

**Accountability & Transparency Review Team 2 (ATRT 2)**  
**Face-to-Face Meeting 06**  
**Thursday, 19 September 2013 – Friday, 20 September 2013**  
**DRAFT PRELIMINARY REPORT**

**Review Team Members**

Alan Greenberg  
Avri Doria  
Brian Cute  
David Conrad  
Lawrence Strickling  
Lise Fuhr  
Olivier Crépin-Leblond  
Stephen Conroy  
Steve Crocker  
Xinsheng Zhang

**Remotely**

Carlos Raúl Gutierrez  
Fiona Asonga  
Demi Getschko  
Heather Dryden  
Michael Yakushev

**Invited Participants**

Feng Guo  
Fiona Alexander  
Paul Diaz

**ICANN Staff**

Alice Jansen  
Denise Michel  
Larisa Gurnick  
Marika Konings (remote)  
Margie Milam (remote)

**Apologies**

Jørgen Abild Andersen

**Invited Participants (remote)**

Christina Laybourn (One World Trust)  
Emily Taylor (InterConnect Communications)  
Mark McFadden (InterConnect Communications)

Recording and transcript may be found on the wiki at:

<https://community.icann.org/display/ATRT2/Washington+DC+-+19-20+September+2013>

The Accountability and Transparency Review Team 2 (ATRT2) undertook the following during this session:

**1. Agenda & Preliminary Report**

The Review Team resolved to adopt the [proposed agenda](#) and agreed to defer the adoption of the preliminary reports.

**2. Declaration of Interests & Conflict of Interest Policy**

The Review Team called for any updates. None were raised.

**3. Meeting Modus Operandi**

The ATRT2 Chair described the dynamic of this meeting as different from previous sessions and requested that the Team not engage in long discussion about views, issues or opinions. Only significant issues should be voiced. Omissions will be flagged.

#### **4. Accountability & Transparency Benchmarks & Metrics**

Christina Laybourn walked the Team through One World Trust's work plan. Criteria will be tailored to ICANN's unique structure and objective. Metrics will be measuring practical accountability achievements. The research will principally involve: 1) documentary review of ICANN accountability policies and practices as well as external commentary on ICANN's accountability standards accompanied by interviews; 2) an analysis of international standards and expectations of accountability for international not-for-profit organizations. One World Trust will be taking its own accountability framework as a starting point. Accountability will be defined and evaluated. Stakeholders' engagement in organization's processes, complaints and redress as well as accountability strategy will be factored in. Accountability practices will be compared with three other international organizations in order to identify good practice and learning. One World Trust would welcome the opportunity to interview ATRT2 members and suggested that one or two Members be nominated. The report is expected to be finalized by mid/end of December 2013.

#### **5. Independent Expert**

Mark McFadden provided the Review Team with a progress update. ICC reported an extensive analysis of archives (mailing lists, comment processes, reports etc.). ICC has been collecting data from participants and stakeholders on a wide range of topics related to PDP. ICC is also investigating other global or regional policy process built from the stakeholder community to compare to an ICANN PDP. The independent expert is looking into bylaws, operating procedures, rules of engagement within the organization. Initial research indicates that transparency of information is remarkable. Time challenges to interview stakeholders were observed. An online version of the survey was made available.

The GAC relationship to the PDP was raised in every interview. Global non-participation, time commitment, cultural mismatches and language barriers were also key issues that were brought up. Interviewees have commented on the quality and effectiveness of ICANN policy staff. No criticism of the process' transparency was observed. A report will be sent in early October. ICC is also looking into making data available to ICANN and future ATRTs.

The Review Team Chair flagged his intent to hold a call with ICC to discuss deliverables.

#### **6. Building the Draft Report & Templates**

Only a small Team of Members will draft the body of report to ensure it has a singular tone and consistent presentation style. Only grammar spot-checking will be conducted on templates: having different style and voice in the templates is reflective of a group effort. Template owners should ensure their work is in shape to hand over to report drafters. The Review Team will need to clearly communicate that the draft report is work in progress and that gaps will be filled and discrepancies resolved. Work can be iterated beyond October.

Review Team Members resolved to build their templates using the outline and model Lawrence Strickling proposed in his template. Recommendations will be grouped. Tone of the report should not be academic but rather highlight communication tools.

### **7. Accountability & Transparency Review Team 1 (ATRT1) – WS1**

Olivier Crépin-Leblond walked the Team through observations and matched potential recommendations to templates. Staff indicated where information could be found (see [index](#)) and took note of any follow-up requests. Lawrence Strickling reminded Members that templates should contain facts to underpin their analysis as well as consider feedback and comments provided by staff.

### **8. Security, Stability & Resiliency of the DNS Review (SSR) – WS2**

Meetings with Patrick Jones contributed to clarifying outstanding issues. Templates will be prepared. David Conrad walked through the assessment of SSR recommendations implementation and flagged those which required additional work. Staff gave the Review Team supplementary background information.

### **9. WHOIS Policy Review (WHOIS) – WS3**

Alan Greenberg walked the Review Team through the initial set of conclusions. Margie Milam joined the meeting to provide supplementary clarifications and updates along the way. Alan Greenberg requested that staff provide the additional information in writing.

### **10. New Issues – WS 4**

Fiona Asonga took the Review Team through the matrix of recommendations emerging from the new issues effort. Staff took note of follow-up actions.

### **11. Timeline**

The Review Team resolved to adopt the following calendar of deliverables:

<b>Friday, 27 September</b>	ICC Conclusions
<b>Sunday, 29 September</b>	Assignments due – completed assessments and draft recommendations
<b>Tuesday, 1 October - 13:00 UTC (4 hours)</b>	ATRT 2 Full Team Call to review assessments and draft recommendations
<b>Friday, 4 October</b>	Draft Report circulated to ATRT 2 for review
<b>Sunday, 6 October</b>	ATRT 2 comments, edits provided to the ATRT2 list
<b>Monday, 7 October</b>	Draft Report provided to staff for translation with edits included
<b>Friday, 11 October</b>	Corrections in redline mode provided to staff for translation
<b>Friday, 18 October</b>	Publication of Draft Report

### **12. Chatham House Rule**

The Review Team invoked the Chatham House Rule to discuss feedback received on the confidential list.