

---

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Good morning, good afternoon, and good evening everyone. This is a Finance and Budget subcommittee Working Group conference call on Tuesday the 23rd of April, 2013. If we could please start with the roll-call?

JULIA CHARVOLEN: Good morning, good afternoon, and good evening, welcome to the Finance and Budget subcommittee meeting on Tuesday the 23rd of April, 2013 at 13 UTC. On the call today we have Allan Skuce, Cheryl Langdon-Orr, Olivier Crépin-Leblond, Holly Raiche, Tijani Ben Jemaa, Roberto Gaetano, YJ Park, and Dev Anand Teelucksingh. We have no apologies so far and from staff we have Heidi Ullrich, Silvia Vivanco, and myself Julia Charvolen. May I remind all participants to please state their names before speaking for transcription purposes, thank you very much and over to you.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much Julia that is very good intro, welcome everyone and I gather you have all recovered from your travels and your work in the past few weeks in Beijing for those of us who have traveled. For those of you who have not traveled it's time for you to get involved and I am glad to see you here because we have some work to do. Today the agenda is actually rather short. We will first be looking at the action items from our last meeting and then we will be looking briefly at the results of the fast-track requests for the At-Large FY14 budget request. And then we will be concentrating on what we have received with regards to the FY14 budget request for the sound track, which is the reason for this call. Is there any business that anyone wishes to add to

---

*Note: The following is the output resulting from transcribing an audio file into a word/text document. Although the transcription is largely accurate, in some cases may be incomplete or inaccurate due to inaudible passages and grammatical corrections. It is posted as an aid to the original audio file, but should not be treated as an authoritative record.*

---

this? I don't see that in our agenda but if anybody wishes to add something to this agenda then please let us know now. Okay, fine, well let's get going first and I invite you all to have a look at the action items from the last meeting that we had. There were action items for each one of the regions and so we basically looked at those, so the action item for the AFRALO is for Cheryl to contact Renalia and ask her to contact AFRALO Tijani, so that efforts between AFRALO and APRALO can be combined. Was there some action on this Cheryl?

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Yes there certainly was and I believe there is a coordinated approach and an adjustment was made to the fast-track application for funding to reflect that.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much Cheryl. So, let's move on to the next one. The APRALO proposal and the action item was to delete the box requesting staff support that was completed prior to the request being filed. The URALO, EURALO has asked to cut and paste the sentence from Box 2 to the Box called Travel Support at the end, and that was completed as well, all of that being some cleaning up that was done. So, thank you for that. NARALO and extension of departure date, all of this was filed as requested. The other action item further down with APRALO request from ISOC Philippines to follow up with the ICANN Winthrop Yu copying APRALO that we believe this request is for the speakers bureau and that we can show the way that has been completed and finally the next steps given how to find a place folder for the week of April 22, and that's obviously completed since we are meeting today. So that's rather great. All AIs are done and I can therefore invite you to go directly to agenda item #4 and that's the special budget request which has been published now by the ICANN Finance Department. This is very fresh

---

news and you will notice on your screen the SOAT budget request fast track. So these are the ones for request for events and financing for things that should take place up to October 2013. So, before the 1st of November 2014. This is un-thinked now or not, I go like this. Can you zoom in and zoom out from the Adobe?

JULIA CHARVOLEN: I think we have got control.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: For those of you that can't use the Adobe of course you can link it from your agenda and you can open that page from your agenda. So, in there, if we go down over to the ALAC request, which is on the second of page, the first one was the one forwarded by the ALAC. So, it's got a number, request #FY14-A07-01 and that's the extension of the authorized departure date for XCom and specified liaisons. This actually with partially granted for the time being, it was approved for the Durban meeting but no funding was provided for the other meetings, Buenos Aires, Singapore and London. Remembering that there are going to be four meetings during FY14 but this is not much of the concern, is of course we are only dealing here with a double meeting, which is the one that takes place in July. The other meetings will take place later on of the years. So, we can always file a request again for the other meetings since they will be outside the fast track, use the normal track. The concern here is the recommendation. It's somehow is slightly small and this is the reason why just how to start Cheryl before stopping this call to try and find out really when the X.COM started meeting after the end of the Board Meeting on the Fridays and this appears to be something that was around for a long time. Cheryl, do you wish to say a couple of words on this and then I will ask Heidi to

---

explain to us what she has been up to because we have read this and there is already a follow up on that.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR:

The earliest meetings which were held wasn't even an executive committee but myself as chair and the two vice chairs with Kevin as the FO with Steve Antonoff and occasionally an offside there and we will so headiness the indication, which is involved in non-publicized meeting. They will not publicize because they will not open, therefore unfortunately the Wiki record etc, is no there. They were not open and not public deliberately because we were doing things such as discussing the ramifications of having a permanent allowance for regional leadership remembering that in those days regional leadership to attend was on a whim and in fact not a guarantee so there were many discussions held after the board meetings had completed but they were not reflected in the "public records." They should however be reflected in the record that Heidi might have access to, which would have been held by the notes and of course the CSO indeed and Steve Antonoff should have file notes on those as well. By the time we got to Mexico of course, Heidi was within the room and we were holding those meetings in Nick's hotel room. That's probably could not background from me that we are talking about a history that occurred Los Angeles. Thank you.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND:

Thank you very much Cheryl and now, I will just quickly allow you to say a few words about the discussion I had on this matter with the Crocker as you recall the Crocker is the person who suggested for the board that the board meets on the Thursday afternoon of the week and not on the Friday and the reason for that is because while they were several reasons, one of them was obviously a small cross cutting measure

---

because the board being meeting on Friday morning means that all I can start neither to remind behind for any additional thing. Another reason was that the board meet has to listen to the community although we have till Thursday night and then spend a night from Thursday to Friday frantically writing resolutions and making decisions and so on and it was just not practicable at all. But in the discussions when I share regard concerns with the Crocker, the reply was that it was never intended to shorten the ALAC I can, can we meet after the meeting of the board to continue planning etc in whatever reason it is. We should be allowed to continue meeting after and although it's understood that there might not be a full set of staff. The staff generally does remain on Friday morning, at least on Friday morning and so far, in fact we never had a problem where we were told that all staff is gone. So, it's just a bit of a concern that the recommendation on your page here actually says, there should be an expectation to meet staff and executive on Friday and it just seems to be showing the stumbling block rather than showing it as a way to regularize or exceptions. So, that's the concern I think Tijani has to stand up or let Heidi say a few words on her follow up. Tijani Ben Jemaa you have the floor.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA:

I am a little bit concerned because this decision was done by staff to decide on the additional request. All those people are allowed to decide that we meet on Friday or we don't have to meet on Friday, which is not their decision. If it is high party's decision, it must be taken by the board. If it is the staff decision, I don't think the staff can decide on this. Thank you.

EVAN:

Okay, thank you Tijani. Just you remind you of the process, I believe and I think that this is the process, I believe that staff puts all of this on

---

their page, write recommendations, actually instead of decision, it should be we need to be saying recommendation and then the Board Finance Committee is supposed to give the final go ahead or not. If I recall last year, there were several things, which had actually not being granted for At-Large and that was overturned by the Finance Committee. So, despite those things, these are recommendations. Of course 99% of recommendations are followed by the Board Finance Committee. You are correct, it is the board that will make the final decision on this. It's just the concern I have is just the recommendation on the wording recommendation. Roberto Gaetano you have the floor.

ROBERTO GAETANO:

I think that this is a sort of, I would like to say my back type of statement from staff. Just to say that there is no guarantee in the next meetings but there are plenty of other meetings at the end of an icon meeting. For instance the Noncom, in the meetings where they have sessions, so specifically the summer meeting and the phone meeting, they meet at the end of the icon meeting normally. They are lots of other things going on. So, I doubt that we will ever be in the situation where there is no staff coverage on Friday. But I think that the statement is just to say for the time being it's okay but don't count on it forever. If you can find the different solution, it would be safer.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND:

Thank you very much Roberto, I agree with you and it seems to give a message. The concern I have is, whether the XCOM session is actually something that is now cast in still, not in several meetings or whether this was a privilege where it was over extended and of course the need for the XCOM meeting is also important if it does not take place with the effect of operations. Cheryl has put her hand up.

---

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: I want to follow on from Roberto. The reason this was happening after the Board Meeting was because people were head down and tail up during the meetings and indeed Saturday and Sunday those early days, were taken up with team building and capacity building within the ILAC region. It wasn't the capacity building for the ILSS but for the actual regional leaders. So we were already working from the weekend before at that site. There was a little other opportunity for the leadership both staff and ALAC to touch base and discuss important matters. We met with not just CFOs but CIOs, and basically the C suite people and all of those times there was very important work to be done. And that said, it does not as Roberto indicated, namely all I tested there and we have changed. It is important I think, the reside regular touch point between the leadership of the ALAC and the sea sewage as required not all the more time, as required for whatever is on the ALAC agenda and a face to face opportunity for that happen. When that happens that, I think LEBI is very much more flexible, particularly now than it was back then. We know that we have got a Sunday, not Saturday on a Sunday and of course I see all the meetings get etc. I am not saying beginning end of the week, you could look at some flexibility there. But I do think the needs had the executive make for an earn business as well as have the executive make with safely to work and it is important that I could date two separate things. Thank you.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much Cheryl and agreed that I presently finds meetings very helpful indeed. The concern that I do have is how to fit then during the week that we can't set them after the end of the week. And that is the problem and soon being able to speak really and discussed things.

---

Really, we are not under the same time agendas during the Friday afternoon, say on a Friday and during other week.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: We might want to remind everybody and that includes the Board Finance Committee, the state Crocker in his meeting, with your executive only Friday indicated that in future, he would like to have more time with us that the 40 minutes over whether he was spending with this institution so he would lack in much lack of time to interact.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: That's a very good point and we do go down the path of writing to finance or one way or the other, then this is something absolutely worth including in our statement or correspondence. Yes, go ahead Heidi.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Yes, thank you. This is Heidi just very briefly thank you for all of your comments. I think they are very valid points. I have had initial discussions with some staff internally on this issue and I think Olivier what might be useful when you are in Los Angeles for the ATRG2 in a few weeks that we have meetings with Xavier and Diane, who is now in charge of Constituency Travel to discuss these concerns and bring in some of the research that surely mentions the background of these meetings and the need for continuing structure needs with the Staff, Executives, and Elect Leadership. Also, this might explore other opportunity as to when these meetings might be able to be held. Just now on a Friday.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Okay, thank you. So, that's a good thing. Let's do that then, if she could book me in when I forget. I can't even say now that I am looking now and no, half an hour and no, 15 minutes, no, maybe 30 seconds. Not before instances of 30 seconds.



- 
- HEIDI ULLRICH: Keep in mind that when you are here, your dates are around 6.
- OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Ah, super! Thank you. It is still great. That's perfect, let's do that then. Let's do this as an action item, I will follow what meets after with Finance and with Travel.
- SPEAKER 4: That requires people.
- OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Okay, there was one thing I think we needed to follow up on and this is why I thought you spend the time on. With regards to the other request, that we have here, the RALO outreach campaign, the request doesn't qualify for an early evaluation. So, that's been deferred. So, I guess will have to wait until the normal track answers of the given and I understand that, that will be at the end of June. The next one is the URALO participation at the 13th ICANN Meeting. The answer here was ICANN does not provide funding support to attend third party meetings. I know that there has been some reply from both to this. Basically, one of the concerns is, on the one hand we are asked to do outreach and the other hand as soon as we try to do outreach and open up and go elsewhere than an ICANN meeting it doesn't get funded. That said, I do realize that the IGF was in that boat at some point and now the idea seems to be partially funded for a few people. So, my personal suggestion would be to keep and asking year upon year and one year at some point. The policy would have moved for such funding to be given a green light but obviously this year it doesn't look like that this happening. Any thoughts or comments from anyone here? Tijani Ben Jemaa, go ahead.

- 
- TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Thank you Olivier. I do think that it is a lack of communication. I am sure that if the leadership of ICANN understands that it is a very important outreach operation it will be agreed. Once again, I say that even if they give final subcommittee both finance subcommittee who is agreeing on this recommendation. It is done by the staff and the staff is not aware, does not understand, and doesn't understand the feeling that when your staff has understandable task. So, I think that there are low and ALAC help to communicate better on this particular point at which we start dealing with the Board Members. Thank you.
- OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much, Tijani. Can I ask you a follow-up question? Because in the past, we have followed up with Xavier and the Finance Department on these specifics, but it appears the answer is still the same as what was there before. Last year, we had the same reply for the ICANN Student Cries for rising above. Do you believe that we should ask, I mean someone for example, like Sally would be the, and the Public Participation Committee with the people throughout. I just have the concern that this discrepancy, which will worth set on one side and what happens on the other.
- TIJANI BEN JEMAA: I think that we need to involve Sally and Heidi. I am highlighting Sally, because Heidi has this as a main point in this program. So, we need to make them aware so that he can emphasize Heidi and the others.
- OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Okay, thank you Tijani. Cheryl Langdon-Orr.
- CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Thank you, Cheryl for the transcript record. I just had a thought while Tijani was speaking and I do agree absolutely in principle and in general that we should keep trying to the proposal. It strikes that in our newly
-

---

ploughed fields and yet to be seeds is a new ICANN. This is some previously rejected concept. I am of the opinion that Xavier certainly would be open to them where previously he had not been. And that is the way what we may be able to do with some of these deemed regional things is look at relationships with the operations in those regions. Because that's needed to those relatively small contribute but important contribution that could get these sorted out in a relationship something like a public partnership that goes normally. Previously we asked for sponsorship of jointly fronted activities that has been impossible might be time to keep trying and dig out that old body and see if it can be resuscitated.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much I note your suggestions that jointly funded activity in effect would be saying suggesting that.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: NRIL supports a couple of people and that I can support a couple of people making support to NRIL.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: There might be seen very much, has been and again in that, even now there is RIR support with CCTL the operative support in terms of the actual fellowship.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Okay, that's an interesting thought. We have to dig a bit deeper on that. Roberto?

ROBERTO GAETANO: Yes, first of all I agree with Cheryl. I think that this is something that we have to push for. In the past, we had more of interaction in terms of physical meetings with other parts of organization. But, back to the main point, I think that we have to try to tackle the issue from a different point of view. We had also, on sort of Email exchange on this.

---

in my opinion, I think that we need to establish a concept that we have a budget for outreach at CVTs, which can be also participated in specific meetings and all the materials that has to be distributed as on. But for a sport of budget, I can ask that we need to have to be freed to allocate two days on for instance the Exicon ALAC Exon besides, they are not having to go back to the Finance Committee to ask for the true value that I can buy an item. I think that it is fine that ALAC gets sort of you know, a sort of recognized to be in, I would say I doubt enough that we can decide what is important and what is not important. We can be given a certain degree of freedom. Because otherwise, this is going to be a nightmare every time because we need to put justification, explain to everybody why this meeting is important and so on. Where if we have budget allocated in the beginning of the year, that will be the solution. This has been discussed in the early days of ALAC many years ago and that was not granted. But ALAC had a different level of maturity at that time. So, probably now it's time also, with a change in the leadership with probably being more concerned that I was at the At-Large Community and outreach. I think that we might get this point across this time.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much Roberto and I certainly see support from Cheryl saying that it should be reported accountable and of course transparent. I know that there is much support within our community to proceed in this direction or to ask for this. Tijani Ben Jemaa, you have the floor.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Yes thank you. I have a question. Has any SO or IC, or AC, have this financial independence or financial decision making debility?

---

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Not at this time.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: That's correct Cheryl; no we don't. At the moment, it is pretty much we make a request and the request is either granted or not, so someone else basically deals with our Piggy Bank as one would call it. I think they way to move forward on this is really to bring this up in a future meeting with ICANN Finance, I guess with Xavier and perhaps even as a first step and then the next step will be the Board Finance Committee. How was that asked for last time Cheryl?

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: It was direct negotiation between the then staff lead, which was in the days, and in during next job of being directly At-Large. I don't think we found any positive parties that I may have actually had an impromptu conversation with Kevin while Heidi was in the chair when I was in Los Angeles at one point, which just reaffirms the fact that they weren't ready for that decision at that stage yet. So, it's been asked for first of all very, very formally and it was absolutely and inexplicably refused for whole of the supposedly good reasons. We have series detail correspondent format, which may instill the insertion text material but I am sure, they will be lack of copies in some other false cynic intervals.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Okay, thank you very much Cheryl. May I ask as an action item and take that correspondent, if we are going to start discussing this again, I know that this is a recurrent matter. We do need to have the correspondence to label to remember what happens because the people who will have in front of us will obviously not enter, use names of people that have left and there has been a new crew that has arrived. So, we all need to be on the same page on this and know what's happened. Is that said, the one concern that I do have is that at the moment I can still operate

---

in a very centralized manner, the very fact that you have the budget decisions are all made centrally and you have got all the SO and AT request going through that purpose. Does anyone here know of any other SO or AT that is able to run their own budget independently?

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: It began in the 9th year, directly funded by themselves so you will have some business constituency activities that would be sponsored by individual commercial entities and of course the CCTLV as well.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Okay, thank you for this show. Is that fairly yet, is there something we can continue the discussion on. So, the actual item is probably two-folds. So, the first part of the actual item is that the staff should find the documentation and correspondence pertaining to the prior discussions one would you call itself, self determination of funding? Is that how you would call it?

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Yes, Olivier sure with the records and just to take the references would be correspondence between Dennis and Jacqueline and then me.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: So, that's the first thing, the second actual item, I believe for this community, Finance and Budget subcommittee to look at the correspondence and to put together a proposal that we will then come up with during the next ICANN meeting in Durban I guess. I think this is something that is best discussed face to face and I would rather than having something that is behind the scene on this specific thing, I think we do need to get a front, for the simple reason that it actually gets deeper within just the ALAC asking questions like this. Does it really comes with a maturing of the overall ICANN as an organization and the mode of management whether a decentralized or a centralized. We are

---

seeing a definite move with a new leadership with a more decentralized management or at least that how is being counted of the policy promoted. So, its finest thing going to be of centralized thing or decentralized is the question that we are asking.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: If I may, please ensure that you will also include your leads in outreach because most of things that we specially felt would probably be in the outreach and engagement category and I see it is important to keep what is a rapidly changing part of ICANN closely involved in this.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Okay, so do you mean the public participation?

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: I mean the cross community wide working group for engagement and that's very much looking at how to work smart and not harder, how resources rather than simply create it for just a single part of ICANN is applicable for that use. It is also going towards minimizing these individuals jumps at bits of budget and trying to find ways where OUTREACH MATERIALS be provided for and then the individual needs would all be met, that sort of thing.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Okay, thank you Cheryl. We will work this out as we will go along. So, have take your time until we have that meeting but I agree with you that we do need to make it all that. The problem is just running so many horses at the same time. Now, I realize that time is taking by and we have two more requests to look at but those are quite similar, the request for AP round of workshop and for the APRALO workshop idea of 2013. It appears that there was partial expectance for three people to be supported for each of these workshops provided the workshop is

---

accepted by the mag in the IGF, any comment on this? Or can we move on?

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: I think we can move on.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much Cheryl. So, let's look at the review of the additional At-Large FY14 requests. These are the standard track budget request. They are three messages in the agenda, these are the three, that the staff have received and your request is missing, then I guess you just have your ravel to name for not sending or at least bringing it somewhere perhaps where no one has found it, so I see here NARALO second submission was sent by me with Marie. It was sent on March 15th. Where was it sent Glen? And has the staff received this? Well let's just look at the first one. The APRALO workshop at APRIGF 2013 has to take place in Seoul from 4th to 6th of September. I invite you all to open it. I think that this will be cleared on your screen as well It will be connected the screen hopefully. Either she has only has put a pant up. Do you wish to comment on this workshop please?

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Go ahead Tijani.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Yes please, Tijani speaking. This workshop will take place in September. So, it will fall under the first talk and not the regular talk. I am afraid it will not be accepted by the budget and the Finance Department.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much Tijani. I had a similar assault when I saw the date. I am not quite sure whether it would make it unacceptable to finance or whether it would just be told yes or no very late in the process in July, just a month and a half before it is due to take place any source on this?



- 
- CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Surely, I will put my hand first for connectivity reasons but I would jump in and say I would suggest it being managed in a normal track and being a late outcome would not be a problem. We are talking logistics that are easy to arrange, it is fairly short order and fairly close regional travel opportunities. So, it's getting two or three more rooms are going to be terribly complicated.
- OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Okay, thank you very much Cheryl. I can't see it in the Adobe Connect, I don't know that has to be uploaded or not.
- CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Heidi would you please post the APRALO. I know you have already posted it and it needs to be switched with what is showing on the screen.
- HEIDI ULLRICH: You can always run it off your agenda.
- OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: So, Cheryl made a point that it does not matter if it gets agreed late or not. The total amount requested is 9,000 US\$, any other thoughts on this? Heidi Ullrich?
- HEIDI ULLRICH: Yes, thank you Olivier for this. I understand that the deadline is past, Tijani is absolutely right. I think probably we understand that the likelihood of getting this is certainly compromised because of the late date. I think I would agree with Cheryl in that if we can leave it in knowing that in fact it really doesn't meet the deadline but they can be a late request for something, which is really given that is worried down along the APRALO have done a lot of work on the issue of variance. We have already got the successful multi-cycled program where it is written on something. It is a topic that we know we can work with these got a lot of a ground work done. Video we are talking about is updated
-

---

travel. So, it is very straightforward. I would ask, maybe we include in with all of us knowing that it is late and accepting the delay and accepting that for that reason we may not make a request.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Okay, thank you very much Holly. I note a green text from Tijani on this so when you want object to this being sent. Okay, so we will file it and may be in the introduction note, it should let us be a note saying we are aware of the fact that it's the responsibility get and made. There is no question?

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: The evidence is that I say that what we recognize this particular activity would has featured in the fast-track. There is no impairment. It is managed in the normal track because I like response is being critical.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Okay, which ever, I mean we will just pull the dead over and if finance comes back to and says are you aware this should be on the fast track and not on the normal track because you are asking for it very quickly, there is no push for them to go any faster or go out of their way than their usual system.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Maybe makes a point this is a very simply managed request, it is basically for travel and a bit of accommodation. So it is not as if it is money to do something complicated and I guess maybe that point can be part of it, so I just raised that for the record.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Okay, I think we can move on to the next one and I'm mindful of the time always. The next one is the LACRALO request for an Outreach Campaign that was few days ago. LACRALO is present in 16 of the 33 countries that make up the region. The aim here is to have some travel support to go to those countries with no current ALS and liaise with

---

potential ALSs and basically get them to come on board. There is a set of strategic objectives there which are derived from the strategic planning, the ICANN strategic planning, and so the working group would be finding, in consultation with the geographic regions working group, would be finding actors, training colleges, professional organizations, any organization that would be suitable as an At-Large structure. The total amount that is requested is maybe around \$20,000 or so all together, just a very ballpark figure. Any doubts or questions on this? Do we have anyone from LACRALO who could talk to us about this?

TIJANI BEN JEMAA:

I think that we had better not to put figures, money or dollars, we have to put quantities, always past can evaluate that and we don't have same ability to evaluate this and it gives the evaluators the feeling that we are not asking for money, we are asking for action, we are asking for things. So the best is put, for example for a brochure, X number of brochures, 3 pages brochure or something like this so that they will try it and the staff will try to put money in front of it.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND:

Okay thank you very much Tijani. Noted. Next is Dev Anand Teelucksingh.

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH:

Well, this budget request was discussed on last night's LACRALO call, namely that it needs to be fine tuned a little bit. Example, the list of countries it is claiming to go for Outreach to include new ALS structures, some of that needs to be tweaked because some of those territories actually belong to URALO, for example. There is also concern regarding synergy with the Latin American regional strategy, and I think we all have to look carefully at, which is looking to get outreach to get participation all across ICANN. I do not know anyone from the staff

---

would be giving information as to what happened on the LACRALO call last night because I was not able to be on the call. I think based on the LACRALO call, this can be tweaked a little bit and hopefully submitted very soon. I do not know if there is any particular deadline that I can follow the LACRALO chair as to when this can be updated.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Can you rephrase your question please because I don't understand? Is there a deadline by which this request should have been going via LACRALO chair? Is that the question?

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: I think this proposal whose team is working on updating the following proposal now as we speak by end of the day or by end of tomorrow is anything like that, what shall I tell him?

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: The deadline was 22nd of April, 23:59 UTC. The filing of these requests has to take place before the April 28, 2013 or April 29,, 2013. The filing which I can find him, however since we are meeting now we after making the decisions right now so, if there are any changes in amendment these are too late for this committee to meet.

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: I do not know if anyone from staff will be able to give any insight as to what happened on the LACRALO call?

HEIDI ULLRICH: Silvia and I were on a call, and there was some discussion about these proposals. There were emails and calls, that was read on the records, leading some concerns, yesterday following the call Jose sent a slightly revised proposal that may have included some changes and that is the version we are looking for certainly. So, at that point I am not sure that you would like to have LACRALO get back to make additional changes and that is the status of this proposal.

---

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much Heidi. That certainly clears the confusion now. I unfortunately wasn't on the call either last night so I wasn't able to hear what went on. I take the note that Tijani has said with regards to actual costs that one could amend this proposal not putting the cost and let the staff evaluate the cost, if there were to be evaluated with regards to the Outreach Campaign, we have also supported an Outreach Campaign for NARALO so I would find it hard to block a LACRALO Outreach Campaign today and to choose between one region or another. Now with regards to it succeeding or not, your guess is as good as mine. It is going to be tough question, it does seem to be a bit pricey to get people to travel around and go to meet with potential ALS's. Usually what happens is meeting those at regional IGF is sometimes a better way to engage because you get to meet more than one type of potential structure from one country; you get to meet many at the same time.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: No Olivier, we have to support this request, for me it is necessary to support it, but since it has not been well finalized I think that Dev has to work with the staff to finalize only the technical aspects , not the content, because we as a committee we have to support it now, we have to decide that we would support it, but the final submission will be more or less arranged by Dev and the staff before the submission. Thank you.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you Tijani. Cheryl?

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Two things. I'll do the second one first just to confuse you all. Specifically to this application, I agree. I think we should be avoiding the cold-heart cash calculation and I hear what Glen has been typing into the chat, but I would suggest Glen that training for those people who

---

are writing these applications is something we need to attend to now and the next opportunity for funding cycle, we can't request at this time, but on a great level we can stop everything as well. I am certainly comfortable with perhaps suggesting that in principle support is given that it would have a better chance of being looked at in a more affirmative way if there was less cold-heart cash calculations and more clear criteria for what needs to be achieved. I would strongly suggest, however, that the budget and finance committee put a override on this and any other similar application that comes in at any future point in time where there is a regional plan developed or being developed. I think we need to have inasmuch as the following application and has some nexus in the existing regional plan, we should probably limit our undivided support to make sure that it seems to be complementary to those planning and outreach activities rather than cutting across it. I would just like to go back to my first point that I was going to make, and that is travelling road-show CRIPOLA, that I have seen over now for 10 plus years people ask for. This was successfully done by one of the regions previously where little travelling road-show occurred and it resulted in us having something like 7 At-Large structures from one particular country , not terribly helpful and a huge wastage of money in my particular view, so we need to be very careful for what we ask for more we expect out of these things. It is as you said Olivier, far better to make targeted outreach in existing opportunities than I don't know, arriving at the town hall and seeing who you can meet. Thank you.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND:

Thank you very much Cheryl for being so eloquent and for teaching us how to spell CRIPOLA, that is something to know, and certainly the Australian way sounds the same as the English way, so that's one thing

---

we can agree on finally. I totally hear what you are saying, and I also note that there has been support for the NARALO equivalent, I believe that we should file it without the numbers but at the same time I'm not sure that we are going to get it, the reason for it being the one that you spelled out and that I've said as well. If we are not able to get funding at meetings that are not ICANN meetings to do outreach I think the chance of being funded to go and meet with potential ALSs without even being in a general meeting is even more limited, but it's worth a try or at least worth seeing what the reply would be for this.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: No I am typing, I can't lower my hand, I am desperately trying to. If someone can do it for me that would be good.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Okay thank you. I don't know how you do that. Any other thoughts? If not then we will just pass this on and we will get Dev to work with staff to just clean it up on the technical grounds, take the numbers out and see what reply we get from ICANN Finance on this. So the next one is the NARALO URALO request which is just a repeat from prior years and that's again an outreach request and that's to send people to see Comdex to increase awareness of ICANN and specifically address At-Large participation. I see that the numbers which were derived here I think were derived from prior years I can't remember really. Could I ask Heidi, would you have an idea?

HEIDI ULLRICH: I did not quite get the question.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: The question is we are now looking at the next request which is the NARALO and URALO request for travelling, for travel support to CEBIT and Comdex Las Vegas and it's the same as prior years, it has numbers

---

in there, were they numbers have gone over the years that we managed to get over the years or do we also have to strip the numbers on that?

HEIDI ULLRICH: I would need to take a look at that. This has been updated by Darlene, and I don't know to what extent the actual numbers are current, so if I could just maybe have a couple of hours to look at that then I could give you a better reading on that then.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: OK, then thank you Heidi, maybe we could put this one aside. Does anyone have any objections to this thing being sent through as well?

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Roberto has his hand up, and I think we should treat all of this the same.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Roberto, you have the floor.

ROBERTO GAETANO: It seems that Cheryl can't get her hand down and I can't get my hand up, so we just trade and use the hand of Cheryl. Okay if you say it comes from previous years and so on, I was taken a bit by surprise. I have a concern with this and of course it has been years that I am not going to save this, but at one point of time I was going over the year and honestly I don't see the benefit in terms of outreach because one booth say that people were just rushing around, nobody will even care about the ICANN booth, but that's just my personal opinion and since I have no sufficient data in the discussion might well be wrong, but I'm just trying to think that we have just been refused a some support for participation to the ICANN student cries where we would really have had the opportunity to contact people that are interested in ICANN that at least people who are attending are aware of what ICANN is doing and I cannot see in other words I would see I'm not excluding to go to save it, but I think that is far less productive and important than the student



---

cries and then if the student cries has been rejected I cannot see how the ICANN board can look favorably to participation to a consumer, electronic consumer fair to be honest.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: OK, thank you very much Roberto. I see several people in the queue so I will let them speak and then I will add a few things. So Tijani is next.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: First of all the same remark, you are putting Dollars here, I do prefer that you put quantities and not Dollars. Second point, I am inclined to agree with Roberto because of the kind of the nature of the CEBIT. It doesn't give a lot of opportunity to do real outreach. But, I will not block it, I will not say no don't agree on it. But I think that it doesn't have the same result than the other request that we already agreed on. Thank you.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Okay thank you very much Tijani. Next is Wolf Ludwig.

WOLF LUDWIG: First of all as I have directly communicated to Olivier and Heidi, I do not believe that forwarding this request again and again will increase any chances and the second point is I also shared about raised by Roberto and Tijani already, that CEBIT has largest computer fair in Europe and at least 100,000 of participants etc. which will get quite a lot of money to rent such a booth, I do not really see the point anymore that we have reached the right target group to make effective outreach. In the mean time if we consider this type of fairs, I would see replica which is presently going on in Berlin what we came to net politics fair to reach our target population potential ALACs etc. would be much more effective and useful to organize then to be present at the CEBIT. I cannot see affairs which suggested in Las Vegas and in Taipei but as far

---

as I can see CEBIT I am not sure any longer whether it really is the best opportunity for outreach.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much Wolf Ludwig. Cheryl?

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: I put my hand up. I had to re boot and get my hand down last time. Sure for the record, Look, I think that anything this year that goes in is a request and anything that is not is clearly not highlighted or ICANN targeted activity anyway but that has a chance of getting through. I think that it will be astonished if several of these requests got any more than the same responses the ICANN student process has already got. That's it I can also see no reason to block providing we do the same to LSS Tijani has proposed the other ones doing all of this number and but yes, it think in all likelihood no, though I think it probably needs to be a discussion point in the cross community work group on engagements yes, that's probably the place this sort of thing should be discussed with. The whole of the ICANN community can mall over the relative analysis. Thank you.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Ok, thank you very much Cheryl there is one question, there doesn't seem to be any particular circumstances no chance in hell to get this proposal going through, some believe that this proposal is maybe not targeting the right people. I have heard the different arguments and points. I know that we are asking for a number of other things at the moment this year, if you remember we are also asking for the summit to take place, which will be treated separately, I also wonder about the overall optics of At-Large asking for many requests and ending up having all of those requests rejected. The optics go two ways, with regards to the other they might think we are asking for too much we

---

wanted all, with regards to ourselves, we have lot more no's than yes' we'll obviously get our community to be unhappy. I'm just concerned about the point that we made about the couple of people here that there is very little chances of this one going through if the student cry is going to get rejected.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND:

What is suggested is that we keep this one asleep, in other words, we don't send it this year, we will keep it on the side for the discussion and we would have with regards to wider outreach and engagements to work with the ICANN finance department on this. I am concerned that at the moment the student cries were rejected and this type of outreach is rejected have been rejected in the past and is looking as though it would be rejected in the future and even more targeted outreach which did not mention for example the policy outreach and I notice also in the chart Glen and Marie mentioning outreach with the civil society meetings. I'm concerned that this is all sealed all in the same bag and rejected because it is not related to ICANN, we need to have closure on this and align the public partnership committee and the work of global stakeholder relationship on one side with the speakers Bureau as well on the other side because that is another item that is at the moment still not completely and not finalized and the implication of the all LSS being able to participate in non ICANN events locally. It's a wider thing, I agree with Glen or Mary this has to be a more of ICANN At-Large things rather that specific RALO work. Yes, Roberto go ahead.

ROBERTO GAETANO:

I think this is a critical issue and that I think we have a strategic issue here. If we want to establish ourselves as a body that is sort of responsible that really targets the request and that knows how to what are our priorities and that we want to ask for the autonomy in managing

---

the money we cannot be seen as a body that puts forward that puts request that might be seen favorable for all it is it might get through. So I think that for the future this is an attitude that we have to take in one way of the other, either we say ok we try if it goes fine or we do our self control in these kind of things and therefore I think we will be more credible and we will be more require more of a reason of pushing for the ability to manage our own budget by ourselves.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Good point, thank you so much for that Roberto. Tijani?

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: I agree with Roberto 100% but with the condition that all members from all RALOs will be part not part and will attend to work with us in this subcommittee, if we have a reason that representatives are not present in our work it will not work, we will have to have all the RALOs compulsorily presented in this subcommittee in each meeting.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you Tijani, and I know the discussion is moving on to RALO outreach and we have these working groups that are dealing with this specifically and I know some of you are members of this working groups and I would appreciate if you would relate the discussion that we are having her over to those working groups at several level. Let's move on, so this one is refused, or let's not call it refused but it is not passed on, I know of course that one of the requesters and has himself mentioned that it was not a good ideas to proceed forward with this. There was one other request that was asked from me, Glen and Marie have asked me about the outreach request they had put together for NARALO, now this already being treated in the fast track request and I believe the one which has been deferred the decision has been deferred for the normal track, so we don't need to discuss it now since it is already being

---

discussed. Correct me if I am wrong, but is that the one we are talking about? Marie? Glen? The proposal which was received by me on March 18th was the one that was submitted. It's correct so ok, that is done and dealt with, we don't need to go back on it, we'll just have to wait and see what kind of ICANN finance comes up with this. Right I think that's all that the request that we have, one of the next steps our staff will submit those, so the APRI PF 2013 request and the LACRALO request over after performing the final cleaning up with according to the action items that we had and these will be sent before the deadline which is the 28th. Some have mentioned the controller@ICANN.org address there was some confusion in that, I think that part of the feedback that Tijani and I will be providing to ICANN finance will be that as far as our committee is concerned sending out this address to our committee just serves to confuse people, we have an internal process with the finance subcommittee to channel input to the controller ICANN.org address. I know some of you have sent the request directly and then had to send it to us and then there was some confusion there. That clearing up the information path is something that we have to work on. I think this year I admit it wasn't done too well. We've all had a bit of a hand in it unfortunately and it was not wanted so I do apologize if the information didn't go too well. Any comments or questions before I close this call? We start on the next step?

HEIDI ULLRICH:

Hello, this is Heidi here, this is one comment for the LACRALO one. I believe that the items are the numbers. I actually noted down the numbers of the country, did you wish to have the monetary numbers remain in there and secondly once this is revised should this go to the

---

LACRALO leadership and the person who submitted this for review for submission.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much Heidi. With regards to the number I believe is stripping of the cost numbers that's what Tijani suggested to serve to bring it in line with the other request, with regards to the sending it back to the LACRALO, I hope that the representatives or the people from LACRALO who are working on this, I guess that's Dev and Cheryl and she will be leaving directly back, I'm just concerned that things are going back and forth and the request being changed without this committee here seeing the request. We need to make sure it's the technical things that get changed and not the actual intent of the request itself.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Yes, Olivier, exactly what you said. It is made on a request and it has to not change on the content, it has been only run technically that's all.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Is that clear Heidi?

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Thanks very much, Cheryl here as all of you have noted just says we have not put the consumer on focus and I'm equally uncomfortable with the LACRALO one, minority one and I just wanted you to observe.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Ok, thank you very much Cheryl, that's noted as well. Okay, that's pretty much what we had to talk today, I apologize for the delay in closing this meeting and I have taken a bit more time than I originally thought, but we've done some good work here, and I thank you all for attending and this is not concluding our process, we are not going to comment on some of the process, I know that Tijani is going to follow up with ICANN Finance and if you are aware, we don't only deal with budget here we

---

also deal with the strategic works that ICANN is working on so, I'm sure there will be more of the FBSC in the near future. Thanks everyone and this call is now adjourned. Bye-bye.

[END OF TRANSCRIPT]