

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: So good morning, good afternoon and good evening everyone. This is the ALAC Executive Committee conference call on Monday, the 18th of March 2013. The time is 13.06 UTC and firstly we'll start with a roll call please.

GISELLA GRUBER: Thank you Olivier. Welcome to everyone. Gisella speaking. On today's call we have Olivier Crépin-Leblond, Rinalia Abdul Rahim, who seems to have dropped from the Adobe Connect so she probably... And she has not dialed into the [Bridge? 00:00:35] so she will be joining us again shortly. Tijani Ben Jemaa, Evan Leibovitch and Carlton Samuels. Liaisons today Cheryl Langdon-Orr and Alan Greenberg. We also have [Dev Alan Tillahing? 00:00:50] who's joined the call.

Apologies noted from Julie Hammer. And from staff we have Heidi Ullrich, Matt Ashtiani, Silvia Vivanco, and myself, Gisella Gruber. Just a kind reminder to please state your name when speaking for transcript purposes. Thank you. Over to you, Olivier.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much Gisella, and just checking everybody hears me well? [general agreement]

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: It is a little bit slow.

Note: The following is the output resulting from transcribing an audio file into a word/text document. Although the transcription is largely accurate, in some cases may be incomplete or inaccurate due to inaudible passages and grammatical corrections. It is posted as an aid to the original audio file, but should not be treated as an authoritative record.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Because I am in the UK and sometimes the sound may be a little bit faint, depending. So it seems that it is okay, so let's cross fingers that it continues like this. And let's have a look at Agenda Item #2, the review of Action Items from the 27th of February call. I invite you all to go to the respective Wiki page. We'll just look at the open Action Items and we will start with the first one, which is, Heidi to work with Cheryl and send an explanatory message to the ALAC, noting the next steps of the Rules of Procedure Working Group.

HEIDI ULLRICH: It has been completed, yeah.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: It's been completed? Fantastic, thank you Heidi. Next we have a number of Action Items relating to the planned Chinese Multi-Stakeholder Forum in Beijing. First, Hong Xue is to email the Global Stakeholder Engagement Groups, carbon copy in Heidi Ulrich and sending the group a written proposal for the planned Chinese Multi-Stakeholder Forum in Beijing. And that's done. Heidi, working with Hog, is to determine if any parts of the planned Chinese Multi-Stakeholder Forum in Beijing are already [address file concessions? 00:02:35], that's also done.

Gisella is to work out the logistics for a room for that planned Chinese Multi-Stakeholder Forum in Beijing. And Heidi is to determine the

protocol for engaging and inviting VIPs to those sessions. Gisella, logistics are worked out?

GISELLA GRUBER: Sorry, Gisella here. The room has been booked, yes, with interpretation.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay, thank you. Fantastic, that's great. And Heidi, the protocol for engaging VIPs, I guess that's been worked out as well?

HEIDI ULLRICH: Yes, this is Heidi. Just for clarification, the event that [exclusively 00:03:29] Chinese Multi-Stakeholder Forum, that was a different event, and the event that has been planned is just for the Chinese ALSs, and that's now Thursday morning in Beijing.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay, fantastic. So next, Olivier is to inform the... Contact the Regional Secretariat, asking them to contact the ASO Leadership, and Olivier is then to make those introductions with the ASO Leadership. This will be done in the hopes of promoting inter-regional collaboration. Inviting the RIRs to the [Reigning? 00:04:06] Secretariats' meeting and further in cross-committee collaboration. I'd be inclined to ask Olivier if he's done that, but Olivier doesn't have a clue whether he's done that, [laughing] since the last time. Heidi, have I carbon-copied you on this? Did you keep track of what I've done?

HEIDI ULLRICH: I don't believe so, and that was actually something I wanted to talk about under the Action Item for Beijing because we currently don't have any activity with the ASO Leadership.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay, fine. So let's talk about this later on during this call, and let's keep this particular Item unchecked because it appears that Olivier has not done what he was supposed to do. So, the next Items for discussion and I invite you all...

ALAN GREENBERG: It's Alan, I suggest a Chair [dress size? 00:04:55], Olivier. [laughing] I shall... the Chair will email Olivier with an urgent reminder of what Olivier has not done.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you Alan. Next, the Policy Advice Development Page, which I invite you all to have a look at. And I realize we've had several recently adopted ALAC statements and documents. They're all listed on the Agenda. But now I invite you all to take time to look at the statements or endorsements currently being developed, and first the FY 14 Committee Travel Support Guidelines. Tijani, you've written down as confirming a statement if necessary. Tijani, do you have any updates on this?

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Hi everyone. Nothing, no Olivier. There is nothing new in this matter. I don't know why it is now on the Agenda. We already... We did the statement a long time ago.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: We did, Tijani, but now for next year's... So FY 14 Travel Support Guidelines have been published, the question is whether we need to draft another statement together.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Yeah, all right. Yeah, all right. I am sorry, I didn't pay attention and so I am sorry I didn't do anything for FY 14.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay, thank you Tijani. Alan, you put your hand up?

ALAN GREENBERG: I was just going to say that I haven't read it yet but I can't imagine that we don't need to comment on it. [laughing] They always get things wrong, plus they're continuing as our current, whatever, frustrations illustrate it still needs work. And I'm sure they have some just plain facts wrong as well, so I think we need to sort out something but I haven't looked at it yet. I'm certainly willing to read it over and make some comments.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you Alan. Tijani, if I could ask you to read through those, and I guess Alan will as well. We have a Wiki page, which has been created

for this. I think that it's important that if there are errors we stamp down on them again for the simple reason that the person who was going to oversee this is changing; [Steve Vantinoff? 00:07:53] was in charge until now and [Diane Schroner? 00:07:58] is going to pick up at that point and Steve Vantinoff is moving to another department, or dealing with other responsibilities. So with some new person coming in, it's important that we iterate what errors there are, and if it's the same errors as last year it might just be a job of cut and paste and adding the word 'again', at the beginning of a sentence. Any other comments on this?

ALAND GREENBERG: No, sorry. That was my hand that was already used.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay, back to you Tijani.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Okay, I will do already, okay.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Fantastic, thank you. Next, the ICANN FY 14, Security, Stability and Resiliency Framework, and Julie is to confirm if a statement is necessary. Now, Julie has been... Is unable to attend the call. It appears she has sent in a note with regards to [laughing] – if I can find it, that's always the question – with regards to this. Staff, do you have a copy of this note, by any chance?

MATT ASHTIANI: Sorry Olivier, what was that?

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay, I've got it. I've got a note actually from Julie on SSAC 057 where she has provided a short summary of what has been drafted on SAAC 057 that hasn't got anything to do with FY 14, Security, Stability and Resiliency Framework, so if I could ask... Yes? So if I could ask staff to remind Julie of this public comment and find out if a statement is necessary.

Next, a consultation on [Route Zone KFK Rollover? 00:10:06]. That has been fronted to the Technical Issues Working Group. I believe there hasn't been any feedback from them so far. Since there hasn't been any feedback and it is quite a technical thing, I would just suggest that the ALAC does not comment on this. Does anyone else have a point of view on this? I'm hearing no one shout anything out. If we could just say "no statements" unless somebody says otherwise. It does close in April, so we will... Rather I will ask the question on the wider ALAC call next week.

Proposed 2013 RAA posted for comments. Alan is working on a statement, it says here, and of course we do have an RAA call later on today which I invite you all to attend, so we can establish the points which we would like to make. I just wanted to note that on the RAA call I have spoken with [Nicola Lym? 00:11:22] and you have not invited any Registrar to the call and so there will be a Registrar present. We're not sure whom yet, but there will be a representative from the Registrars

present there and they will be able to expose their point of view there and hopefully that will help us draft a statement.

Next, Statement on WCIT Outcomes, and you might be seeing my final report on WCIT and suggested next steps for ourselves and for ICANN and for [DALA? 00:12:03]. I will pretty soon be drafting a statement on this, or proposing the drafting of a statement on that. Okay, I see no one wishing to comment on this?

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR:

Cheryl here, I keep dropping out of the damn room, sorry. I'm spending more time on the trouble-shooting page than I am in the... Just on that, Olivier, just letting you know that on that... What day is it? I think it's Thursday morning this week, my local time; there will be a public and community... An Internet Community in Australia meeting in Canberra about WCIT as well, so I know I'll be there and I'm assuming Holly will be, so if you like we could ensure any report out of that also comes back via you?

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND:

Thank you very much Cheryl, that's a good point. That would really be helpful; of course we're all very much involved in this and interested in what's going on, so that would be appreciated. And of course, I'll let everyone know that the report which I have sent through the ALAC is public, so if you have people in your circles that might be interested in the view that I had, I guess, having been there on the ground, then it's interesting to share notes with other people who either were there, or

were not there, or were there remotely, or are just merely interested in the subject.

Okay, next we have the consultation on gTLD Delegation and Re-Delegation Performance Standards and the same thing for the ccTLD Delegation, Re-Delegation Standards. I understand Cheryl has drafted some text; it's in Alan's hands. Alan, have you had any chance to look at this?

ALAN GREENBERG:

I have not, but I will be doing it right after the RAA call. Getting ready for that was my priority since I've been back from LA. But I'll have it out today, and I know that's short time but it won't be a very long statement, thank you.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND:

Okay, thank you very much Alan. Next, you can note that there are a lot of current public comments going on, there's a revised new gTLD Registry Agreement, including Additional Public Interest Commitment Specification. There was a note that was drafted that... I believe it was Holly Raiche that drafted a statement on this. There is a final draft version, now on the Wiki and the ALAC will be voting on this very shortly. Alan, your hand is up.

ALAN GREENBERG:

No, it isn't. You're just imagining it. [laughing]

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay, that’s interesting. When I blink, the hand disappears. That’s amazing.

ALAN GREENBERG: Isn’t that amazing?

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: He might be pulling your leg, Mr. Chairman, I’m not sure.

ALAN GREENBERG: No, I was pulling the leg of Olivier, not the chair.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Oh, okay.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Next. Let’s look at this. The locking of a domain name, subject [UDRP? 00:15:41] proceeded. An initial report has been drafted and Alan is to confirm if a statement is necessary. Now, I know, Alan, you’ve had plenty of time to read all these things. Do you think we should have a statement or not?

ALAN GREENBERG: I have not had time to read the draft report, but I am Vice Chair of that working group and we do not need to comment.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay, thank you very much Alan. So I believe that is one thing we don't need to comment on, but we have currently open public comments, yes! And I'll quickly read through them because so far they are all noted as being no statements. So the first one would be Interim Report IDM ccTLD Policy Development Process – and, by the way, I invite anybody to shout out “stop” if you wish to add anything to what I'm reading here – so, Interim Report IDM ccTLD Policy Development Process, consultation on INS Secure [Certification? 00:16:42] Process, preliminary Issue Reports on uniformity of reporting, proposed modification of GNS or PDP manual to address the suspension of a PDP. I wonder why there's a 2013 here, I guess there's already been a 2012 next to this. And revised proposal of the ATDR – that's another acronym – to serve as a UDRP, Dispute Resolution Service Provider. We could really call it revised proposal, or RP of the ATDR to serve as UDRP DRSP. Anyone on that? Don't see anyone. So, no statement on all of these. Of course, if any of you believe that there should be, just drop a line on the mailing list.

So next, we're going into the objections filing process and making of the next steps. Well, several of us were on the previous call which was a new gTLD Working Group, and there's been an extended discussion on the four objections which were considered by the Review Group, but there's three that were finally filed by the Review Group, or by the ALAC, sorry, upon voting. And so the statement as it is at the moment, is we've not had a statement from the Dispute Resolution Provider. We have had confirmation from ICANN. The New gTLD Department that the objections have been received by them, but nothing from the Dispute Resolution Provider, apart from one. May I just ask Matt whether he has followed up with them, whether there's been any feedback?

HEIDI ULLRICH: Olivier, this is Heidi. Can I just supersede that just for a moment?

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Yes Heidi, please go ahead.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Yeah, so I've heard now from ICANN that they have received only one, officially, so I'm following up with them because clearly all three were cc'd to the same exact address. I forwarded those all three to the New gTLD Groups in ICANN, confirming that they've now received all three, and asking whether that was a technical problem, so I'm waiting to hear back from them. And that's ICANN.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Now, that's very strange.

HEIDI ULLRICH: It is, very strange.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Now, I have one, two, I have I think received three confirmations from ICANN.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Correct, as I did. So I forwarded the fax to [Anne/Ann? 00:19:43], who we spoke with, as well as another person in the team, and just asking

them to confirm whether there was a technical glitch and pointing out that the exact same email address was used each time, and I'm just waiting for them to confirm if there's any follow-up actions. And that's on the part of ICANN. And so that's been... Yep.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay. And you have provided them with the new gTLD case respond numbers?

HEIDI ULLRICH: Yeah, because again, the communication that you sent had all the information. So I've just seen that and I'll write [contingent? 00:20:25] notes and I'll... It's so early here in Los Angeles so I will let you know when they respond. I think that it's just a technical issue. I know that they did have a number... A relatively large number, so I'm not sure what's happening. I'm not concerned. There's the evidence, you have the confirmation, etc.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much Heidi. That sounds like another snaffle, but there you go. Situation normal. So, where were we? Let's just assume that these were received and things are not just lost in the ether somewhere. The next steps really are what needs to be done. So the discussions that took place on the New gTLD Working Group was that the Working Group will be sending an email through the ALAC to suggest the creation of a follow-up group, I'm not quite sure exactly of the details of it, but the email is to be received before our ALAC call next

week and the ALAC will be able to act on this directly. I think that, in a jiffy, that's what the correct condition is at the moment. Post, objecting, filing, [assidies? 00:21:46], there we are. Okay, any questions or comments on this? I don't see anyone putting their hand up, so then we can move onto Agenda Item #5.

The review of the week in the ICANN headquarters. And that's for Olivier and Alan to provide details of the meetings that Alan and I had with various staff. I invite you all to quickly look at the Agenda that was there, and so the first meeting – Alan, please feel free to jump in as needed – but I'll just quickly list through those. So the first meeting we had was on new communication tools and on the ALAC At-Large website folks, Alan and I met with [Chris Gibbs? 00:22:41], who is the new person in charge of the systems, that's going to be dealing with, I think it's content, but not the actual ALAC website.

And then we had a meeting with [Mark Salvosara? 00:23:00] who is the web-master, and followed up with the ALAC website – just to say that I haven't got the exact detailed notes on this, in fact I don't have any notes whatsoever – but from memory, and it feels like a year ago, the meetings went well, we were told that there was going to be movement both on the website. On one side, since Mark Salvosara has had a chance to look at all of the problems that we're being faced with, and Chris Gibbs is also on the case. Perhaps if I could ask Heidi to... Because I know that she has taken notes to provide us with a few more details. Her memory might be a bit better than ours.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Okay, this is Heidi. So both on the meeting with Chris Gibbs or just for the one with Mark? It was... Olivier?

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Chris and Mark.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Okay, so, yeah, Chris Gibbs is now the VP for new communication tools and he will be meeting along with Sally and Jimmy [Trendrills? 00:23:56], with the ALAC and [Regional? 00:23:58] Officers, on Sunday, to talk a little bit about what his role will be. He's working very closely with that department; he's in that department. He's also going to be working... Attending the [GTF/CTF? 00:24:11] Meeting in Beijing, to let them know what he can offer them. He's spoken with Dev and he's aware of all the results of the interviews that Mark and Matt carried out with the At-Large community regarding the updating of the At-Large website. And Chris does not deal with the actual ICANN.org or the At-Large website, doesn't deal with the content. So what we're doing in terms of the next steps of the updating of the At-Large website, there have been some problem [X? 00:24:44], but we've now... Through that meeting with Mark, I think that we've overcome those.

We're going to move forward with two key things. One, Matt has been spending a lot of his time this trimester updating the correspondent stage, including getting all of the statements in the correct format, getting all of translations done, that's all coming along, they all should be posted by Beijing. And that again, Matt, correct me if I'm wrong, but all those links make up about 60% of the At-Large website. So that right

there is a significant state. For the short-term, to get some aesthetic changes done we've set out a timeline of activities and actions to give the look a bit of an update before going to a further update. This includes changing the correspondent page to a tab called Policy Advice Development Activities, and some various other things. We can send you that link. We have a document of all the actions for that and that will be carried out in the next week or two, we think.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much Heidi. So then we're right off to that. We had a conference call with [Steve Vatinall? 00:25:57] on the [air? 00:25:59] that travel issues, the usual problems came back, but one of the main take-outs from this call was that the ALAC would be asking for standing additional funding for the ExCom to be able to spend one additional night, on the Friday night, since the Ex-Com meets on the Friday morning and it was introducing undue amount of stress on the ExCom Members to both check-out and leave the hotel barely a couple of minutes after the ExCom meeting was closed. I think that Heidi had put her hand up, and then Alan. So, Heidi first.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Thank you Olivier. Very quickly, two points. For Beijing, the exception for all ExCom Members and Liaisons to stay over that Friday night, the 12th April, has been approved and [contingency/constituency? 00:26:58] travel has been informed of that, our [inaudible 00:27:00] has been informed of that, so we will get a notice out to you hopefully today or

tomorrow and then [Joseph? 00:27:07] will be... That cost will be covered for the hotel. And the second part in that...

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you Heidi.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Also, just real quickly, however [Durban? 00:27:15] might give somebody a different Request, that one might need to be an [Exception/Acception?] Request because the AC Request [only? 00:27:21] will see for Fiscal Year 14. Actually, Durban isn't [particular caution? 00:27:25], never mind.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much Heidi. Yes, Durban is indeed in Fiscal Year 14. Alan?

ALAN GREENBERG: Thank you. To the extend that we need to do any formal Request on this, I think we need to reiterate that we are tired of having to make special Requests for things that were already accepted as the norm and then changed without our involvement.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Hand up.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much Alan. Cheryl?

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Thank you. And I'm sorry for saying "hand up", Cheryl for the record. The AC room, you all had a delay with a couple of minutes every time I typed anything into the AC room, the whole thing has looped over and anything I'm typing is not getting up. And in fact it usually does a route connection loop on me, so I'm just going to jump in here.

Just following on from that, Alan, what I had typed in relation to the entire matter of the 2014 Travel Guidelines, financial year, you will note in that that your allocation for At-Large travel is listed as 25, and of course we had previously 27. 25 is up to 27 where the two Bylaw Mandated Liaisons to the ALAC are not part of the ALAC or ASO Leadership of the regions. What you've got in the proposed rules is not including GNSO or ccNSO or any other form of liaison at all. And you've lost all of those exceptions mentioned again, so you're really going to have to come down hard on that because this is getting beyond ridiculous.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much Cheryl. Next, it's Carlton.

CARLTON SAMUELS: Thank you, thank you Olivier. This is Carlton, for the record. Cheryl just said what I wanted to say but I still thought I should say it. I am really getting resentful of having to go cap-in-hand every year for this business of travel. Like Cheryl pointed out, if you look at the list it says 25 Members from the ALAC. Most of us know that it's more than 25 if we

use the same formula as Cheryl has pointed out; they leave out people that we usually have supported. And I don't know what is required to get the people involved here to understand that this is a standing committee's standing business; it doesn't change from year to year on whim or fancy or anyone else. And it really does get up my nose that we have to always go cap-in-hand. It really does bother me because it goes to my fundamental disagreement with it. There is no value placed on our contributions to this business and it bothers me. Thank you.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much Carlton. I noticed that Heidi had her hand up again?

HEIDI ULLRICH: No, sorry. That's an old hand, sorry.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay. So next, Alan.

ALAN GREENBERG: Thank you. I'm going to reiterate the 25 people for ALAC. We were told at one point we had 27, ALAC plus the two Mandated Liaisons, or three Mandated Liaisons. Now, then we're told that's "Ah, but it's only if Liaisons are not ALAC Members". Now it's back to 25. What the 'something' is going on. So at this point we are not supportive for Julie coming to the meeting, if she should be able to make it. And in the fiscal year that they're talking about there, the Liaisons may not be

ALAC Members or may not be supported from other groups like Cheryl is, and we're going to have to fight for it again. I am bloody tired of this. Forgive the tone of my voice.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much Alan. And I guess I can say, for the second time, it's another snaffle, but that's fine. I'd like to move on. I think we know what we'd like to do here. There is certainly a follow-up that will be done in the FY 14 Request. And in any case, Julie is usually covered by the FAC, but it is true that GNSO and CTNSO Liaisons should be covered. [overtalking]

ALAN GREENBERG: Excuse me Olivier, does the FAC guarantee to fund her? Or is it...?

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: No, she is not guaranteed in any way. I would have no problem if the travel guideline rules said the receiving bodies had your Liaisons mandated into their budget. That would be fine. Add another one onto GNSO; add another onto FAC. That would be fine. And leave ALAC at 25.

ALAN GREENBERG: Cheryl, I have to note that for several years... That GNSO was funded by its Liaison, which is refused to apply for, the liaison. So be careful what you ask for. I know it makes no sense! I know it makes no sense, but that's the way it was and I can't allow that to happen.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Julie is not... Julie has... It's absolute Russian roulette, if she does or does not. And in fact it's more likely that it'll only be one in every three, four or five meetings that she would be given a turn.

ALAN GREENBERG: As I said, I would be surprised if we didn't have any comments. We obviously do have comments.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay, thank you very much. And let's just averse all of that energy when we draft that statement to comment on the FY 14 Travel Support Guidelines. I'd like to move on. I know that this is a touchy subject but we need to just get on with our Agenda.

The next thing... The next meeting that we had was a meeting with [Maggie Sarah? 00:34:13]. Oh! Another touchy subject – Compliance. How to make ALAC meetings with Compliance more effective. And so both Alan and I had an extended discussion with her and with [Paul Redmund? 00:34:27], one of our staff, to basically find out what is going on in Compliance and what are the new developments and whether things are improving. I know that Alan has had a bit more of an insight into the discussion, because he had more time with her, even after our meeting. So Alan, you have the floor.

ALAN GREENBERG:

Thank you. First however, on the previous one, the formal meeting we had with Steve, who wasn't present, he was on his way onto a plane and it wasn't the best of set-ups for that. However the discussion itself was pretty non-productive, largely focusing on why what we wanted was difficult or impossible. I did however have a separate, accidental meeting with Diane Schroner and Steve, later on in the day... The next day, I guess. And that one was a little bit more positive in that I pointed out that we really need to figure out how to solve the problems, not be told they're impossible. [clears throat] And although we still have a way to go, the tone was a little bit more positive.

On the meeting with Maggie, I don't think we came to any closure as to how to make the meetings more productive, although everyone in the room seemed to feel it was necessary. I did have a follow-on meeting with Maggie and Paul, however, looking at some of the slides which they had presented in Toronto, which I hadn't had the opportunity to see, and some of the slides that weren't presented in Toronto. And I feel very strongly that they are getting a handle on being able to enforce compliance much better than they ever did before. They have access to tools, which will highlight problems before they become disastrous. To what extent they will use those tools and take action, of course remains to be seen. But they seem to be getting closer to the point where they could conceivably be a viable, working, functioning compliance operation serving ICANN and the community.

So from that perspective I'm much more optimistic than I was before. And I made a number of suggestions as to what they should do in public presentations to be able to convince the community of that. Some of

the slides... Some of the things I saw where internal ICANN analysis and stuff which they clearly cannot present publicly because they need Registrars and things like that, but I suggested that in some cases they mock them up so they could at least show the kinds of information they have access to. So we'll see where that goes. I think this is one of those cases where I think the expression, 'the proof is in the pudding'. Whether they do it and follow-up, we'll see. But they appear to be getting closer to having the tools to allow them to. Thank you.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you Alan. Next, it's Carlton.

CARLTON SAMUELS: Thank you Olivier. This is Carlton, for the record. I just want to add to what Alan was saying. I sent around to ask some questions for some data from Compliance, to staff. And it occurs to me that one of the things that might be useful for us, is when they present data they present it in the context of the issues that we're interested in, like worries, and so on. It seems to me that would improve the kind of information that we get from Compliance and add to our discussions about the issues that At-Large are very much interested in. Thanks.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much Carlton. Heidi, you put your hand up?

HEIDI ULLRICH: Yes Olivier. I'm sorry to come back, just a clarification on the results of the meeting with Maggie. My notes did indicate that there was an agreement on how to make the meetings more effective. So again, the format would be more structured. There would be a fifteen-minute introduction, presentation, by Maggie. And on four topics, [front end? 00:39:12], Enforcements and Audits, and then the questions and answer period session immediately after that would be structured along those four topics. So it would be a much more structured format. Thank you.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much. Carlton, your hand was up?

CARLTON SAMUELS: Oh, I don't know. Thank you.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: So I think we'll have to... Well I can ask staff to compare notes with Maggie to make sure that she's got that take-out. And hopefully we will have a short presentation that will be effective for us and that we will be able to work on, and that will satisfy our Members. Certainly from what I've heard – and I didn't see the extra information that Alan saw, because I was in another meeting – but the information that I heard was that there are tools now in place, to be able to do things that Compliance was not able to do in the past. And so it would really, indeed, help if we could have an insight into what those tools are, with of course dummy data, so we can be reassured that they're going to be able to do what they say they're going to do. I know it's taken a long

time and the tools are just about rolling out. And from what we've heard, it has taken a bit more time to roll these tools out than originally intended. Let's move onto the next meeting... Oh, you've got your hand up again. Oh yes, Alan, go ahead.

ALAND GREENBERG: Just to be clear; the tools that I looked at were demonstrated in Toronto. It's just that in one case a particular slide, or particular screen image was not presented. And in another case they presented it... I don't know how you put this... They presented what a screen looked like, a dashboard for a good Registrar, and I suggested that they put one for a bad Registrar because that illustrates the ability to notice things that otherwise you might not recognize. But they have demonstrated... In general they have demonstrated the tools. I think they can just do it slightly better which will demonstrate the capabilities better. Thank you.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much Alan. So next was the lunchtime meeting discussing the At-Large Summit and the Fiscal Year 14 AC Budget Requests. That's funny because on various things that's just Olivier, Alan and I. In fact, that also included [Ravier Calvert? 00:42:10], and we basically came down to discussing the progress of the Requests. Now the Finance and Budget Subcommittee, I think, has got this very well in hand. I don't have any specifics to add to this. It looks as though if we send the right information and the right Requests for the At-Large Summit, there is a chance that this will be considered. And for those of

you who have not been told, the target date would be June 2014, to take place in London. As for the FY 14 Budget Requests that would come in from the [RALOs? 00:42:58], there was a question of being sent to the RALOs and as we speak the whole space should fill itself up. Heidi, do you have any notes on this meeting that you can share with us?

HEIDI ULLRICH:

Yeah, so we spoke to ATLAS. Again, the PPC... Olivier and I will be speaking with the PPC on Sunday morning in Beijing on the ATLAS budgets including, as well as the proposals. And there was more openness on how the financing of that will be... Olivier perhaps you can discuss that if you like, but I think Olivier and Alan came away thinking that an attitude change is coming along in ICANN for Requests for At-Large on issues. If necessary, issues. The other point was on the AC Requests. It was decided that, yes, there would be a Request from ALAC for the additional night. In Fiscal Year 14 for all meetings, that would be [up/able?] 00:44:11] Requests for some of the, for example, planned ITF meetings [work groups? 00:44:17] there. And I think that's it. The deadline for the proposal in budget for the ATLAS to get to the PPC [and the Finance Committee] on the 27th of March. So that's something that the [FCSC? 00:44:30] and the ALAC need to finalize prior to that date.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND:

Thank you Heidi. The next is Alan.

ALAN GREENBERG: Just, first note, Heidi, the Wiki page that you pointed to doesn't actually list who we met with on that meeting.

HEIDI ULLRICH: I know, I'm sorry. We got overwhelmed by all the meetings...

ALAN GREENBERG: Okay, no, no, I'm just telling it for the people who looked at it. It was, to be clear, it was [Javier Calvez? 00:44:58], Chief Financial Officer. I'll give a very short summary. Javier said to us things that we used to say to him and his predecessors and be told we were crazy – in terms of how funding should work, the fact that if At-Large is to be effective it's going to need more money, and the details... Some of the details on Regional Assemblies and Summits. I can't put it any clearer than that, and I said it to him. He was saying to us as a matter of how he saw things, things that we used to be crazy when we asked for. So I'm feeling much more positive than I ever have before from that perspective.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you Alan. Next is Tijani.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Thank you Olivier. I have two questions. First question – will the Request for the Summit be on the Admissiory Request that ALAC will send to the Financial Department? Second point, the idea, for example, that ALAC will be at the third week of October so it will fall into the first ALAC [process? 00:46:27]. I don't know if ALAC has already received

some Request for the first [ALAC? 00:46:35]. But I think it is a little bit late now since the deadline will be 22nd of March and we are 18th of March. And I think that perhaps we have to remind people if they have any activity that will fall before the end of October so that we can act for additional funds. Thank you.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much Tijani. And I also have sent something out to remind everyone. I certainly have sent to ALAC [announce? 00:47:20], Secretariats and Finance Subcommittee, plus all of the Regional Lists, reminding everyone regarding the General Assembly, the Internet Governance Forum and a mention on the ExCom meeting. Did you not receive it? It was sent on the 14th. Tijani?

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Yes. I do not understand what you said. The line is very bad, Olivier. [overtalking]

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Sorry Tijani, I've sent an email out on the 14th of March reminding all of the RALOs for their Request regarding the General Assemblies but also regarding the Internet Governance Forum Workshops, mentioning that the preliminary call for the deadline for the preliminary call for Workshop Proposals was the 22nd of March. So Requests for Workshops will be dependent on the Workshops being accepted at the [MAG? 00:48:31]. And of course these also need to be filed as soon as possible and be fast-tracked. I also mentioned the Request for the ExCom

meeting, [Additional Light? 00:48:46]. But the important thing was although there is a fast-track and there is, I guess, a slow-track as well, the Finance and Budget Subcommittee asked for all of the Requests to be send as soon as possible, before the earlier deadline. So that's to be able to, themselves treat the first set of Requests on the fast-track as a priority and then the Finance and Budget Subcommittee would have a bit more time to deal with the other Requests afterwards, if we have to file them later on.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Yes, Olivier. And I think that today must be the last day must be the last day for the fast track to be [overtalking]...

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Correct, yeah.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Because we have the [22nd? 00:49:31] to send the ALAC Requests.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Correct, yeah.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: So at least two days to [acquiesce? 00:49:36].

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: That's right. Okay, next is Rinalia.

RINALIA ABDUL RAHIM: Thank you Olivier, this is Rinalia for the transcript. Heidi, regarding the RALO deadline, which is today, your time, I have a request. Can you hear me?

HEIDI ULLRICH: Yes, Rinalia, I can hear you.

RINALIA ABDUL RAHIM: Heidi?

HEIDI ULLRICH: Yes, I can hear you.

RINALIA ABDUL RAHIM: By 6.00 pm your time, if you do not receive an application form from AFRALO could you please send me and Holly an email?

HEIDI ULLRICH: Yes, will do that. Thank you.

RINALIA ABDUL RAHIM: The reason being that Holly is away and she's asked for us, as Vice Chair to fill in the gaps, and I'm not exactly sure whether it was a clear 'yes'. And I just want to make sure that something is in and if somehow it falls through then Holly and I will work something out and I'll scramble an application or something. Thank you.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay, thank you very much. Tijani, you still have your hand up?

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Sorry, it's a no-hand.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay, thank you.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Sorry, Cheryl here, sorry Olivier, I had no audio out of the AC room while I was disconnected. Oh, and good, it's not doing another connection. These AC room are going from bad to even worse at the moment, by the way. That was a general comment for the techs to look at. Again, did Rinalia mention anything about AFRALO? I'm sorry if she did.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Yes, Cheryl.

ALAN GREENBERG: Yes, she did.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: If there was nothing received by this evening then she would be told via an email so they could file a last minute Request.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Could I suggest that – that’s why I said I might need to put my hand up after Rinalia – I think we just need to put in a placeholder for... Funding for a Regional Workshop. And I think we can create something that works from a very ICANN and multi-stakeholder model topic and put that in to the IGS people as well. I’m very concerned that we don’t have a placeholder in there, and perhaps Rinalia and Tijani can work hand-in-hand on that. But I’m happy to give them a hand offline for generic titles and such. But this worries me hugely because what I’m hearing from [Saudi? 00:52:39] in meetings around the regions is saying, “yes, do these sorts of things” and “these are the sorts of things we should be doing”. And what I’m hearing form the internal support and budget guidelines and travel requirements etc., is the exact opposite. So I think we should put one in, regardless. Sorry if I’ve just repeated everything, but...

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much Cheryl. Right, so let’s move on with our Agenda. And so much for having finished in one hour, we still have a number of things to discuss. Next on our day, which was a very full day, was the online platform details and the At-Large Capacity Building needs, and that was a meeting with [Matthew Shears? 00:53:29] who has recently been hired. Matthew Shears used to be with the Internet Society and worked on online courses and so we had a call, which had Matthew with us... Sorry, which had Matthew on the line, with [Sandra Husselwinker? 00:53:52], [Sallah? 00:53:53] had also Tijani on the call and we basically tried to coordinate all of the activities which we have, to introduce other ones to Matthew. I understand that Matthew has already met with

Sandra a couple of weeks ago in Paris, so it was really an introduction call. Heidi, do you have any additional notes as to what came out of this meeting?

HEIDI ULLRICH:

Yes, Olivier. This is Heidi. Very in brief, I think it was a very good discussion on what is being planned. Matthew is very open on the [set? 00:45:33] there's going to be by, by Beijing, a business plan. [AVEDA? 00:54:38] by Durban, and then hopefully the modules will be... The initial modules for the online platform will be up and running by the AGM. He will be meeting with both the Academy Working Group as well as the Capacity Building Working Group in Beijing, and possibly the African, AFRALO African meeting in Beijing. I think that's basically it, at this point.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND:

Okay, thank you very much Heidi. Next is Tijani.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA:

Thank you Olivier. Yes, it was a productive meeting. What I understood is that Matthew is, more or less, a platform developer and not a content producer. So Matthew will prepare the tools for the capacity building and the content will be brought by your [trainers? 00:55:40], by us, by all contributors. I think this was the reality; if I am mistaken, please tell me, Heidi.

HEIDI ULLRICH: That is correct Tijani, and I know that over the weekend there were some emails regarding the AFRALO Task Building modules but I'm going to take that back to [Metadata? 00:56:07] and thoughts on some might be parallel processes for first content and the actual tools. Okay?

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay, thank you very much. Right, let's continue then. So that was a call that we had, and after that we were supposed to meet with [Nancy Le Piano? 00:56:32] on a conference call, regarding the At-Large Summit to logistics for London. Unfortunately this did not happen so unfortunately there was no movement on this. The next day... Sorry, on the Friday of that week, Alan and I had a meeting with [Fadi Ismael? 00:56:56] on the ALAC priorities, and we touched primarily on three main points, if I get this correctly. Again I can't find the actual required message for this. If I can understand this one?

HEIDI ULLRICH: Olivier, I'll have that into your site in just a moment.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay, thanks. Just to say, the meeting with Fadi was very helpful in deed. Here we go. So, a key take-away that we had was to establish an exchange or internship program for At-Large Community Members and other Members of the ICANN community. This was in response really to what we had mentioned; the ongoing engagement with our Members was something that was possible viewed as important. We also have staff that is always overworked. And so Fadi suggested that there might

be some people who would be from the At-Large Community, who might be joining ICANN for a given amount of time, as an intern. Something which did raise our eyebrows.

Of course, in addition to this... That was one big thing that Fadi came up with and he noted that if your volunteer might not work in all of the cultures. We certainly had some discussion as to how hard it is to continue our capacity building in some regions. I think that part of the initial discussion was started on how to go from At-Large v3 to At-Large v4, and building this through the Summit that will take place in London. So that's the point of view that he brought in. And of course, so far, this was only talk. Now I'm waiting with Heidi to try and get this in writing and see where we can go from here. I don't know if you've followed-up on this, Heidi, has there been any follow-up in writing on that?

HEIDI ULLRICH: In terms of follow-up... Sorry, on the meeting with Fadi?

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: On the possible internship.

HEIDI ULLRICH: No, not yet. But again, as I told Olivier and Alan, this is something that Fadi has been speaking to me about since the time he started. He has this idea of bringing in young people who could work with staff and the community. And now he actually added that it would be part of the community. So I'm having a call with David Olive later today and we can perhaps move forward on that. In the note that you sent to Fadi, the

real take-away, was that when Fadi speaks to the ALAC and At-Large in Beijing, on Monday morning, that there would be already some first steps addresses prior to that and then maybe we could take that farther in Beijing, for this exchange program.

Now this would not just be for At-Large, it would be across some of the key departments, including policy, global stakeholder engagements and even some technical departments. And this also goes into the thinking behind the online education platform that is being developed with Matthew, where there might be an [interpretation? 01:00:56] program afterwards where people complete a number of these modules.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you Heidi. Alan, you had your hand up?

ALAN GREENBERG: Yeah, thank you. I just wanted to give a quick summary, that I ended up being quite impressed both by what Fadi said to us in private, plus what he has said in his introduction into the ATRT 2 talk, that he really does seem to have a handle on what some of the issues are, far more than any other CEO we've ever seen. So I am optimistic. Just as a measure, I'll add one comment he made off-hand, that the kind of money we're talking about internships is not large, but if the only way to find it is for him to forgo a business-class ticket to London and put the money into internships, so be in. And I think that kind of attitude is refreshing. Thank you.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much Alan. That certainly got a smile on our faces, when he said that. Any questions or should we just move on? I realize the time is going quite fast.

ALAN GREENBERG: Olivier, it's Alan. Yes, I think we should move on. I've got a heart-stop on a half hour if I'm to be able to be ready for the RAA meeting.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay, so let's go now, #6 – the endorsement of the new ICANN meeting strategy group. Now this is one part of the call which I thought we could do in-camera, since we will be discussing several people. Just to give you a quick run-down of the point we reached so far. So there was a call for Members and we have received several applications from several candidates, who have sent their applications over to ICANN but also to staff, and so we end up with a list of applicants – I can't find where the list is, but I guess the list is somewhere – a list of different...

HEIDI ULLRICH: Olivier, it's in your inbox, because, again, the list has all the personal details and I didn't know if you wanted that posted. I forwarded it to you, it's from Renata? I believe it was late last Friday. I can forward it to you again, if you like?

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: I will probably find it. There we go, I've got it.

ALAN GREENBERG: Are we in-camera at the moment? Is the recording off?

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: If I could have a confirmation that the recording is stopping and that we do not have anyone in the call who is not ALAC or a Liaison?

GISELLA GRUBER: Olivier, Gisella here, I'm just checking with [DVL? 01:04:05] that the recording has stopped.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: ...the camera back on! [laughing] We can...

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: We have to give a [proceeds/policy? 01:04:11] of not an outcome of such, but while you were off camera. In other words, in-camera, yes, we've just discussed and agreed on a listing of [ABLA? 01:04:26], people to [forward blah blah? 01:04:28].

UM: Blah blah.

UM: Blah blah.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Is the recording back on?

HEIDI ULLRICH: Gisella, can you please confirm that the recording is back on and we are back... Gisella?

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: We've lost Gisella now.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Okay, it is back on. We're back on.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: It's back on. Fantastic. Well, thank you very much for this, ladies and gentlemen. We have now... The ExCom has now made a selection of the people that it will recommend to the Meeting Strategy Working Group, and the list will be forwarded to Sebastien Bachollet or rather to ICANN staff that deals with this Meeting Strategy Working Group. And next step, the Meeting Strategy Working Group will be choosing it's people from the list that is going to be sent to them. So we don't have any... What do you call this? I'm losing my words now... We don't have any guarantee, that's the word, that all of the people that will be recommended are going to be taken but at least there's a fair panel with two to three people per region that will be recommended to the Meeting Strategy Working Group. I think that Heidi still had her hand up and then Alan has his hand up. So, Heidi?

HEIDI ULLRICH: Sorry, mine's an old hand.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Your hand is down? So now, Alan?

ALAN GREENBERG: Mine is for the next subject.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Oh! Someone who's really eager to be first in the queue. So I thank all of you for this work on choosing the endorsement on the Meeting Strategy Group, and we're now going to Agenda Item #7 – the At-Large meeting schedule for the 46th ICANN meeting in Beijing. And we'll start first with the At-Large Beijing Agendas, and I gather that it's going to be Heidi who will be taking us through this?

ALAN GREENBERG: I would like to floor for a moment, please?

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Yes, go ahead Alan.

ALAN GREENBERG: I will have to leave if I'm going to present on the RAA section, which starts in under an hour now. I would just like to suggest that for the session on the revision... On the consideration of revising the electorate for selecting the [inaudible 01:07:02] Board member, that we send out an information piece on it well in advance of the meeting. At this point, all people have is [Avery's? 01:07:13] blog and Avery's Wiki posting,

which I believe does not necessarily frame things will all the information available, and I think it's essential that we prefix; that we make sure people have information prior to the meeting. I'm willing to work with someone on that, I'm just saying it needs to be sent out reasonably soon. You can deliberate and tell me whether you agree or you don't agree. Thank you for the meeting, I'm off. Bye bye.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thanks very much Alan.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Bye, Alan.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Yeah, can I just follow-up on Alan? This isn't going to turn out to be a showcase of universal suffrage by one flag waving, a Member of the At-Large community stirring up, in many cases, with error and omission of fact, I actually won't even bother turning up to the room to be engaged with it. So, yeah, you do need to give what material is out there a proper, fair and reasonable briefing. Otherwise A) It'll turn into a dog's breakfast and wasting everyone's time, and; B) All of the very good work done by your [Royalties? 01:08:27] Procedure Review might as well be flushed down the toilet.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you, Cheryl; it's a very fair point. And certainly it seems that the request that Avery has made so far has confused a few people; I've had

some private email discussions with some Members of the RALOs, who have asked and have understood... Each person has understood something different. There seems to be no support for direct ELF suffrage, but at the same time, the way the Avery has presented this, some have understood it in a different way and though that it would be that the RALO Chairs would need to conduct a poll of the Members on one side, whilst the ALAC continues to have the other votes.

So it's a bit of a confusion, and I agree with Alan that perhaps drafting a short document that explains the current system and explains why the current system has been designed the way that it was might be helpful to give a counter-argument to Avery's suggestion of having direct suffrage. Rinalia, you have your hand up?

RINALIA ABDUL RAHIM: Thank you Olivier. Rinalia, for the transcript. Intervention is about [inaudible 01:10:05], and I think that it's really important that the Regional Leadership Meeting actually passed the RALO vote for this election of Board [inaudible 01:10:14] Agenda. And the reason that I say that is that RALO has a particular view about it and I think that other regions might have different views, but it's not clear to me what they are. And I think it's important that they are discussed among the RALO Leadership so that they can go back and consult their own Membership.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Could you repeat what Rinalia said, I really could not hear a word.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Yes, thank you Cheryl. Rinalia's voice was a little bit faint, I must admit. Rinalia suggested that the Secretariats would be putting this Item in their Agenda to discuss it between themselves because some RALOs are understanding one thing; some other RALOs are understanding something else. And certainly in the last selection process, some RALOs had the Chair of the RALO make an executive decision while other RALOs were polling their Members for the Chair's votes. So it would be worth the Secretariats discussing this. Rinalia, did you say discussing it in Beijing or before Beijing?

RINALIA ABDUL RAHIM: I think that... Well, I don't know if they have time to discuss it before Beijing. If they can do it before that would be great, but if they cannot then they should do it in Beijing as one of their Agenda Items. And I don't just mean Secretariat; I mean the Chair and the Secretariat of each RALO.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Can I speak to that?

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you Rinalia. Your response, Cheryl?

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Yeah, Rinalia, I would very much welcome that if it happens it should happen after this scheduled meeting in the Beijing Agenda already. Otherwise you're going to have ALAC and At-Large Members being

differently briefed to those who then sit around the Secretariat's table. And, yeah, the meeting is actually listed as a Regional Secretariat Meeting; it probably should be listed as a Regional Leadership Meeting so that you can be sure you do have Chairs as well as Secretariat's going. I think it would be very wise for that grouping to discuss these issues, but I think that if it can't happen at Beijing, it certainly needs to happen after Beijing. I Council against it happening before because you would be working without the information that will be being discussed and shared with the Wider Community Meeting on this topic.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay, thank you very much, Cheryl. Rinalia you still have your hand up?

RINALIA ABDUL RAHIM: I'm sorry, that's an old hand. And just as a follow-up to Cheryl, Heidi has offered to organize an informal meeting involving the Regional Leadership; that is both Chair and Secretariat for each RALO, and I think that's actually a good thing to do and I would highly support that.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay, thank you Rinalia. Do you think that an additional informal meeting is required? I would have thought that a slot during the Regional Secretariats' meeting would be enough? The matter itself is being discussed during the week.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Hang on, that assumes a couple of them... For example, for 80 RALO, [Tevan Zer? 01:13:48], he's the Secretariat that has very little to do with the rest of the Regional Leadership in our region.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Right, yes. And that's always the mistake I make. I keep on thinking that the Secretariats also includes the Chairs of the Region and that's certainly something which I totally [dismore? 01:14:06], not having all of the regions talk to each other, Chairs and Secretaries... Or Secretariats.

GISELLA GRUBER: Come on, wake up!

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Certainly one of the points I have noted is the lack of inter-RALO work at the moment. That's something which maybe the RALOs need to be thinking about.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Probably something you need to work on possible in Beijing or Durban, Olivier. So this matter [right? 01:14:38] what the ALAC undertook to do, if I can just remind you all on this specific topic, what the ALAC undertook and agreed to do, was to advance their Rules of Procedure with an existing Rule 27 and then at Beijing discuss this singular source call for universal suffrage to then progress with the community-wide discussion, knowing that that Rule is scheduled for immediate review. And that's what you've got to do at the Beijing Agenda. Now that does

mean if you can get your Secretariat and Regional Leadership to discuss it as well and after the community has its briefing on the Sunday about it, that's great. But you need to be really cautious on how you proceed on this one.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: I will let Heidi deal with the Agenda setting in the rest of the week and whether there could be an additional or an informal meeting later on between the Regional Leaders.

Right, I think we're spending a bit too much time on this one. Let's get going through this. Heidi, you have the floor.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Yes, thank you. I'm very cautious of the time so I'm going to be very brief. Basically all Agendas are set, except for, I believe, two. That is the Capacity Building Agenda. Tijani if you could work with your [Searing? 01:16:23] Committee on getting that Agenda in. The other one is the Agenda for the ALAC Meeting with the NCSG. Currently there's only one Item on that and that is to look at IOs. So if you could get that to us today that would be fantastic. You will see that the full ICANN Meeting Schedule was published yesterday, so that's on the Wiki page as well. Matt, perhaps you could put the link into the chat?

The only other outstanding issues that are sitting sort of urgent are the questions for various meetings. I'm trying to find the Agenda... So those with the Board... The ExCom [inaudible 01:17:09] having lunch with the

GAC, so that would be something that you would need to discuss questions for as well.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Olivier here. I know that at the moment there's been absolutely no questions at all for the ICANN Board, no questions for the GAC, no questions for GSC [coughing in background], and we're not doing very well on studying those questions.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Yeah, and again, just to let everyone know, we did send several announces on that but you can still... If we could get something from ExCom in the next day or two that would be really great. We're going to be sending all formal invitations next week, so when we do that to staff we normally send the links to the questions in that. And then just one final issue is that, Olivier, you asked me to remind you about the ASO. Whether you want something doing with the ASO during Beijing?

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much Heidi, yes. The question was based on our... Started at the beginning of the meeting; do we wish to meet up with ASO? As you know we still have Louie Lee as ASO Address Council Chair, but there is a new person that has taken over from John [Curran? 01:18:36] on the ASO RIR side of things. So do we want to meet with them? Any suggestions?

I was going to suggest just a small meeting with them. There is one concern that I have – I've asked staff to send an email out to get the

RALOs to do more work and discuss the extent of what they could do with their Regional Internet Registry and with their... Well, yeah, with their Regional Internet Registry, and so far there hasn't really been very much being discussed or talked about on that subject and I'd really like to try and kick-start this. With some regions having done a lot more than others it would be a pity that this is lost somewhere along the line.

I see Rinalia and then Cheryl. Yep, so Rinalia first.

RENALIA ABDUL RAHIM: Thank you Olivier. About this matter, I agree that it's been quite disappointing, but I think it's because the response is too general. If there was something specific that the RALOs know that they can do with the RIRs then it would be more productive. And if you just keep throwing them together and saying, "do something", yet they all have a meeting of about 50 minutes and just saying, "hello, [GTRM? 01:20:03]", it doesn't really go beyond that. Unless there is a specific Agenda.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much Rinalia. What I was hoping was that by inviting the ASO forward, we could use that time also for those regions that have had a good reaction with their RIR to provide us with a few examples of what they did with their RIR. Cheryl?

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Thanks. And let me respond first of all specifically to the scheduling for the Beijing meeting and then I am going to ask at the very end of meeting, coming back to this point, I'm probably going to have to take

you in-camera again. And Rinalia you definitely need to listen to when I get to that point because it's something I need to share. Anyway, so this specifically scheduled part, yes I think in meeting with the replacement for John is very important. You probably don't need to spend too much time on it but if you can get some of your Regional Leadership involved in that very short meeting as well, that would be good because it will refresh this as an Agenda Item in the regions.

And you do need to remember that it's important because the next Review is going to be very much focused on the regions and one of the leads on that Review will definitely be putting questions on how At-Large and the ASO [next stages? 01:21:41] is operating, so if that answers your question... [coughing]

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay, thank you very much Cheryl. Any other points? There was one more thing I need to ask you all and that's a Request for a panelist for Policy vs. Implementation session in Beijing. We've been asked for this. The session is scheduled to take place on Wednesday, 10th of April, from 9.00 am until 10.30 am, local time. And they wanted a representative from each ICANN, [FSO? 01:22:22] and AC. Anyone wishing to take the position? I thought of the people that were directly involved, there was Evan and there was Alan.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Yeah, I would have though Evan would have been fit for that.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Evan? Are you still on the call?

EVAN LEIBOVITCH: I am.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: A couple of people have proposed you for the Policy vs. Implementation.

EVAN LEIBOVITCH: Again, I'm okay in a position of holding a pen but I can't do it alone.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: It's a panel.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: It's not just holding a pen, Evan. Pay attention. This is a panel, panel!
Bright lights, big city in Beijing.

EVAN LEIBOVITCH: Oh. [laughing] It's been a long night.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: We're putting you in the spotlight, Evan!

CARLTON SAMUELS: I think Cheryl is [rubbing/running? 01:23:17] off here. [laughing]

EVAN LEIBOVITCH: Right, okay, sorry. Okay, yeah I have no problem, I'll show up.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Oh, Evan. [laughing] Honestly, it was a very early wake up call for you today, and...

EVAN LEIBOVITCH: Actually, even before the gTLD call it was earlier than that, but that's a different story. And you know I'm such a morning...

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Must... Get... Coffee!

EVAN LEIBOVITCH: No, coffee is not strong enough.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay, next, I think that's all we have. Yes? Back to you Heidi.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Sorry, just one point. What was the confirmation regarding the ASO, did you want someone there?

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Yes, so I think we will wait for the next talk from Cheryl at the end of this call. I believe she will have a minute...

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: No, you should meet. When you get to the end of the call you'll realize you should meet ASO. [laughing]

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay, so we should meet, yes. And would you say meet as the whole ALAC or meet as Leadership and Leadership?

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Leadership and Leadership.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay, that's what I thought as well. Let's do Leadership and Leadership. I think that we did already somehow alluded to that but that's a good point, with someone new it's good to be able to have a meeting with the new Leadership.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Olivier, just quickly, will the ExCom be okay? I don't know they're there on Friday, but I'm just wondering when we could fit them in. Otherwise it would need to be an informal meeting sometime.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Yeah, they're highly unlikely to be there on Friday. I know a lot of people leave on Thursdays so let's do it as an informal at some point. I leave it to you and Gisella to find the space and the time.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Okay, thank you.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay, anything else on this?

HEIDI ULLRICH: Besides that, no, but we're going to be sending in the... Oh, Rinalia, [Admin and New? 01:25:30] IDN is the only other Agenda. Otherwise it'll be the one that is currently on there, which is from Toronto, I believe.

RINALIA ABDUL RAHIM: No Heidi. Whenever I draft an Agenda it applies for all time.

HEIDI ULLRICH: So you're going to keep using that? [laughing] I didn't get that. Did you say that one was okay?

RINALIA ABDUL RAHIM: Yes, that one's fine.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Fine, perfect, okay. So Agendas will be sent later today then for translation. And we're also going to have them translated into Chinese.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Fantastic.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Good.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay, so we've gone through that. ICANN's Full Meeting Beijing Schedule? You've got a link to it, it's just been published a couple of days ago. The questions for Board, GAC, Leadership, GSC and Communications – please, please, please – this is a formal please to all of you to populate those spaces. We'll leave it until Thursday, perhaps? When is the deadline? Well I know the deadline's been passed, but can we wait until Thursday Heidi, on this?

HEIDI ULLRICH: Yes, that's fine.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you. And then the meeting with the NCSG, currently we have Special Protections for Red Cross, Olympic Committee and IGO, INGOs. Is this somehow a little bit passé? Old news type thing? Evan, do you have any thoughts on that?

EVAN LEIBOVITCH: It's just a gift that keeps on giving. This thing just never seems to go away. It's the kind of things where we've got a handful of very, very well-moneyed, very resourceful interests that are going to be relentless in not going away until they get what they want, and it really just seems that way. I'm not in the Working Group as often as Alan is because I have other commitments, but when I'm there I feel like I'm getting

bullied, I feel like there's a handful of people there that are just going to try and out-talk everyone else until they get what they want. That's just my personal opinion.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay, thank you. So keep those topics up then and discuss those with the NCSG would be your suggestion?

EVAN LEIBOVITCH: I guess.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay, thank you. Right, has anyone else got any suggestions for that?

EVAN LEIBOVITCH: Sorry, Olivier, how long... You're on that call...? Is Alan still on the call?

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: No, he's left. He left for the RAA.

EVAN LEIBOVITCH: He may be a little bit more optimistic than me, but it's definitely that sense that you have a handful of people from big organizations, are just trying to bully their way in to getting what they want.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay, thank you. Thank you Evan. These are our three things. They were all suggested by Robin Gross of the NCSG. If you do have any other

suggestions, please, again, drop them on the list and we'll add them to our list of... Our Agenda.

Next, the update on the [Inter-AMO? 01:28:48] Beijing events. Who's going to do that? Is this one for Rinalia?

RINALIA ABDUL RAHIM: Okay, Rinalia for transcript, and Heidi can fill in the blanks. I think the planning is going well. I finally have full confirmation of all the speakers for the Inter-AMO Multi-Stakeholder Roundtable. The Chinese were holding out until the last minute, and finally [Admin Malik pushed Lyme? 01:29:13] to say yes. I'm very happy about that and I'll be sending out the finalized program to the various lists tomorrow, if it's ready. Heidi? Do you want to chip in now?

HEIDI ULLRICH: I think basically I echo exactly what Rinalia said – this is Heidi – everything is coming along fine. Attenders are set, we do now have the attendance of joint sessions with the fellow Agendas; Matt is going to be posting those on the Agenda page later today. The showpiece is coming along; we have the speaking list finals for that. Promotional items have been ordered and are on their way. The GA Agenda's set and the monthly AC and RALO meetings are set. And I think that's it. Oh, and Fadi's team has confirmed that he's be there for the showcase.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Fantastic. Great news. Okay, super, then now I think we can close this chapter and move onto the next chapter which is Agenda Item #8 –

topics for the 26th of February 2013 ALAC Meeting, that's the meeting next week. I invite you all to have a look at the ALAC Meeting Agenda page; we've added a couple of things on there. If I open it properly. There we go, so we've got our usuals.... Oh, that's a totally blank page. Excellent. We've got our usuals that are...

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: That's a really good meeting. Yeah, that one will be good. [laughing]

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: It will be a short one, and thanks to staff for predicting what participants we'll have on each channel, that's really helpful. [laughing] So effectively we'll have all the usual discussions that will take place. Is there anything that you would like to add, any of you, that need to add to the Agenda which will shortly take form? I don't see anyone putting their hand up so I'll just make sure... I'll work with staff to make sure that all the things that are important on there, including, perhaps a feedback on the [boulder? 01:31:40] related activities, such as what's happened with the objections process, etc. etc. We can probably just base is on the Agenda of our ExCom call today.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Can I ask one question, just on that? Because you'll have had the ALAC with Community Meeting on Rules of Procedure, I'm assuming you won't want that as a report at your ALAC meeting. Do you want the report? I should say.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: No, I think that by that time the ALAC will have had a special meeting on the Rules of Procedures, it would be a waste of time to work twice on the same thing.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Happy, delighted to hear that.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: So that's one thing. The other thing, I'm not sure where we will be on the RAA by then since we do have the RAA call later on today. It might just touch on that, the normal course of public comment periods rather than have a separate RAA Agenda Item. Evan?

EVAN LEIBOVITCH: Hi, thanks. I said that I have an AOB but it might be relevant to hear. Under Section 3 of the Agenda, we worked through the adopted things. One of the things was the issue on the Consumer Choice Competition Metrics, which as some of you already know, I started to populate a page for use by the task force. I'm hoping, maybe, at least, it could get a mention during the ALAC call. We have to have something ready to be able to vote on in terms of Beijing based on the commitment in the last statement. And so I want to do a double thing. 1) I call the attention of everyone here to that page. Rinalia and Carlton have looked at it. Carlton has made some suggestions, which have been incorporated. But I invite everyone else here to take a look, and Olivier, if you could possibly at least put it on the ALAC Agenda. Invite people to have a look, because we have such a compressed time we have to have something

ready to vote on in Beijing and so that's why time is of the essence. I've populated the page with what I believe to be a good set of metrics, but that's just one person's opinion and this has to have multiple eyes on it. Thank you.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much Evan, and consider that done. So that is an extra item to have this metrics on the Agenda of the ALAC call next week. So it's for both having an appeal but perhaps even find out if anyone has anything to contribute on the file itself.

EVAN LEIBOVITCH: And I will put a special request to both, on this call, Olivier and Cheryl, since you were involved in the GNSO Working Group as well, please have a look at it and do a sanity check at the very least.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Cheryl here. I'd be delighted to do that Evan, but for the Agenda could we make real clear it is New gTLD Metrics we are talking about?

CARLTON SAMUELS: Yeah, let's make sure that is says New gTLD Metrics and has a link?

EVAN LEIBOVITCH: You're right. Let's go for the... Be really specific and do in light of the context of the GNSO Statement and in fact the Board direction that led to the GNSO Action is very much in that scope.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Yep. And I think it's really important Olivier, that you make it clear when you're introducing that Agenda Item that the intention of course was for what ended up to be GNSO Working Group, for it to be a Cross-Community Working Group, but it ended up being part of the [thigh-wash? 01:35:40] and cull that was going on with the general abhorrence for all things cross-community coming out of the GNSO at the time, so...

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay, Evan? Your hand is still up.

EVAN LEIBOVITCH: Oh, sorry.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Fantastic. Right, this is a bit of a laborious, long call. Any other business? I think we've reached that point now.

UM: [Inaudible 01:36:07] cameras off.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: And seeing no one else has any other business, then I will ask that we go back in-camera.

[End of Transcript]