

IRTP-D

Question Zero

Background session first?

Maybe look to the slides in the li

Maybe one of the registry reps c
good basis for a session?

Maybe also reach out to some o

Barbara Knight has stepped for

a) Whether reporting requirements for registries and dispute providers should be to make precedent and trend information available to the community and allow re in dispute submissions;

b) Whether additional provisions should be included in the TDRP (Transfer Disput on how to handle disputes when multiple transfers have occurred;

Additional IRTP issues identified during the WG discussion

This is the last inst

Question: whether like UDRP?

Questions for next time

actively recruit attendees in Beijing??

Initial Report?

could take a look at those and determine whether they would be a

f the providers -- at least for TDRP?

ward -- LARS gets to forward the slides to her

developed, in order
reference to past cases

What has changed since this question was posed?

Poll stakeholders for their sentiment?

e Resolution Policy)

This one is a good candidate for "more data" to determine whether th

ance of IRTP

Risk of overload

But this is the last time

Requires balance

Caution is the watchword


registries should even be in the 1st-level resolution role? Maybe go to something

May ti

"Chan

Many

Drama
disput



e to the "open to registrants" question -- smaller number of providers may be relevant
ge of registrant" also needs dispute resolution - that may be another topic for this
of the charter questions deal with TDRP

atic increase in the number of registries -- many of whom (eg brands) may not have much
re resolution

data from "late in the chain"

end to look like a transfer. So

—