

---

NATHALIE PEREGRINE: Good morning, good afternoon, good evening, everybody. This is the APRALO ROP call on the 6<sup>th</sup> of November 2013. On the call today, we have Cheryl Langdon-Orr, Siranush Vardanyan, Ali Almeshal, Gunela Astbrink. We have an apology from Olivier Crépin-Leblond and Maureen Hilyard. From staff we have Silvia Vivanco and myself, Nathalie Peregrine. I'd like to remind you also please state your name before speaking for transcription purposes. Thank you ever so much and over to you, Cheryl.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Thank you, very much, Nathalie. I appreciate your indulgence while we're fiddling around getting things ready. Ali, Gunela, and Siranush, I think we should be able to progress a number of things today, not the least of which will be the Working Group Charter draft that Ali and Gunela have got us [inaudible] here and that Nathalie has put up on the working space.

I would like to keep this call to no longer than the hour, because I'm very aware of the number of calls that some of you have already been on today. But what I would like to do right now to start off is just have a quick review, and I think this would be mainly to Silvia, of any outstanding action items.

Silvia, there's a group of them listed on the agenda. If you would like to respond to any that are still outstanding now, that would be excellent.

---

*Note: The following is the output resulting from transcribing an audio file into a word/text document. Although the transcription is largely accurate, in some cases may be incomplete or inaccurate due to inaudible passages and grammatical corrections. It is posted as an aid to the original audio file, but should not be treated as an authoritative record.*

---

The first one is of course to you, investigate whether turning Wiki pages into QR codes. How are we going on that?

SILVIA VIVANCO:

I consulted our IT department regarding the QR codes. Believe it or not, ICANN at the moment doesn't have a tool – an ICANN tool – to convert the URLs into QR codes, but it's perfectly okay for us to use any of the QR tools that are out there in the Internet. So that will allow us to actually convert any URL, any public Wiki URL, into a QR code.

And I wanted to mention that LACRALO has already used [inaudible] in one of the group lists that they're producing for the Buenos Aires [inaudible] obtain this tool. There are a number of commercial, even free, tools out there on the Internet. We can do it perfectly.

Another thing I found out that we have on our Wiki pages, we have a tinyurl that can be referenced in documentation also if we wanted to put – if the URL length is too long, we could use the tinyurl. But it's perfectly fine for us to go and use a tool to create a QR code.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR:

Thank you very much for that, Silvia. I appreciate that. One of the advantages of both the tinyurl and the QR code is that, of course, we can start using these things in our links and outreach activities. I think what we might do is try and control what particular free tools are used. What I'd like to do is now that we don't have an ICANN-based one, what we might do is ask the ALAC Technology Taskforce with Dev in charge of

---

that to select the most robust and reasonable free tool for all of us to use.

I use a number of them myself, and I also have a paid premium subscription service which allows me to do imaging and all sorts of clever things. But I think it's appropriate that we ask Dev and the Technology Taskforce to advise us. Undoubtedly, it would be the one that LACRALO has already used. So thank you for that one.

Moving then to the next, which is the working group to continue discussion of individuals as members in some form or other to come into each of the regional structures.

I'm going to ask whether or not – all of us have agreed that this is something we have to grapple with in our review of the Rules of Procedure. But I'm wondering, Siranush – this would be particularly for you and the rest of the leadership – because this is going to be a matter of considerable discussion and the interest to our regional representative and our At-Large structures, do you want to have a single purpose call to look at this matter and then start discussion on a Wiki and on the list?

I'll just wait until Siranush has a yay or nay on it, because if that's the case, we will carry that action item over. So what we might do is carry that action item over and note that again to my name and Siranush to continue to the planning and discussion with you, Silvia, on how we will approach that particular action item with the rest of the region.

The next one is the discussion of definitions, and again I think that probably is seen under some of what Maureen but to some extent also

---

some of what KT is doing, so I'll leave that to them until they give us a brief update. But I'm sure definitions are always a challenge and need to be grappled with. So we might want to take a similar approach to drafting some definitional options and then taking them out to our community probably in the early December period.

The next thing is to – that seems to be a replica on the agenda. I do apologize. The definitions should include the requirements for regions to find a way to engage individuals as members is a duplication. It's the same action as the one that's sitting on the second point. So we'll modify that on the Action Item page and also on the agenda.

Silvia, could I ask you to do that, please? That would be great if you could tidy those things up.

And finally, the one that one that comes to you again, Silvia, is to follow up with ICANN Legal on the issue of our name – with the full name of APRALO going into Australasia, etc. Over to you on that one, Silvia.

SILVIA VIVANCO:

I have asked ICANN Legal. However, I haven't received a response yet. But as you know, I am a lawyer by training, so I can anticipate that. I don't want to put on my lawyer hat because I don't represent ICANN in a legal capacity in my current job. But I can tell you as a lawyer that I can anticipate that if we do change the APRALO name to be a longer name and have the Australasian included, then we probably would need a addendum to the MoU with ICANN.

---

This couldn't be a big deal, actually. Just a name change. It's really – I don't anticipate any problems, but I am still waiting to hear from ICANN Legal on this one. If you allow me, I will continue pushing for that response as soon as possible.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR:

Thank you, Silvia. I appreciate that. I think if we just leave that action item open and pick it up for an update at our next call, it is of course a matter for such a change to be enacted whether we go long form or short form or simply to harmonize them so that what it says on our pages matches what it says on our MoU. That's the type of thing that would need to be dealt with at our general assembly or annual general meeting. That of course would be slated for a Singapore meeting activity.

So we do have plenty of time to deal with that, but it is something we should not lose sight of. And I don't think that we would necessarily need to hold up our rules of procedure review because we could put in a set of advisers and an alternate in those rules and then have them discussed with the appropriate memorandum in advance of our general assembly, which will be in Singapore next year – which now brings us to item three of the agenda which is our work team updates, which is all very exciting because I've got representatives of two of the three work teams here at the moment. But Maureen has in fact, I believe, done a little bit of updating, and I may ask Silvia if she can find the latest e-mail or update from Maureen and kindly have it ready to either read to the record or get Nathalie to post up after we go through the other report.

---

I'll start off KT because I can. Over to you, KT.

KARAITIANA TIAURU:

Okay. I don't actually have too much to update. I left the meeting early on our last meeting, and I had some trouble finding the minutes on the recording of the meeting. And I also confess I'm not e-mailing ICANN staff for the link. So that's probably the extent my update is at the moment. Thank you.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR:

Thank you, KT. And you're right. It's a very important issue, one that I now gave to ask Silvia to follow up on. Because it wasn't that you couldn't find the link. Unless they're gone in the last 24 hours, those links are not on our last meeting page. There is no MP3, there is no transcription, and there is no summary minutes and the only reason that there are action items is because I copied and pasted them in after I took them from Silvia's e-mail and it was sent to all of us after our last meeting and because I was putting them into our agenda.

So I do understand that in the rush of blood to the head activities that happened before an ICANN face-to-face meeting there is strain on our staff including our transcription staff. I'm only assuming that that is the issue. But Silvia, if you can keep an eye on that and make sure that that page does get updated and indeed the material from today's call doesn't fall into a black hole despite the fact that everybody is gearing up in pre Buenos Aires meeting activities, that would be good. So that's kind of a little issue. Just go ahead, Silvia.

SILVIA VIVANCO: Yes. Actually, the recording and the transcripts are there on the Wiki page. They have been uploaded within 48-72 hours, actually. Perhaps that if you couldn't find it, I can't think of anything because I actually saw the recording and the transcript.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Silvia, put it this way. It's not only KT that can't find it. It's Cheryl. I couldn't find it. When I put today's agenda up in the last, whatever it was – 36 hours – there was no links to any other meeting material on the last month's call.

So if you go back to 17<sup>th</sup> of October, in the Meeting page of the 17<sup>th</sup> of October, none of those uploads had occurred at least 36 hours above. And the only reason that there is an Action Item page which had data on it, the pages exist – but the only reason that there is any data on it is because I personally uploaded that material. So just double-check on that please.

Gunela, I saw your hand raised. Go ahead.

GUNELA ASTBRINK: Yes. Thanks, Cheryl. When I've been looking around the various pages, I did come across I think the meeting minutes from the last meeting on the 10<sup>th</sup> of October. Is that correct? With the – no. The transcription isn't there.

---

What I wanted to raise with this point is that I've been trying to find various documents as I've been working on the draft charter and I'm finding that some things aren't in chronological order on the left nav bar, and this is a bigger issue rather than this past [inaudible] minutes in transcriptions. And it's something I'm wondering if we can review maybe not as part of this working group but look at how all those meetings and the minutes are structured to make it easy to find things for people.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR:

Gunela, it's Cheryl here. I couldn't agree with you more, and you will be unsurprised I suspect to realize when I now tell you that that is something that has been raised before. It's the bane of the chair of the ALAC's life. He gets somewhat increased blood pressure from time to time when he gets into this topic. So be careful not to talk about this in front of Olivier lest he gets irritable.

But he has in fact for the ALAC and At-Large pages asked for some review hieratical work and remodeled the tree structure to be done. Matt Ashtiani was the lead staff on that. But I do know – and you'd be more than welcome to contribute because I know Alan Greenberg was one of the other people apart from myself and a couple of others who again to assist with that. Now, they're all very busy but I think the other thing was we have a likelihood that some of our navigation and page where we store things is going to shift slightly as the new ICANN website gets done. But that's no excuse for delay. So with your keenness on this, I might put your name forward to Olivier and just leave immediately after Buenos Aires. This activity can be resuscitated,



---

because I'm sure it's frustrating for not just you but for a number of other people. I see Silvia who might be able to give us some more information. Over to you Silvia.

SILVIA VIVANCO:

Yes. Actually, regarding the transcripts, I went back and perhaps the issue is that the links look as if there is nothing linked, but they are linked. If you click on where it says "Recording," you click on it and it opens up automatically. The same with the transcript. That's probably the issue.

But in general, the comment I would like to [inaudible] and from Siranush that it's difficult to find stuff from the Wiki, so I raised the issue with Matt, and what we can do now that we see each other in Buenos Aires is to sit together – Matt and myself, whoever needs some guidance – and we can sit all together and look at the Wiki together and see the difficulties, identify what the problems are and see how we can better organize the Wiki so everybody can see stuff and delete things or links that are duplicate, etc. So I have already raised this issue and you will find the time perhaps after our APRALO Meeting that we have. We can do that, or at any moment we can sit and do it. I just wanted to mention that.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR:

Thanks, Silvia. It is a point of considerable annoyance and something that has been going on for some time. Gunela, did you want to follow up on that?

GUNELA ASTBRINK:

Yes. Thanks, Cheryl. I just made a note in the chapter. Yes, having my old librarian type hat on, there needs to be topic headings and subheading sound of that, a chronological arrangement. All of these things would really help to make it a logical arrangement that is intuitive for people to view.

I won't be in Buenos Aires, but I'm happy to help on a small working group or whatever to do this – but it's going to make a huge difference for everyone I think to find material quickly and easily.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR:

Fantastic. Thanks very much for that. I appreciate that offer, and I think Silvia and Matt and the rest of the team will also appreciate that as well. So, Silvia, can you make sure that our APRALO resident librarian can also be involved in helping us get this to a better model. Fantastic. Thanks a lot.

So what I'd like to do now with – Gunela, you've already started speaking but you and Ali are the next [inaudible] of the rank, and on the shared space is the in-group charter, which I'm going to ask you to take us through. But what I'm going to ask the rest of the team – and I note Lianna has joined us. Welcome, Lianna. Thank you for joining us. This working group charter with some – we'll treat this as the first read through at this meeting and then I'll ask you all to do a second read through independently, and then we can perhaps agree online what, if any, edits might need to be made to it and then I'm going to ask

---

Siranush with her vice chair's hat on to assist me in taking this forward to the rest of the region for discussion and, I trust, approval.

Just before you dig in, the two "to be determined" or "to be announced" things on the front page which is the charter approval date, clearly without a crystal ball – and even perhaps with one – we won't know that just yet. But I did want to speak to the appointment of a CO, which means Chartering Organization liaison or liaisons. If I may just hope you all understand what that actually means.

Throughout this charter you'll see references to the GNSO Working Group Guidelines. And when those GNSO Working Group Guidelines were put together – and they were put together with considerable input from lead thought leaders from the ALAC, myself included, with a view to making a set of guidelines that we're going to be more universally applicable not only for the GNSO but the ccNSO, for cross-community working groups and of course the ALAC and At-Large.

Now the chartering organization in this case would be APRALO. The role of a liaison or CO, or chartering organization liaison, is very specific and has some very particular role under those guidelines and whilst I encourage you all to read in full, I just wanted to bring you all up speed now and see if we can agree on something before I get Gunela and Ali to go through their drafting.

The purpose without going into all of the details of the CO liaison is to act as a formal bridge and dispute resolution and reporting mechanism between our work group and the chartering organization. So for example if KT suddenly became irritable with the fact that I can never

---

his name and I constantly call him KT and he felt that was in some way affecting his ability to be performing in this work group, if he and I couldn't work that out and he had a complaint to make, it would go through the CO liaison back to the work group chartering organization, which will be in this case APRALO.

Similarly, if we had a situation where there was a lack of consensus and there was a concern that without reframing questions we were asked or task we were given but we would not be able to proceed further, that information and the resolution of that which maybe a changing of that charter, for example, to have a more specific or more narrow or more particularly focused questions to be asked and answered would go back to APRALO via the liaison.

So there's a bunch of really specific things that the liaison does. They often – and my view should act as a full and interactive part of the working group. So there is no reason why one of us cannot take that role, but if we were to make a suggestion on who should be appointed, it appears to me that since we have one our vice chairs who has a direct therefore link to the leadership of the RALO as one of our work group members, Siranush would be an absolutely ideal candidate to have their name put forward as the appointed CO liaison.

So with that highly biased [inaudible] of both information and recommendation under our belt, I'm going to pass it over to Ali and Gunela to take us through this terrific and I think very robust charter that they've put together for us. Over to you.

---

GUNELA ASTBRINK:

Okay. If Ali is happy, I will take the lead on going through this charter. Ali was limited in time and asked if I could take the leading role in drafting this [inaudible]. And we had a sub-team meeting, as said by Cheryl, that was very helpful in guiding us in the process. And staff put together a list of very useful references of other charters and within ICANN, and from that I reviewed those charters and thought that maybe [inaudible] to go could be one of the charters from a GNSO Working Group.

And so I used that as a model and that is what you'll see in front of you with the purpose and the name – and thank you very much, Cheryl, for editing part of this to make it clear as to the purpose. So if we can go through page by page very briefly we'll see we have the work space URLs, the mailing list, which I think I probably was one of the first to use today, the [inaudible] reference and link to the APRALO action items.

Then we have the important document link as a reference. I won't [read it out], because they're obviously there. Then, importantly, the mission [inaudible]. Again, I don't think I need to read this out, but it's [inaudible] as you know to review the Rules of Procedure. And we have reference there. The initial Rules of Procedure [inaudible] and modifications in the [inaudible] and/or some mention that APRALO is the first one to do a review, based on the new [inaudible].

And then we have the objectives and goals. So again, developing a revised [inaudible], taking into account the [inaudible] ROP, the [inaudible] for the region, the relevant ICANN bylaws, and the metrics [inaudible] under development.

---

Of course the deliverables and timeframes, and we've got the work schedule which is [inaudible] develop the charter, and the structure which KT has worked on [inaudible] review the relevant document and [inaudible] the APRALO ROP [inaudible], and then other tasks as determined.

Frequency. Well, we know we're meeting monthly and [inaudible] put estimated fortnightly [inaudible] point. And we have the timeframes there to do the draft. Final by the 8<sup>th</sup> of March. And based on community feedback, they had it finalized at the Singapore meeting.

And then the membership criteria, and that's [inaudible] all APRALO members [inaudible] and their resolution would be once the deliverable timeframe have been completed, but also that if there's any assigned additional tasks, that it's mentioned there as well.

Working Group roles. The assistance of the ICANN staff is noted, and then the standard Working Group roles, [inaudible] and duties. And this is [safe] on the GNSO Working Group guidelines. And in Red – and Cheryl has highlighted this – it's the process [inaudible] APRALO Working Group [inaudible] GNSO Working Group guidelines of this Working Group.

Are there any comments so far about this?

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: [inaudible] good.

---

GUNELA ASTBRINK:

Okay, I'll go ahead. Rules of engagement. Again, it's proposed that this APRALO Working Group adopt this definition. It seemed to make sense to use something that has operated successfully in other settings, so it's there.

And then moving right along to the problem issue escalation and resolution processes. Again, that's based on the GNSO Working Group guidelines. [inaudible] Working Group self-assessment, working group [inaudible] upon the delivery of a final report, unless it's assigned additional tasks or follow up by the leadership of APRALO or ALAC. And also just noticed this wording. "A plan for periodic review and update of [inaudible] should be discussed an action by APRALO after the adoption of a modified set of Rules of Procedure."

Okay. And then we've got the charter history. I did the initial text 4<sup>th</sup> of November. Cheryl made edits on the 5<sup>th</sup>. I made some very small edits on the 6<sup>th</sup>. And we've got the [inaudible] contacts and e-mail at the bottom. That's about it.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR:

Thank you very much. I'm giving you and Ali a round of applause because I think this is one of the more robust and reasonable charters I've seen put together in might I note in a very small period of time. Now you two have done the heavy lifting on this, and I would like to think that we will all now do another secondary through in the next, say, 24 to 36 hours, or [inaudible] 48 hours for you because I'm feeling generous. My husband's just passed me a large glass of white wine, so

---

that's improved my mood. It is that time of the day here in Australia, you see.

But all joking aside, I would like to just point out to you and Ali that what you two have done here has, in my experience, taken slightly larger groups I admit – core drafting teams or charter teams – in other parts of ICANN in excess of six to eight weeks to get to this stage. Well done. Though I wasn't going to tell you that until you did it so much better than everyone else. Congratulations are in order, however. Okay.

So let's then take a few moments to have any discussion on this. What I am proposing we do – and I trust Siranush you are supporting me here on this proposal from your VC position – is that we take the next two days, 48 hours, for our members of this work group to have a read through the charter, see if there's any changes, explanations, additions, etc. to go onto it and then we will put it through a course for our regional review. And I would like to think that we can ask the regional review and feedback to probably only take somewhere between the next five to seven days after our 48.

That's deliberately planned so that we can have a final decision and approval on it, and many of us are actually gathered in Buenos Aires face-to-face, and of course when we will be having our next APRALO meeting that will be running [inaudible] meeting as well. So I would suggest, Silvia, that another action item here is to have the approval of this charter on the next APRALO meeting agenda – and I suspect that Siranush might be the person who would be putting that motion forward, if that needs – and I think it probably does need – a formal motion.



---

Gunela, you still have your hand up.

GUNELA ASTBRINK: Yes, thank you, Cheryl. I just wanted to clarify with Ali – I know Ali is very busy – in regard to sharing the pen-holdership, so to speak. If Ali wants to make any comments about the joint decision of pen-holder and maybe who should be the primary pen-holder in this situation for the charter.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Ali, over to you. Voice if you have it.

ALI ALMESHAL: Thanks, Gunela, and thanks for the input on that. Yeah. Thanks, Gunela. Thanks, Cheryl. I would prefer to keep it as is. You will be the primary. I'm really trying to learn as much as I can [inaudible] into that, and it's a very much good learning experience for me. So if you don't mind, just keep it as is. I will continue working with you on that until we get it up and running and finalizing that. Thank you.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Thank you, Ali. Okay, Gunela, back to you.

GUNELA ASTBRINK: Yes, thank you very much. So I will be primary pen-holder for this and I'll look forward to working with Ali as we go forward.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Excellent. Thank you very much for that. I would suggest if you and Ali want to have a little think about next steps, that with this charter off your plates by the end of the Buenos Aires meeting, it might be an idea and I would suggest you work with KT and also Maureen to see whether or not, as all of the pen holders working together, you might be able to put together a little bit of a set of dates or key milestones working back from the Singapore meeting. Basically, a more detailed or graphical form that will complement the time course material that is in the charter. But I'm certainly not suggesting that starts before we get the other side of Buenos Aires. We're all far, far, far too busy.

The next pen-holder is of course Maureen and she has presented her apologies for today's meeting. But I do know that she has done some e-mailing and some updating on some of the Wiki pages, but I think they're actually the ones that are associated with the regional secretariat's meeting.

So to this end, what I'm going to do is ask Silvia if she could give us a very brief update on the types of activities that Maureen has been continuing with.

SILVIA VIVANCO: Yes. Maureen has actually put together a series of comments on the regional secretariat agenda. The meeting was supposed to take place on November 1, but it was postponed. So she will be on the next meeting. The meeting will be held on Friday the 8<sup>th</sup> – this coming Friday – and Maureen will be there to collect input from the RALOs. So far, she didn't

---

get a response or feedback from the RALOs. We believe it was because most of the RALO leaders and key people were at the IGF in Bali. So we are hoping to see more feedback on her comments and ideas on the metrics issue during Friday's meeting.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR:

Thank you very much for that, Silvia. There's a couple of issues from that. The first one is that there is a great deal of synchronicity or overlap between the work Maureen's doing on metrics and what is going to be applicable to us and our metrics.

She is also obviously engaged in the outreach to our ALSs as well, and I would suggest that at the Buenos Aires meeting, because Maureen will be there as she takes her seat in the At-Large Advisory Committee at that meeting, that if Siranush and Silvia and myself, if at all possible, but if not certainly without me, can perhaps get together for a bit of a chat on appropriate key dates and mechanisms for outreach, because our work in the early December and then January period is going to be critical and I'm very keen to make sure that we've done a little bit of prior planning to prevent a very poor performance on that, which I think if we take the opportunity of having little face-to-face plotting and planning meeting, that would be a good thing indeed.

Ali, are you going to be attending Buenos Aires or not? Excellent. Ali, I'd like to think that whilst your learning curve is being rapidly expanded, if Silvia makes sure of things, you'll be in Buenos Aires that you're included on any of those face-to-face meetings. That would be great.

---

And then you could ask as a conduit back to Gunela on anything that affects your particular sub-team.

Lianna, are you attending Buenos Aires? Excellent. Okay, let's add Lianna to that list. So whenever two or more of you are gathered together, please make sure that you touch base with Maureen and Silvia so that things are coordinated.

KT, are you dragging your bones to Argentina?

KARAITIANA TIAURU: No, I'm not.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: What a wise man. [laughs]

KARAITIANA TIAURU: No comment.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Yes, yes. So I think what we might do then is let the group that are going to be face-to-face start doing the heavy lifting in BA and give Gunela and KT a little bit of a break just for that [10] days.

Now, KT, I'm very concerned that you're not getting a whole lot of feedback from the work and proposals that you have put up on the Wiki page already. What do you want to do with that? Because we need to [push] that I think. Did you [inaudible], for example, as a remote

---

participant at the Buenos Aires APRALO meeting or do you want to take part of a standalone or special purpose teleconference? What do you want to do with that?

KARAITIANA TIAURU:

I'm quite flexible. I'm not 100% sure what the best solution is. What thought I did have was the people that I know from ICANN meetings that are part of APRALO is considering to e-mailing them and trying to persuade them to provide some feedback. Yeah. [inaudible] for wider participation.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR:

Okay. Thanks for that, KT. What I might do then, Silvia, if we could have then say a 5-minute time allocation specifically to discuss this issue of specific feedback. It won't be the charter by then, but it will be outreach and feedback to drafting from the work group at the APRALO meeting during Buenos Aires.

KT, if you can join remotely, depending on how grossly inconvenient the time will be, because Buenos Aires I think is something like UTC +3 and you're UTC+13. We'll see how we go. But if you can join, that would be great. If not, I'm sure someone can act as your proxy and you can brief them before that.

Can I ask, Silvia, if you'll make sure that happens on the agenda. And Siranush, if you don't mind explaining to Holly what the rationale for all of this time taken for our rather important work is going to be. By my reckoning, I'm seeing probably a 13-15 minute commitment out of the

---

APRALO meeting in Buenos Aires to the matters wanting to be raised by this work group, and we'll sort out our time allocation from that 13-15 minutes.

Speaking of minutes, I see that we only have 10 minutes to the top of the hour and our desired ending of meeting time. Because we have a couple of people who are unable to join this call and because we've had one or two readings of the general APRALO rules, I'm wondering – and this comes back to you KT – you've done a first blush at what could be in your drafting appropriate sections and framing of our rules. Do you want to leave it until after BA to perhaps go through either as a single purpose call of one of our work group meetings to go through line by line your drafting and our existing procedural guidelines, or do you want to try and get an online interaction? I [inaudible] online interactions, because you haven't managed to stir up very much at the moment. What do you want to do there, KT?

KARAITIANA TIAURU:

I perhaps prefer if our Working Group could make an effort to have a good read through it, and perhaps try and make some comments prior to having a phone conference about it where we could perhaps go through line by line [inaudible]. The quickest way would be in between meeting. It would certainly save a lot of time.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR:

All right, terrific. Thanks for that [end], KT. Silvia or Nathalie – and I suspect it could be one or other of you, it might even be Nathalie – recognizing that we won't be meeting as a work group before Buenos

---

Aires, can you tell me what the date of the next Working Group meeting is going to be for us?

SILVIA VIVANCO:

I think that it's safe to say that usually, as you know, after the meetings, there is the following week, the last week of November, most people are returning to their home countries and they usually take some time off. So it would be safe to say that we can schedule anytime during the first week of December.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR:

Okay. Nathalie, can you have a look at when our normal date would be and when we can adjust if it does fall in that week after Buenos Aires?

NATHALIE PEREGRINE:

Surely. [inaudible] I'll have a look.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR:

Thank you very much. To that end, the reason I'm raising this issue now when it really is I suppose coming in for our next meeting, item number six on our agenda, is to assist KT in getting the feedback that he needs. I'd like us during the Buenos Aires meeting for each of us to take on a personal piece of homework, and that is to take the personal approach. For every time, at least once – no harm if we do it two or three times, as long as it's not the same person. Two or three of us can do it to one person, but one person shouldn't repeat it three times. Every time we interact with a fellow member from our region, and particularly if they

---

are a lead in the At-Large structure of our region, if we could engender some excitement and interest in them to go to these Wiki pages and to start giving us feedback. I think it's part of our job as work group members to raise the awareness and try and engender some interest and excitement. We have the opportunity while we're face-to-face.

Over to you, Siranush. We can't hear you. You might be muted. That would be \*7 to unmute if you're on audio. Okay. All right. Siranush has posted to the chat her point. It's a point of clarification. She's just wanting to clarify the next steps, and her question follows. "Is this the draft which I am going to send to the Asia-Pacific RALO list, or if I'm not mistaken, is Ali and Gunela going to do some final changes and then send the document in a few days after which we will send it to the list for comment?"

You are correct, Siranush. We are giving our work group members the next 48 hours to dot the Is, cross the Ts, and make any comments or edits or proposals to the edits to the charter, and then after 48 hours and a final draft will be formulated from that input. Then that would be what we would ask you to take forward to the region for approval.

Now, what I would like to do is suggest, Siranush, with your indulgence, may we be so bold as to put your name in as a proposed appointed chartering organization liaison? And basically, unless you tell me no, I'm going to assume that the answer is yes. And so, Gunela can update this now to reflect you being the proposed – no, the liaison from the chartering organization is an integral part of the charter, and so who that is in fact part of the agreement of the whole region, certainly not just the APRALO chair. So if you are willing to take on that role, what we



---

will do is put in the word “proposed” before your name, and then at least it helps people when they’re looking at the draft charter come to terms with what we want to do.

Now, at just at the top of the hour, what I’d like to do is bump number five, which is the timeline and next steps, to a face-to-face meeting activity in Buenos Aires as we discussed earlier and call for any other business. I’ve just been bumped out of the Adobe Connect room, so if there’s hands up, could you just tell me please.

SILVIA VIVANCO:

I’m just looking at the agenda of the APRALO monthly meeting in Buenos Aires and I don’t see on the agenda this issue of the Rules of Procedure. [inaudible]. So we would need to add one more item here to this review.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR:

Okay. In terms of what I’d like you to do then, Silvia, and knowing how far in advance these agendas need to be given to staff so that they can be put up online and indeed translated into our three working languages, Holly will have done, as I often do, a best guess on the agenda.

So if we can make an addition to the agenda, with Holly’s agreement obviously, I’ll ask you to [inaudible] ask Siranush to support you in that, that would be great. And if not, we will be raising it under any other business. So tell Holly we’re going to take the time whether she likes it

---

or not and it would behoove her to give us the 10-15 minutes in the agenda if at all possible. We can maybe cut it as low as 8. Thank you.

Are there any other any other business? If not, I'm going to ask Nathalie whether or not she's tracked down the likely date of our next meeting.

NATHALIE PEREGRINE: Yes. It will be as Silvia said, during the first week of December. We can send out a Doodle to cover that first week.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Okay. Does it need to be a Doodle or does it just need to be the same day and time as this? Because we did establish that we would not rotate time.

NATHALIE PEREGRINE: As the day has changes from time to time, the time hasn't, so we can very happily record the first Wednesday of December at 6:00 UTC [inaudible].

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Okay. What is the date on that one, if you don't mind helping me for that? The first Wednesday in December is what day? I know. It's terrible, isn't it? I'm not ready to book up my December.

NATHALIE PEREGRINE: It's the fourth of December [inaudible].

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: All right then. So perhaps if all of us could put that in – pencil it into our calendars and we could distribute that as a proposed next meeting date, that would be terrific. Thank you very much, Nathalie. I'll leave that up to your expertise.

In which case, I'm not going to ask is there any clarification of any action items, Silvia, that you need from this call?

SILVIA VIVANCO: No, I think it's all clear. Thank you.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Terrific. Excellent. So with that, we did start our call a couple of minutes past the hour, so I don't feel at all guilty about finishing the call a couple of minutes past the hour. I just want to thank each and every one of you for your time, your energy, and your contributions to today's call. It may not seem like it, but trust me, for all the work groups and drafting teams I've been involved with in the last 10 years of ICANN, you are all progressing very well indeed.

Thank you, Natalie. Thank you, Silvia. Thank you one and all and we'll talk to some of you in Buenos Aires. Safe travels to those who are. And I hope that the people who are not able to join us will be able to join us remotely for the APRALO call. If not, fourth of December. And thank you one and all. Bye for now.

---

SILVILA VIVANCO: Thank you so much, everyone.

[END OF TRANSCRIPT]