel is to take place. Bearing in mind that we are at the end of November. This pretty much stops any kind of activity in January.

We really are looking at activities that would start around February time, because it's just a very, very short length of time if you take out the Christmas holidays and the New Year, the whole festive season. It makes it a very short timeline. So you'd be looking at end of January, early February as being the first request for travel.

And as I said, it goes to July so it's a very short length of time as well. Each RALO will have five regional outreach trips. That's a lot of trips and trip applications that might be coming into this committee very quickly indeed. Originally Dev thought that this Committee was going to wait until the end of the month and collect all of the applications for travel and treat them all at the same time. We both had a discussion and I'd like to hear what the people on this call think about this.

I felt that if one were to wait for all of those trips, how would it be possible for a RALO to know if they were going to be able to attend or to send someone in March, April or May? Especially when one looks at the five different slots. I thought it was a little bit difficult to forecast so far

in advance, especially putting the pressure right now, while we're all in Buenos Aires, for RALOs and regions to come up with suggestions.

So I thought it would be better to treat these as they arrive and not actually play the requests against each other. That was the other concern. If a RALO submits five or six requests and one needs to drop one of the requests… I don't know, it just didn't feel right to do an "all or nothing" type thing. It was more of a "as you go along" and that, I think, incentivizes the RALOs to be quite conservative with their approach for their requests.

Sorry for taking that long but I thought it would help to provide you with a slightly fuller picture. Thank you. Back to you Cheryl.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: I appreciate that Olivier. I think it frames the conversation very well indeed. Eduardo, over to you.

EDUARDO DIAZ: Thank you. I just have a few comments on the Review Team. I've talked to Dev a couple of times about having people from the Finance Sub-Committee. I understand what Olivier's said about why they are there, but basically they are reviewing people going to these Outreach events; not really approving anything [17:02] at all. I mean, [17:03] already formed. That's one comment.

The other one is that we can go… There are five people, five events that we can go to. We have to say that only one person can go per trip, so if two decide to go to one event then really you have three events that you can go to. It's basically one person equals one trip. So if five go to one event, there are no more events for that RALO. I just wanted to say that.

And I'm not sure if we have a date set to advertise this to the RALOs. If we advertise this some time at the end of November then people can start thinking about February, April timeframes, based on [17:58] will be holiday seasons, which I think [some of you have? 18:01]. Thank you.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Thank you very much Eduardo. Olivier, you still have your hand up?

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: That was an old hand.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Not a problem. We all know you're an old hand. I appreciate the fact… I'd like to draw attention to everyone on this call, not to mention for the record, that we've now shared in our collaborative state the At-Large CROPP PDF, which does give us a very brief outline. Some of these points have been made but in the 60 seconds or so that we have left I'd like to read it for the record please.

The headline is as follows: "ICANN has launched a community regional outreach pilot program, CROPP, for ICANN's fiscal year 2014. This program provides a framework in which each of the five At-Large RALOs will have available five regional three-day outreach trips, funded in FY14. Here is a link to where you can find out more information…

"Similar to how RALO budget requests were reviewed by the Finance and Budget Sub-Committee, before submitting to the ICANN Finance, a CROPP Review Team will be established." And that's the matter of our very next Agenda Item.

"It's purpose will be to review and approve RALO travel requests to the CROPP to ensure that the objectives of the travel requests are inline with ICANN's strategic and regional engagement strategy; to confirm with the relevant Regional Stakeholder Engagement Vice President that the purpose or goals of said travel request are consistent with ICANN's strategic and regional engagement strategy; to submit the requests to ICANN after approval by the CROPP Review Team; and the CROPP Review Team will comprise of two Members from each region.

"One Member being from the ICANN At-Large Outreach Sub-Committee," in other words this group now, here, "and the other five, one per region, from the ICANN At-Large Finance and Budget Sub-Committee. The CROPP Review Team will therefore have ten members."

Then it's finally mentioning what we've discussed already; that it's given that travel requests need a minimum of two weeks to be processed by

the CROPP Review Team plus six weeks for the request to be processed by ICANN. "RALO leaders, in coordination with their Members on the Outreach Sub-Committee, should submit their outreach travel requests to the CROPP Review Team eight weeks before any such travel to any event for outreach purposes."

Now, with that read for the record, what we've established is not only some time courses and some milestone dates for this project, which I would like to now suggest we create as an Action Item for our Working Group here, that we do put together some project management milestone dates. Obviously eight weeks back in before the end of the financial year, is an obvious milestone date.

Things like that, because money would need to be expended or at least dedicated by 30 June, even though the travel may occur shortly after, in the first month or so of the next financial year. We also have a tier guideline for what this new CROPP Review Team needs to be. So that brings us to… It says "no other comments" although I do have a question here from Siranush, which is supported as a very good question by Wolf:

"Related to EURODIG for example, can the representative from Armenia, which is in the Asia pacific, be considered to get something for EURODIG, which is in Europe? Or is it not within the region? Just curious." Siranush, the answer Heidi gave you is in fact the absolute case for this pilot program. Remember, we are assuming that as a success of this we

may have an ongoing and enduring program that may have some adjustments.

But for the rules based on this pilot program, travel funding is restricted for activities within your designated region. Sorry about that. You'd be more than welcome to put in an application to attend APRICOT in February in Singapore. That would have a likelihood of success, but you would not be successful with an application to attend EURODIG.

And indeed Roberto has made a point that this is another good example to review the geographic regions. Yes, it is Roberto. We're always going to have border issues and with the geographic regional review I would be assuming that if/when their recommendations are accepted, the idea of self-determination on those borders, with a single unity to allocate oneself to one or other, will mean that Armenia will probably join EURALO.

That said, they would then not be able to go to an APRICOT or a similar meeting. But what we must also do is make this pilot a success so that any future rules can be discussed. I see Wolf. Over to you, Wolf.

WOLF LUDWIG: Thanks Cheryl. This is a marvelous point to come back to an old and lasting program. I've seen there were some more comments in the chat room already, the last one from Alberto. One consideration, coming back to Siranush's question would be, Siranush is officially with her ALS part of the AP region, but Siranush has for many years been participating

in almost all of EURALO's monthly calls. She is one of the most active individuals and to my knowledge she is also a usual Member of the newly created EURALO individual ALS.

Therefore it could be an option, from my point of view at least, that EURALO would ask for Siranush to attend EURODIG. This would be an interesting case to be checked and be considered from our side. I do not see any opportunity from the AP region that APRALO could send her to a European event, because it's not within your region.

But as she has this kind of double membership on an individual basis within EURALO, we could, from the European region, suggest Siranush to be invited and funded to attend EURODIG. This is just an idea or suggestion from my side. Thanks.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Thank you for that Wolf. You've raised a very important point and I think a little bit of guidance and embellishment that we might be able to give to the representatives of this Outreach Working Group here as they do their deliberations within the CROPP Review Team. And that is the fact that if indeed Siranush is not the only multiple-housed individual. I'm aware of a number of At-Large structure Members, indeed thought leaders and leaders of communities within regions who also hold roles in or Membership in other regions.

Avri and Sebastian come immediately to mind here. I think if the region EURALO was to say that they are making a request for anybody's name,

it matters not but in this case it would be Siranush, that that is a recommendation for travel support that the CROPP Review Team should take very seriously. I don't see that it would be wise for the CROPP Review Team to start dissecting the whys and wherefores for why a particular region has chosen a particular individual.

But the fact is that whomever they choose to have travel funds allocated under the pilot program to travel for outreach activities on the region's behalf within the region, would allocate one of the "per headcounts" that is available in this next period. So I don't think we're going down a rabbit hole here, I think it's a matter of if you are "insert name", it doesn't matter where your domicile is, you have to be on behalf of the region.

The issue that would be raised is of course if, for example, you are a New Zealander and North America and Europe put you forward as the nominated supported traveller, because you happen to be a Member of, for example, the individual At-Large structures in Europe and in North America, the cost for such a traveler would be vastly more expensive to take them across into other regions.

I think it would be appropriate for the CROPP Review Team to consider the equity between the regions and what would be being supported. For example if someone from New Zealand, a very expensive place to get to anywhere from, happens to be already in London and because they're a Member of an individual's ALS, a very within region amount of travel expenses going to the allocated… That wouldn't be a problem.

But I think perhaps that would be a problem if you were expecting travel and a huge additional cost of travel between the regions. I see Olivier and then Darlene. Then we'll move to the selection of the five Members whose job it will be to deal with all these exciting issues. Olivier?

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: One of the problems with working with At-Large is that the moment we're given a program we already see what it's weaknesses are and we are already all too willing to write and draft a full report about the whole program. In fact, I hope that we will keep the transcript here because what I've heard and seen on the chat discussions as well; on Armenia being a lot closer to Germany than Singapore, for example, is absolutely correct. I entirely agree.

But I have to remind you all that this is a pilot program, so part of the reason why this pilot program is being implemented this year is to specifically be able to focus on those problems and those special-case scenarios where it's thought of to start with. I think it would be very difficult for us to change the program this year so I'm really sorry Siranush, but this year it's going to be impossible as I see it.

I think what Staff wants to do at first is collect all of those special cases that we would have to do after the year is up and produce a report saying what were the good parts of the program and what were the bad parts of the program. What absolutely needed to be fixed? We haven't even started this program and we already know that one thing has to be

fixed and that's to do with geographic regions and some flexibility with regards to the "regional" outreach.

Some regions are so huge that "regional" outreach is totally meaningless in that sort of way. But I hope they'll be able to write something about it. Thank you, and sorry for that.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Thank you Olivier. I couldn't agree with you more. I have a feeling that our future report writing will include terms such as "community activities". Over to you Darlene.

DARLENE THOMPSON: I just wanted to make a point that there has been somewhat of a precedence set for this already; of having someone outside the region being invited to an ICANN meeting. Two or three years ago one of North American's EURALO ALAC people couldn't make it to a meeting and instead of sending one of our "own" to the normal ICANN meeting we chose someone from the Caribbean because she was heavily involved with a lot of the Working Groups there.

So this idea isn't completely out of the realm of possibility, because it has been done before. That's just a comment I want to make. Thanks.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Thank you Darlene. This highlights Olivier's point that the transcript and chat included of today's call is going to be vital for the wrap-up and recommendation work. We will come back to this Committee in terms of the review of the pilot program for community regional outreach. Olivier, over to you again.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you Cheryl. Thank you Darlene for reminding us of this cross-RALO collaboration, which was very welcome at the time and which I know all the RALOs have also performed since in giving their slots over to someone else from another region. I don't know – and maybe that could be an Action Item for Staff – whether the regional slots are transferrable from one region to another.

You might be opening a new can of worms, but if for example a region only has need for three slots and is ready to give two of its slots to another region, or to get someone to travel from across… If the cost is not up to a certain amount? I'm just thinking out loud at the moment but this could be something that Staff ask. The transferability of slots between the regions. Thank you.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: I think when we ask that question, and it's an excellent question to ask, we probably should make quite clear that it would be for travel support of equal value rather than various disproportionate amounts. However, what we're doing is a little bit of future thinking, which is very healthy,

EN

very good and very interesting and it's something I'm sure that we'll come back to at a future point in time.

But right now what we're going to be discussing and hopefully deciding on is at least some volunteers for… A selection of Members from our Sub-Committee to serve on this CROPP Review Team. We've already discussed this a little bit so I don't need to give you an introduction, but what we will be needing to do is seek one representative from each of the five geographic regions who wish to serve… Remembering that they will be working extensively through the December and January period.

Work will start and will be quite detailed and demanding during the December 2013 and January 2014 period. I think that's when a lot of February and March travel requests will be being made. What we need to do now is discuss how we are going to select these five people and whether or not we can do this posthaste. I'd like to think that we can. I see Wolf. Over to you, Wolf.

WOLF LUDWIG: I've a question to ask in this context of nominating people from the region for the CROPP Review Team. My first idea would be to nominate Roberto. Also for the very simple reason I could not nominate myself because I see a potential conflict of interest. As the EURALO Chair it's probably my job to submit suggestions or projects to the CROPP, so if I will be tentatively a submitter of such requests or projects, it would be a bad idea having me in this Review Team at the same moment.

Therefore in a leadership function I would necessarily abstain and I would prefer to send another person for my region to this Review Team. I see Roberto has crossed already. I take this as his approval. Thanks.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Thank you Wolf. I think I'd like to hear from Roberto because a cross usually means a decline. Roberto? Okay, he says in the chat that he strongly disagrees with what Wolf says. I'm going to let you two argue that out offline. [laughs] Roberto, you've been unmuted so if you'd like to speak that would be terrific. Over to you Roberto.

ROBERTO GAETANO: This has taken me a bit by surprise and I have to figure out how much time I can dedicate to these different activities. I thank you Wolf for having been so kind as to offer my name, but let me just think… I'm feeling already overloaded in the coming months so if I accept another commitment I want first to make sure that I can fulfill the expectations of whoever is electing. Tonight I'm not in the position to say I can accept but let me think about is, okay?

WOLF LUDWIG: Okay.

EN

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Thank you very much you two. I appreciate that. I think what that does is highlight two things from my perspective at least, and that is to have a clear set of expectations that we have upon the proposed members from the Outreach Sub-Committee, who will be selected to serve on the CROPP Review Team, and that includes time course and time commitment.

And also that rather than have… I notice we do have two people from North America, two from the APRALO, technically two… I guess we could count Siranush there as 2.5 from EURALO, if Olivier is keeping his ALAC purely as on then it'll be three or 3.5. We are not in fact joined by anyone from the African region and that does bother me greatly to try and settle this on this call.

What I think we should be doing is discussing how to do it in the absence of having all five regions represented. I see you Olivier but I'll just finish my sentence. What I would like to do is take this discussion into some decisions on the mechanism and time course, and what I would suggest is a call to our Outreach Sub-Committee list for the Members of the Outreach Sub-Committee to put themselves forward.

And then in fact if we have more than one stepping forward, either self-nominated or nominated by someone else and accepting, then we can do a quick polling amongst ourselves to ensure that in the next seven to ten days we have our five members ready to contribute. Olivier?

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much Cheryl. I was just going to answer a few questions that were not asked but have been asked. The first one is why should there be ten Members of this CROPP Review Team?   Yet another Working Group! Another Review Team! We don't have any more time to do all of this.

Well, yes and no, because the problem that we're faced with is that we do have to have a Committee that is geographically balanced and you may very well notice that today we have no one from AFRALO present here. So if today was a CROPP Review Team meeting and there was requests from AFRALO on the table then there wouldn't be anyone able to relate back to what the requests are, etc., and get all the background and so on.

We felt it was important to have at least two people from each region so that at least on the call there would be at least one person from each region, minimum, that would be able to attend. That's the reason why, and as I mentioned before, they're divided between people from the Outreach Committee and the Finance and Budget Sub-Committee.

Now, that being said, it could well be – and this is just an open question; I wonder whether you are for it or against it? – that we could have someone who is both a Member of the Finance and Budget Sub-Committee and also for the Outreach Sub-Committee that could assume the same position twice. But let's be very careful on this one. That actually means that there could be just one person from that region that would be coming from both Committees.

The thing against it of course is that that person, who is on both Committees and would be assuming twice the role, if they're not there, there's no one from that region. This is why I would not recommend something like this, but it's something which some have said, "maybe we should do something like that." I think it's better to have separate people so we at least have more resilience. Thank you.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: You can see my great big red X. That's not that I'm disagreeing with you. I disagree with the concept. I agree with you totally. I think that I am a perfect point in case. I serve on this Committee as a prior Chair, someone who I think has good grounding and background to put oneself forward and be selected as a Member of the CROPP Review Team.

However, I also serve on the Budget and Finance Sub-Committee, and if I ended up as the person holding both of those chairs I think that would be a travesty. Not because of individual capability or commitment, but because it's not giving the diversity of opinion and regional balance that we desire in this Review Team. I think it would be bad from an external viewer's perspective and I also think that if one is hit by a bus one does need one's cohort to pick up and act in some cases as proxy.

I would presume that a lot of work of the CROPP Review Team will be done not just in telephonic meetings but with online polling and various types of consensus-building, but it's absolutely essential in my view that we have two people; one embodied in representation from both the Finance and Budget Sub-Committee and our Outreach Sub-Committee.

So to that end it might be appropriate that we have our call going out for people to self-nominate or be nominated to be selected to represent our regions. From the Outreach Sub-Committee point of view I'm wondering whether it might be of use to ensure that perhaps, Olivier, yourself and Staff, are also checking that the same names are not being put forward in both processes – the process that the Finance and Budget Sub-Committee will be carrying out, and if they're going to be run in parallel that shouldn't be a problem.

The other thing is, those of us who are serving Members of both Committees can probably self-organize with the regional representatives on both Committees to ensure that this doesn't happen. So I think that we need to be given the individual responsibility, but also to ensure that Staff on your behalf, Olivier, make sure this doesn't happen. So that said, let's see if we can plan how we do this.

I'd like to suggest that a small Sub-Committee of our Sub-Committee – a Micro-Committee? – be formed to work with Heidi to quickly – and by "quickly" I mean within the next 36 or 48 hours – pen an appropriate call to go out to our Outreach Sub-Committee Members on the list, which will outline the workload and time course for what we expect of the Members of the Outreach Sub-Committee to serve on this CROPP Review Team.

And to also have an end-game of our five regional representatives being selected no later than seven to ten days. I thank Heidi for her contribution to the chat now, she says the Staff will also be creating an

At-Large Outreach Tiered workspace. Thanks very much for that Heidi. I would suggest that Dev, as current Chair, and a very important part of the CROPP – he's deeply engaged in this already – forms part of that Sub-Committee.

I'm happy to serve on that Sub-Committee and I wondered, Olivier, did you want to join us in your capacity as ALAC Chair to make sure that what we quickly put together online over the next 36 to 48 hours makes sense? And is perhaps also complimentary and contributory to what may be going on in the Finance and Budget Sub-Committee?

I see a tick from Olivier, in which case it sounds to me like he's happy to observe and gently guide. I suggest that's what you might be doing. Heidi, if you don't mind letting Dev know that he, me and Olivier have put our act together posthaste. We might want to organize a small Skype or conference call at a time that suits us, to put final pen to paper.

To that end we've got a little bit more time than had been intended on the Agenda. I think what we do have is a robust sphere and reasonable process to the selection of Members for the Outreach Sub-Committee, and it gives Roberto enough time to consider what it is that… Yes, Olivier could certainly have two hats on. He could certainly be the EURALO rep on this, should you be able to convince him to pick up the role.

So what I suggest happens now is, with the forewarning and forearming that you all now have, you go back to your regions and talk amongst yourselves so that those of you from Europe and Africa – who I trust will

be listening via mp3 or reading the transcript –, Asia Pacific and North America and Latin America and the Caribbean, all have a chance to decide who hopefully is one name that will be coming forward on this. That would be great. That formal call will go out at the 48-hour mark from today.

With that I'd like to spend a couple of moments on any calls for other outreach possibilities with ICANN regional strategies. Dev had suggested ten minutes for this. I would like to think that this may in fact be able to be something that could be picked up at our next call, but also this is exactly the sort of material that we should be discussing online and on our Wiki.

The opportunities that many of us are working with our own Regional Vice Presidents on the regional strategies, and that is to be encouraged. I believe that using the Wiki as a repository for this, and of course the yet-to-be-formed, soon-to-be-done shared calendar of activities will make a big difference to all of that.

Heidi's raised a question, asking do any RALOs wish to volunteer at the ICANN in Buenos Aires. I would suggest that that is an ideal opportunity for outreach. Whether or not one would suggest that a Latin American and Caribbean RALO would be the one most benefited by outreach, because Buenos Aires is in their region… I'm quite sure other material and some assistance if need be could be given by the other regions.

I would suggest that this is going to be a disproportionate advantage for LACRALO to be volunteering. I know Maureen, who is rapidly becoming

our outreach and ALS interactions expert, is agreeing with that. But yes, it is an ICANN meeting so we would expect mostly ICANN insiders, but there are always a few newbies and real people who come to these meetings.

I'm sure we can perhaps put up... Heidi, it might be appropriate to have a small Action Item to put up a volunteer sheet on the Wiki associated with the At-Large BA pages with some booth time? I'm already seeing Siranush and undoubtedly Maureen and a couple of others who would be happy to volunteer some time. But I do think we need to have the lion's share of this going to the LACRALO people.

With perfect timing on the top of the hour I'm now going to call for any other business. If there is any someone needs to tell me because of course my Adobe Connect room has died again. I will come back into it but...

HEIDI ULLRICH: There are no hands and we already have one volunteer for the booth.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Thank you very much Heidi. So if there is no other business and there's nobody calling for any other other business, I would very much like to ask Maureen and indeed any reports that are coming out of the Bali IGF outreach... I know Olivier did some very specific outreach during the Bali IGF with hopefully a result of some new At-Large structures coming in.

If we could also have an Action Item for a link from our Outreach Sub-Committee page and index pages, and indeed this meeting, to any of the Bali IGF reporting. I do believe that there was a lot of excellent outreach done both at the ICANN booth and in the other interactions and meetings as well.

So hopefully we can also keep an eye on any other outcomes from there. At two minutes past the hour – I apologize for being slightly above the top of the hour – if there is nothing else from anyone I'd like to thank you one and all for your time, energy, input and effort for today's call.

I hope that Dev recovers all of the things that were damaged or lost during the storm and I ask Staff to send a reminder out for the next meeting date for this Sub-Committee, along with a link to the transcript and the recording as soon as possible. Thank you one and all. Bye for now.

WOLF LUDWIG: Bye-bye, bye-bye, goodnight.

[END OF TRANSCRIPT]