

Accountability and Transparency Review Team 2 (ATRT2)
Friday, 13 December 2013 – 19:00-21:00 UTC

Charla Shambley: (12/13/2013 09:43) Welcome to today's ATRT2 Call

Paul D.: (10:58) he's just driving fast ;-)

Brian Cute: (11:01) Trying to dial in.

demi getschko: (11:01) Hi everybody!

Avri Doria: (11:05) i have no made my changes yet. what version are we layering these on. i will be working over the weekend. had to do paying work the last few days.

Heather Dryden: (11:13) Hello all.

Michael Yakushev: (11:16) interruption&

Marika Konings: (11:24) Thank you and thanks to Alan for addressing our comments / concerns.

Alan Greenberg: (11:25) For the record, many of the staff concerns were raised by others as well, so the revisions are quite consistent with most of the input I have reviewed.

Paul D.: (11:26) thank you, Alan!

David Olive: (11:27) Thank you Alan for your comment and review of the current draft

Alan Greenberg: (11:28) :-)

Brian Cute: (11:33) I see your hand Alan. Is your hand up anew Avri?

Avri Doria: (11:36) so we may want to recommend the 360 review

Avri Doria: (11:36) and we want to make sure that the information is available o electors and selctros

Avri Doria: (11:38) it should vbe public.

Avri Doria: (11:41) i think getting a explicit definition of what is being provided might be something we can ask for, insteqd of the process itself. and next atrt can decide if it is good enough.

Olivier Crepin-Leblond: (11:48) the transparency of a 360 review of an individual person is soething that concerns me - a candidate's assessment could affect their future job prospects should it be negative & referenced on google....

Larisa Gurnick: (11:51) staff did provide information on this

Larisa Gurnick: (11:51) I will resend it to the team

Avri Doria: (11:53) drop it.

Avri Doria: (11:54) if a recommendation can't be done becasue the info doesn't exist, then the comparative study can't be done. Best reason I have heard for dropping an idea.

Denise Michel: (12:19) DIDP

Alan Greenberg: (12:22) All of this goes towards our original statement about getting transparency into the DNA of everything that ICANN does.

Fiona Alexander: (12:44) Alan - I have a question about the final GAC recommendation which deals with GAC earlier involvement in the PDP process. We have one in the GAC tempalte and then you covered in your template. Do we merge? Delete one?

Avri Doria: (12:59) at this point finishing it would be absurd!

Avri Doria: (12:59) except to note, this is what it was and this is it in its appropriate state of incompleation.

Avri Doria: (13:00) Shabbat Shalom y'all goin off to light candles.

demi getschko 2: (13:02) bye. have a nice week-end!

Fiona Asonga: (13:02) Thanks all nice weekend

David Olive: (13:02) Thank you everyone

demi getschko 2: (13:02) thanks to all for the good work

Charla Shambley: (13:05) Thank you for your participation today!

Charla Shambley: (13:05) Enjoy your weekend!