

IAG-CCT
Conference Call 06
10 March 2014 – 14:00-15:30 UTC

Charla Shambley:Please press *6 to mute and unmute your line.

Rudi Vansnick:i've dialed in through skype ... in the Adobe anyway

steve delbianco:did our letter make it into the board package?

Margie Milam:the letter will be part of the board package

steve delbianco:I don't see the slides in my Adobe window

Karen Lentz: Board resolution was: "for the purpose of: (i) evaluating and reporting to the Board on the feasibility, utility and cost-effectiveness of adopting the recommendations of the GNSO Council and the ALAC; (ii) evaluating other inputs, including historical data regarding metrics used to evaluate earlier rounds of New gTLDs (2000, 2004); (iii) engaging with the GNSO, ALAC and staff in an effort to reach agreement on the metrics; and (iv) proposing a set of metrics to be compiled by ICANN for use in the future AoC Review of the New GTLD Program."

Margie Milam:Thanks Karen

Cheryl Langdon-Orr:exactly Steve

David Stuckman:Charla did you get my SOI?

Evan Leibovitch:Thanks Jonathan

Nathalie Coupet 2:Yes

Phil Buckingham:yes

Phil Buckingham:JZ +1

Phil Buckingham:Steve - I agree

Cheryl Langdon-Orr:imprtnt to add collisions

Cheryl Langdon-Orr:agree other adding the matter of availability as well

Phil Buckingham:Steve - many reasons agreed . Also currently some European registrars cant sell TLD yet .

Christa Taylor:Groups seem to make sense

Cheryl Langdon-Orr:yes @phil teasing out the why of availability would be interesting /important to capture as well

Tony Onorato:Groups make sense to me. Leaving it to Singapore seems to leave too much open until that time, esp. given that some (inc. me) will not be able to attend -- so groups could follow up on the group-wide analysis Steve recommends in conjunction with staff and execute on the framework.

Nathalie Coupet 2:What is the deadline after which some data will no longer be available?

Cheryl Langdon-Orr:not before Singapore.. kinda busy ;-) and agree add to @steve +@evan

Cheryl Langdon-Orr:yes the non board approval required but time critical data captures are the next/current priority ...I agree

Phil Buckingham:Karen - do you have the 10 -12 on the list to circulate

Cheryl Langdon-Orr:the earlier group had focused attention on trying to identify these ... thanks @karen

Cheryl Langdon-Orr:happy to sub set it sure @karen ...that might need to happen for several IMO

Christa Taylor 2:Perhaps we could add a column of what we can measure and what we currently cannot and need resources

Phil Buckingham:CLO agreed - if we ask them to . Who has the authority to do this ? can they refuse ?

Cheryl Langdon-Orr:Not suggesting other than some things need to be asked to be collected by these parties. ...they need to know we are interested IN the specific data

Cheryl Langdon-Orr:sure refusal is possible/probable @phil but no ask no get and ask now not later is the matter at hand now

Cheryl Langdon-Orr:such refusal gets noted and in itself became data

Evan Leibovitch:Even the definition of "too expensive" is a debatable judgement call.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr:exactly @evan

Rudi Vansnick:minimum - maximum limits

Cheryl Langdon-Orr:exactly @Jonathan

Rudi Vansnick:@Karen : law enforcement across all regions or only in the US ?

Mason Cole:All, need to drop off the call now....look forward to the follow up.

Evan Leibovitch:Justification is to the rest of the community... not to staff.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr:bye all thanks

Christa Taylor 2:bye

CW:Apologise for joining late. Will read the minutes.

Matt Ashtiani:thanks all!

Charla Shambley:Thank you for joining today's call.