
JULIA CHARVOLEN: Welcome everyone to the APRALO ROP Review Working Group on Tuesday, 24th of September 2013 at 20 UTC, 22 UTC, I'm sorry. On the call today we have Cheryl Langdon-Orr, Siranush Vardanyan, Karaitana Taiuru, Gunela Astbring, and we have Lianna Galstyan, I'm sorry, who has just joined.

We have apologies from Ali Almeshal. And from staff we have Heidi Ullrich, Silvia Vivanco, Matt Ashtiani who will be joining a little later, and myself Julia Charvolen. May I please remind all participants to please state your name before speaking for transcript purposes. Thank you very much and over to you.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Thank you very much Julia. And I'm delighted that we've got a couple of other people coming into the call, we were getting a little nervous at half past the hour, so welcome indeed to Lianna and Gunela. You ladies had perfect timing. We appreciate that.

We're going to do a little review on the action items from our last call. And our last call was somewhat underrepresented in membership that we had – we had quality if we didn't have quantity. It was myself, Ali Almeshal, and KT. Now each of us took various action items, and we were bold enough to suggest that others could be made.

But I do want to go over those action items, because one of them in particular needs to be reviewed. The first of the action items which we

Note: The following is the output resulting from transcribing an audio file into a word/text document. Although the transcription is largely accurate, in some cases may be incomplete or inaccurate due to inaudible passages and grammatical corrections. It is posted as an aid to the original audio file, but should not be treated as an authoritative record.

can check off as done is Matt was to setup a mailing list for this working group. I know that's happened, and I'm assuming that we've also got at least two types of subscribers.

One we've assigned as active work group members and the other is a list subscribe classification. Now, of course, the way that action item reads, if we've setup an open mailing list, we've setup an open mailing list.

The two types of subscribers will actually be recorded differently in our Wiki page. So Silvia and Julia, I guess that's going to be coming back to you guys and Nathalie to ensure that when we record attendance from our meetings, we might also just mention each time that the mailing list is open and subscribers are welcome to contribute at any stage, through that order in Wiki because we do want to be open and inclusive but [?].

The next one was Ali, he had [?] head, agreed to [?] he would be a pen holder for drafting the scope and some of the desired outcomes and deliverables for this work group. His intention is possibly leading us to a work group charter to go back to APRALO with.

He has since contacted me with two things. Outlined his apologies for today's call and making it very clear that right now and for the next couple of weeks, he is highly committed with other work activities and so he felt that it was probably not a wise thing for him to attempt to be a pen holder, especially as a novice penholder in our system.

And so on this action item was modified and the reason I have done an off record for our meeting, that he has requested that we call for a co-pen holder or a primary pen holder so that he can work with someone,

but that someone who can – willing to carry this through this week or two, perhaps even three, activities. This is pretty important.

I just want to flag it now as a review action item, and I suggest we might come back to that as I have an opportunity in agenda item three for a little work team update. So if you don't mind, we'll just note that now and discuss that when we get to the next action item because I want to go to KT because he was wise enough to suggest that he might take a look at the headings of the new ALAC rules of procedure and see if any of those are applicable to our regional requirements.

And also we're going to make sure that any of our plans were going to stick with the ICANN bylaws so that we have consistency. One of the things when we were discussing this last week's, that we thought was particularly interesting was that Silvia pointed out that we were probably going to be the first RALO to go through this particular process of reviews of our ROPs, which is a wholesale review rather than a by necessity to do something, adjustment review.

And that the closer we got to something that could be colonized across other regions, the better it would be for all of us. So to that end, KT I know has started on that. And again, I'm going to ask KT to hold at the moment because you do have a reporting opportunity in a moment or two. I'd like to just mention to you however, obviously Julia did send out the Doodle and we're all organized here on the call, one of the other things that I think I'd like to review is that we haven't been given a particular time course, or charter limitation for our work.

But we did discuss as an action item as such, but we should probably let you all know, that we would be aiming to do is have something substantial for the region's consideration to discuss that the face to face meeting that we assume that we will be able to have when we gather at the Singapore meeting next year.

So we're looking at deliverables being really strictly achieved in the March period of next year, at that then allows us to modify and gain full consensus across the region, we hope, for the June meeting and perhaps we can ratify in London. So that was our intention and now I'd like to – we'll take that up again in discussion, but now I'd like to get over to KT who is the only current pen holder on the call. Over to you my dear.

KARAITIANA TAIURU:

KT speaking. So as discussed last week, I've singled some headings from the ALAC document and they're on our Wiki, I'm assuming everybody has had a chance to look at that. It maybe – some of these headings maybe too much detail for RALO, I'm not sure. But it's certainly there for discussion.

And I didn't have a chance to fully go through the ICANN bylaws, so I have to copy those into our workspace so that we can all become familiar with what's in there. Yeah. So I guess maybe [?] from here, but I assumed that everybody's familiar with the ALAC rules, everybody's familiar with the old APRALO rules, and I also assumed that everybody is seemingly familiar with the ICANN bylaws.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: [Laughs] Cheryl here for the record. Having a giggle because I think you're making some pretty long reaching assumptions there KT. However, what it does leave us is now you've got this review disk started on the Wiki workspace, that it would encourage us all to make sure that we can say yes to that.

Unfortunately, most of us just work through rules that really don't get checked unless there is a problem. And for years now, I have almost annually reminded, [?] visited our region what our rules are [laughs]. So I think we probably shouldn't make that assumption.

But with the Wiki workspace that would be good. And that's this is a working in progress. You've only had a short amount of time to get this started and I think what you need to do now is you get some input in from other people what you're continuing on in the work. Is that correct KT?

KARAITIANA TAIURU: KT speaking. Yes that's a good summary.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Terrific. Okay. It's possible, while we move onto another part of the discussion, it might be useful if we can bring up Wiki workspace where KT has put this information into the shared space? Julia I don't know whether you or Silvia could wrangle that. If it's possible, great; if not, we can live without it. But we'll be certainly working on that in our next call.

I'm going to need to apologize if I miss people pushing their hands up. Please feel free to shout out if you aren't being recognized post haste because my Adobe Connect connectivity is particularly flaky today, so I keep having to reload the page. To that end, if KT has finished what I think is a hell of a lot of work that he's managed to do in short order on his action item, to come back to the one that that was on [?] and this one of drafting a scope and making some proposing out the outcomes and deliverables for this work group.

APRALO has never been particularly formal in how it manages its work group, and has not gone into chartering, etcetera. But it would be very nice if we start off on a pretty high level for this activity. And so if not, at the beginning, in the not too distant future, go back to APRALO with what we can loosely call a charter for ourselves and a reasonable work plan in terms of a couple of milestone dates and deliverables.

I think that would be a very good thing. And to that end, Ali has asked to have somebody that he would like to work with rather than [be the only] pen holder. So now, ladies and gentlemen, recognizing that KT is already holding a fairly large piece of work under his control, I'm wondering if anyone on this call would be interested in stepping up to the scoping and deliverables work, recognizing that what we are asking you to be a pen holder. In other words, get the initial drafting done but can draw commentary from ourselves and the wider ALS and original leaders on the Wiki space, and also make sure that comments and deliberations on these calls are captured and put back into any drafting and documentation.

It's an important part, if not an onerous one, certainly many of us would be able to help, but I wondered if Lianna, or Gunela, or Siranush, how much ownership you want to take for this this [?] chair, I obviously think you would want to be engaged with this activity but whether or not you want to take the pen is another question. if any of you would like to put your hand up and also to be a total primary pen holder on this important task.

I'm hoping not to have deathly silence when I stop talking.

GUNELA ASTBRINK: Hi Cheryl.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Hi Gunela.

GUNELA ASTBRINK: Look, I'm probably the same as Ali, at least as a novice in the ICANN space, working on these core issues. But if I can get a little bit of guidance and support from you [?] I'm prepared to have a go together with Ali.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Fantastic. Gunela, I greatly appreciate that, and you do literally know where I live, so I can't escape. I will be of course at your beckon and call to be of assistance as I'm sure Siranush and the rest of the team will be as well. More than happy to have you step up. We want to try and see

a distributed work load, but once this work gets started, the role will become easier it's just getting started is sometimes a little bit challenging.

So yes fantastic. You are now co-pen holder with Ali and I will give you both a hand to get started. Each of you as working, pen holders can organize to have standalone calls, or interactions if you so desire. It's just a matter of deciding on how much you want to do on Wiki, how much you want to do on lists. I would prefer if you don't mind when you put drafting up on the Wiki, and KT this is probably applies to you as well, if something that both Maureen and Siranush are painfully aware of I'm sure, it is a better way for tracking drafting if you put up a proposal in the body of a Wiki page.

And then you encourage people to put comments and suggestions in the comment section. That way discussion can happen even if it is in that comment section, and you have version control as pen holders of what goes up, and then gets input into the main Wiki page. Yes we can look back and see who made what changes, but because there is no track changes as such, and sometimes someone will make a suggestion that the group will then not agree with, we don't – we found through experience it's probably safer to give people comments on drafting to the comments rather than encourage them to actually edit the page itself.

But obviously pen holders will need to have edit rights, and to the end I guess we need to make sure we've got an action item now. Silvia you might let [?] Matt to ensure that Ali and Gunela, I know KT can do it, have edit rights and to this point Wiki space workgroup, and any

support on what they might need to know about the [?] of a Wiki. It's not rocket science, you'll pick it up very quickly.

And thank you very much Gunela, I really appreciate that. I suppose I should also say Gunela has worked with me long enough to know that if someone doesn't jump forward I have a tendency to put you in anyway, so she probably is just jumping before she was pushed. That's terrific and Ali would be delighted to see that.

What I would like to do then is draw attention, and this can be for everybody before we get into our next discussion on what additional things we might want to do as item four on our agenda, and that is we've had a very fast turnaround these, and I'm delighted to see that it happens so thank you staff, transcripts of our meetings. So our meeting of September 11th, the audio was up on the site, I think, probably within a day. But the transcript, which I think many of you would find easier to quickly scan through and say, "Oh that's what I'm interested in," is already published and is up on the meeting page for the 11th of September.

I'm wondering, Silvia, if it might be appropriate for future meetings, and I do thank you for putting up that we will draft agendas and such, I was able to easily edit, to actually annotate the action items. So I wonder if we might also put a link back from our upcoming meeting, should a transcript and audio of the meeting past. And that if you haven't been able to join you can catch up on things.

What I'm going to propose now is, I'm just checking on the number of pages, what is it? About 13 pages – oh dear, 32 pages. Right. We had

32 pages of chat, but a lot of it is conversational and it's all white space. It might take you long to scan through it, so both of you weren't on the call on the 11th of September, if you could have a quick overview of that document that would be terrific. And I'll ask Silvia if you could actually connect that specific linking page as well, just for the little uptake, that would be terrific.

Okay. So that will sort of be a standing agenda item in the future. Now recognizing that half of the people on this call weren't at our first planning call, most importantly Maureen is here now, we want to call for any other concept or idea of work teams. We've got scoping, we've got our review of existing references materials, but there is going to be a high probability that we need to sell the work we are doing out to our community fairly effectively.

And to this end, and the reason I put this item fourth in the agenda actually. Maureen is operating as an ALAC, sorry. She's about to be one of our new members into the At Large Advisory Committee, but before she's now operating very efficiently and effectively in the At Large Advisory Committee metric working group, and one of the things we might want to look at in our rules for our region in measurable metrics... I wanted to have a conversation and put Maureen on the spot, but that's all right, she's used to me doing that, whether or not she and the rest of you think, that whilst she actively engaged with ALSs across all of the regions on metrics, whether she might be able to hold the pen and capture any particular things that our region would be interested in.

And that way do some drafting on proposed metrics not only for our own leadership team, and anyone asked to represent us, but also

perhaps ALSs and their August calls. And I open it up for discussion. Maureen, you're able to scream and yell at me if you so desire, but I'm sure you'll just find it a bit of [?] activity which would be very easy for you to do.

SILVIA VIVANCO: Cheryl, this is Silvia Vivanco. Can you hear me?

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: We can Silvia. Please go ahead.

SILVIA VIVANCO: Just listening to what you were describing, I had a question. It's just an idea for you all to consider. I have seen other RALOs have other issues, very urgent or pressing issues that were not addressed by their own rules and procedures. For example, in LACRALO or NARALO, they have had some empty holes in the regulations regarding elections. That's one example only.

I was wondering if APRALO also has some critical issues that, throughout the time, you notice that relations were not uploaded, they were not dealing with these issues, and you would like to bring – to analyze those first, or in parallel to this classification, I see that there are four main sections, very well organized, to study the rules of procedure, but I was wondering if they are urgent issues, pressing issues, legal empty spaces that you already know that need to be worked on.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: I'll take that. But I would like to come back to that under any other business, thanks for that Silvia. We'll just take that on notice, but I will respond to that later. At this stage, go back to Maureen what I hope is her acceptance on taking on a pen holder for another small part of what we probably would need to do and that's the use of metrics.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Hi everybody. I hope you can hear me because my [?] is really not that good on Adobe. [?] ...

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Yeah we can hear you, go ahead.

MAUREEN HILYARD: All right. Oh okay green. I think what you're suggesting, Cheryl is very practical in relation to what we're doing for ALAC. And the metrics that we may be able to sort of like include into the APRALO system. So I'm quite willing to make any additional comments that are appropriate to APRALO problem. And also, to assist Gunela and Ali if they need any help in that area as well.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: This is Cheryl. Thank you Maureen. I had supreme confidence that you would pick up the gauntlet and lift the pen on that. And yes, you're right, it makes perfect sense to have your experience handled, so I'm – Gunela and Ali, I'm sorry I didn't think it was that early, but I knew I was keen to put you into this job. So we now have an additional work scene.

And Maureen, feel free to reach out to the ALSs to engage them as early and as often.

What we might do, as you've proved yourself to be a superb communicator with the At Large structures, but if we just leave you on that sort of communication portfolio as well, you might perhaps ask Leanne and the other penholders to help you as needs be. Obviously, if there is something that you would like them perhaps a small paragraph or sentence together, and you could reach out through our list or our regional meetings, that would be great.

So I think we pretty much allocated a whole bunch of jobs to a whole bunch of people, and we can have that as a standing item for future meetings because I think working in small work teams and bringing this to our regular teleconferences, and recording them both on this end on our Wiki is most efficient way to go. So thank you Maureen and thank you everyone else.

Lianna I did take your name in vein there. I did note that when we've met in the past, you tend to be a very good communicator, and I would like to encourage you to work with Maureen. So Maureen if you would like to interact with Lianna and see if you can convince her to become an apprentice in some way shape or form with what you're doing, I think that would be very good indeed.

I just wanted to take a brief pause... I think we're going to take a little bit of time talking about our timeline and our collaboration tools. To go back to what I said we would treat as any other business, but I will call for that before the end of the meeting again. And that's raised the issue

that Silvia has held up, where as she works across all of the regions, a number of the other regions have had urgent matters that need to be dealt with, and sometimes that's in a fairly complicated and torturous task.

So I'm going to take a stab at responding, and then I would like to call for anyone else who, particularly KT and those who have been around for a little while, Maureen I'm counting you in this, to respond to Silvia. Silvia it's interesting, the APRALO operational procedures were probably the leanest and most generic of all of the sets of rules put together for a regional At Large organization formation. We were – we did one face to face setting in Bali, we took it from a very rough set of drafts that we had gathered together in Bali, and we left the Bali meeting pretty much ready to sign off, we're doing [?] and dotting of I's and crossing of T's.

So as a community, we were engaged almost universally – I think that's helped. So regionally we've all had a very, very good understanding of our operational procedures, and that helps too. Obviously we've got more ALSs in now, and as we've seen new members come into our regional structure, one of the things that we don't do is take them aside and take them through the operational procedures, it's an assumption that people will read them.

We may in fact... I would think Siranush would be interested to listen to this part, when we do need to think about some form of orientation, and the presentation of new rules, of course, would give Siranush and the leadership team of the region an opportunity to insert everybody and make sure we all [?] received. As it is, we have made to changes to our original op procedures. We made some minor changes in 2009.

They included some changes on some forum rules, we discussed some issues on property within [?], that is something that used to be on our to look at list, but then KT would prefer that being properly something that is now being discussed in the ALAC rules of procedure, which hadn't occurred before this new version.

So we can pick that up from that anyway. We did make another change to our rules, which was to decouple regionally all of our appointments needed to be done before June in any given year. That was how the original rules were written. And so before June in any given year had all of our leadership, but also all of our appointments. In other words, our ALAC members, etcetera, our – any of our appointments that we had made, including to – in workgroup membership, done in June.

We did couple that back in 2009, as there was an awful lot of electioneering going on in the middle of that year, but in recent times, this is probably the only thing I would say has become a little bit of an issue for us, in recent times we have had some time pressure, some I think unnecessary time pressure, on our recommendations to the ALAC, and also our appointments of ALAC members, have been up for a little bit of time pressure because it has slipped into August, September, one dreads to think of it if it has gone to October.

And so we may consider whether we want to go back to the original system where all of our appointments were made in June, and that had in fact a benefit of people who were taking on a role at the end of the year, at the ICANN AGN or having several months, in some cases, three, four, or even five months at one extreme point, where they were able to shadow or work with the incumbent. So that's something that we

may just need to go back to. We haven't had much in the way of problems because we had very generic and not particularly limited rules.

We would probably wind up with a more complicated set of rules this time, and it remains to be seen[whether or not that's a good thing or a bad thing. And at that point I think I'll open it for conversation on that topic. And I guess KT, you're the senior ranker here, so you've been around as long as I have, as scary as that maybe. Did I give a reasonable [?].

KARAITIANA TAIURU:

Yeah KT for the record. Yes, that was, as usual, a very good summary and I don't think I have anything else to add to that.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR:

Any comments from Maureen and others? Siranush, you've experience how complicated, I suppose, changing rules on an annual basis can be because you've had the nominating committee experience where they had to go through and rectify rules each time. You might want to have a sort of generic comment on this as well as thinking it from your leadership perspective.

But Maureen have you, from an At Large structure perspective, been aware of any glaring terribly urgent things we need to [?].

MAUREEN HILYARD: Thank you Cheryl. I actually... It would be quite interesting [?] your comment, and I was wondering, because I haven't really been involved in the ALAC rules of procedure. We tried to make that [?]... I'm very interested in [?] ...what particular issues that other RALOs may have brought where [?]

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Okay. Thanks for that Maureen. Yeah, I'm going to bring it across to Siranush in a minute. Silvia, you write a liturgy on some of the issues that some of the regions have had. Can you do a very, very brief one because we basically don't have any of those problems.

SILVIA VIVANCO: For example, we have had a problem with NARALO and the elections, the problems with dealing with elections, so that has to be done for reelections, so we have to deal with that. For LACRALO we had many cases in which the relations contradict each other, or they don't go into the sufficient level of detail.

So there are many different interpretations for one single rule. Those are some of the issues we have encountered.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Thanks Silvia. In the case of LACRALO, they were very extensive sets of rules, and they had the fallback position of enacting the United Nation General Assembly Rule [?] priority. And it opened itself up to a vast array of interpretations and understanding and expectations. It was a

very complicated set of issues, but part of that has been solved and some of it is still yet to be solved.

That it's not something that we've never had a problem with because ours was pretty lean and simple. With the NARALO one, you mentioned NARALO has prompted me to think of a matter of we probably make sure that we need to do address, and that is of course under the ALAC improvements and the presentations for ALAC improvements, one of the requirements was that each of us, as regions, needs to find a mechanism whereby individuals can get involved directly in our regional activity.

And our particular region is one of the last to get its teeth into that subject. It's probably a matter that we should think about addressing in our work, and I might start up a conversation on the list on that because we do need to find a way to engage individuals.

And I think some of the complications on elections happens when you've got people who are representing organizations and the voices of many, trying to be expertly measured, which we – a group of individuals. And that happens in other parts of ICANN as well. There is no other way around it, we just have to design a model that we all understand and can work with.

So if there is any more discussion on that... Gunela or Lianna do you want to buy in on that? Or can I ask Siranush with her sage like wisdom with advice to tack on? I'm not seeing Gunela or Lianna put their hand up, so Siranush over to you.

SIRANUSH VARDANYAN: Thank you Cheryl. Sianush for the record. Actually what I'm doing now, I'm getting into more details with previous ROPs and trying to get the – and following the discussions that are taking place. Discussions which are taking place in this working group. My concern here is there any possibility, of course taking into consideration the differences within RALOs.

Probably it wouldn't be appropriate to have some generic rules of procedure for all RALOs, and maybe this work to be discussed between RALOs as well. I'm not sure if this is what you are talking now, but I think that we need to have some rules which are generic for all RALOs to follow.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Thank you for that Siranush. This is Cheryl for the record. That's not something we're discussing in this conversation now, but it is something that we did discuss last week that Silvia did raise is that we are being one of the first regions to get out and do this wholesale review of their operating principles. That we might have an opportunity to put up a good best practice document, because many of us agree with your thoughts, where the harmonization for a large proportion of the rules across all of the RALOs be a very good thing.

Obviously that's a matter for the RALO leadership to agree with as a talk with each other. But I would like to think that this time next year, that might be happening because our wholesale review would be over, and other regions could see a framework, that they have their additional [?].

So let's take that either as a working issue, but we do really need to concentrate first and foremost on our own regional needs.

But yes, I think we always need to think... applicable across the board. But that will be up to you and Holly and the other leaders to sale to the other regional leaders as well, and it might be an ideal conversation to have at an At Large Assembly. That sounds like a very good topic...

UNIDENTIFIED:

Thank you.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR:

...in June. You might want to be running a workshop on that perhaps. I just want to come back to item five now because I'm planning on finishing this, even though we started late, I want to finish on time. Our collaboration tools and methodologies, I think we discussed this a little bit earlier when I was mentioning to the pen holders to try and take control of the drafting by encouraging people to make comments on the Wiki.

But I just want to raise again this wider group because it was only Ali, KT, and myself last week. We're very aware that some regions have the advantages of just saying, "We'll do something in Google Docs," or a similar propriety share and collaboration tool. We can if we can find one that all of our workgroup members can use and employ, do that as well.

But I just want it on the record, as I often do in the APRALO, to remind everybody that in our region, we have a number of filters and walls in

place, which means collaboration tools that other people take for granted, cannot be accessed by a very significant proportion of our At Large structures and membership. And I just want to have the conversation for a few minutes now on what you think it is.

That I would be encouraging you to avoid the use of tools that are not as universally acceptable and as acceptable as possible, and that at that this stage probably means sticking to Wiki, and lists, and teleconferences [?]. With that, if we have a particular need that is obvious, it might be something that we can propose that the ICANN labs to look at. That we have our own Technology Taskforce in the At Large community, and Dev Anand Teelucksingh runs that.

And I know they've reviewed a whole lot of collaboration tools, but if none of those are universally accessible from all of our regions, then maybe we could at the end of this process, make a proposal to ICANN Labs, or to the people who work with ICANN Labs to see if something else could be looked at.

So let's open it up for a few minutes discussion and then we'll move onto the timeline. And I'm afraid my room has frozen, so just jump in if you have anything to say while I reload this page.

MAUREEN HILYARD:

Hi Cheryl. This is Maureen here. I just [?] message that from the metrics point of view, it's that it's quite good to have something that is – that can be used as an [?]. And I think that our community is very much used to the Wiki, and [?] and [?] ... Well, that's my two cents worth anyway.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Thanks for that Maureen. I hear what you're saying, and perhaps others disagree, and obviously I hope to hear from everyone else as well, we could of course stick to that for now and review when we're together in Singapore perhaps and see if there is a change that needs to be, that's in short term, stick with what we know works for us.

Anyone else what to buy in on this while my dogs start barking? Making enough background noise to drown me out. Not hearing anyone. I'm going to see if we can move on to our next agenda point then, and that's the timeline for our work and our next steps. We held this meeting today roughly in a fortnight after our last. We did agree on the last call that we would probably meet monthly, and work online as much as possible, that's both on the Wiki and list.

I just, because we got a wider group together today, just want to affirm that. That you're happy with a monthly meeting schedule at this point in time. In fact what I should do is say that if anyone disagrees with that, at least between...

MAUREEN HILYARD: Maureen here. I'd say a monthly meeting will be, yeah – probably enough to give the penholder group a time to get together and look at what needs to be done then. Online done.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Thanks Maureen. Yes I think what that does is means that we all, the group as a whole, come together monthly [?] work teams can establish your own practices and get together as often and whatever way as you

need to. So yeah, if no one else wants to disagree with you Maureen, let's stick to that. So to that end, are we happy with this time of day for meetings? Maureen I know you prefer – the reason why you're only through the Adobe Connect now is that by this time of day, you're toll codes are pretty hard of course to meet everybody's needs.

Do you want to say something more on a preferred meeting time?

MAUREEN HILYARD: [?] early in the morning for me, instead of [?] I don't know what the UTC times are, but four hours I would like – I'm four hours ahead of you I think. So [?] in my time, which is sort of like I think 18:00 hours UTC. So before that.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Okay. That's going to obviously put pressure...

MAUREEN HILYARD: Which makes it about 6:00 [?]

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Remember our rest of the ALSs are going to be fairly well pressured. And I'm not sure that Lianna and Siranush would be [?] ...

MAUREEN HILYARD: Or the evening. Evening time.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Okay....

MAUREEN HILYARD: Because that's going to make early hour UTC and in effect meet [?] for you guys.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Okay. So what I'm going to suggest that Julia, if you and Nathalie could put your – can channel Gisela in some way shape or form, and we'd like to put out another Doodle poll for our meeting in one month's time, and see if we can find some time options [?] ...that make everyone equally unhappy, or equally happy.

And we can do that, then what we might look at is rotation so that at least every [?] well cared for [?] ... So I think what we might want to do is do another Doodle [?] ...try and find at least a couple of time slots that work for both the southeast and the [?] parts of our region. And that we see that as an option, perhaps we can ask in the poll question as well on whether they would like to have that as a fixed time or a rotating and we might be able to get better engaged.

If there is nothing else on time [?] and collaboration, our next [?] and to start getting some conversations on the list. I will start the conversation on what things people may have identified or would like to see change, as it is not necessarily matters of urgency that is desirable. The two I've identified in those calls are the matter of property, but we do recognize KT's team will probably, or KT will be picking that up.

And the other one is the matter of individual member representation to the region, or to our region. I just [did team], I suppose the other thing that we probably need to do is empower all the penholders to go and collect any assistance they need from rank and file members. So if you can go and encourage and cajole people to giving a hand if you need it, then that's fine. If you want to run solo, then that's fine.

Some penholders like to work as an individual, and others like to work two and three together. Do whatever way it works for you. I'm just now going to call for any other business...

GUNELA ASTBRINK: I'm wondering... Sorry, Cheryl, can you hear me?

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Yes. Yell a little bit and that will be good.

GUNELA ASTBRINK: Okay. All right. I'm wondering, I need to talk to Ali as the co-penholder to work up with him what each of us is doing and I think it would be great to maybe get some support from ICANN staff. I'm wondering if we should utilize the teleconference for that in some way, just to get the ball rolling between us as penholders.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Gunela, it's Cheryl here for the record. I think that's a fine idea. Both Maureen and I, I think would be interested in being involved in that sub-

team or work team conversation, so what might be suitable would be to see if I can ask Julia to see what spaces are available on the existing diary, or on the existing calendar in the next week to ten days.

The reason I'm saying week to ten days is I do know Ali is very swamped at the moment, and he is not likely to have very much time at all available [?] of the October period. But if that works for you, we should certainly have something done in the next seven to ten days if possible. Okay.

So we just need to quickly review some of the action items. I just want to check if, I know Silvia [?] for changing what we put into our future meeting agendas, we were going to link transcripts and audio files. I've got certainly one on me to get some of the conversation going on our list. We've got each of our penholders getting on with the job, and in the case of Gunela, we're going to have a meeting call within the next seven to ten days, whatever work for those penholders. And we'll make sure that Maureen and I can be involved in that as able body and encouraging assistance while they get started.

KT is calling for the whole of the work group, that I suspect probably the whole of the region, to look at the Wiki workspace and start getting some comments into what he's already done and continuing to do. We're going to have Maureen start off fresh with killing two birds with one stone at getting some metrics managed and some outreach done, or planned at least, for a new work team.

And we have a new Doodle poll looking at some of the timing for suiting most of us, with a question on rotation or fixed for our next monthly meeting. Have I covered all of the action items?

SILVIA VIVANCO: Yes Cheryl, and I will send you some of the action items I caught so you can edit mine. And I will post them to the Wiki.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: That is fantastic. And hopefully staff, Silvia and Nathalie, or Silvia and Julia, when you put together any of these action items, I know you always send them to the chair but I'm more than happy for them to go to the whole group so we can all dot the I's and cross the T's, and make any contributions before they go up onto the Wiki page.

And if there is no any other business, I want to thank you all very much. I know we had a slightly delayed start, that happens sometimes. But I do want to finish as close as possible to the top of the hour. So thank you one and all, and in particularly thanks to those APRALO members, and indeed the staff, we've been doing teleconferences in some way shape or form for somewhere between 12 and 15 hours.

So I think we can all call that a marathon, and so job well done. Let's get this work online.

SILVIA VIVANCO: Actually I think Heidi has her hand up.

HEIDI ULLRICH: It's okay, I'll follow up later.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: I didn't see you. Yes go ahead.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Cheryl, just really quickly, would you like to have the APRALO ROPs on the agenda of the APRALO call in Buenos Aries?

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Absolutely.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Okay. I'll add that. Thank you.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: And how come you came off [?]?... [Laughter] You've been know only...

HEIDI ULLRICH: [?] mode, and now I'm in speaking mode. [Laughter]

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: So much for the women lurking, eh? All right then, let's get this show on the road. Thank you one and all.

[Various people say thank you and goodbye]

[END OF TRANSCRIPT]