
GISELLA GRUBER: Welcome to everyone on today's EURALO call on Tuesday the 19th of March, 1900 UTC. On today's call we have Wolf Ludwig, Narine Khachatryan, Sebastien Bachollet, [Reply Yes-get 0:00:16], Oksana Prykhodko, Yrjö Länispuro, Olivier Crépin-Leblond, Soramisj Vardamuan, Jordi Iparraguire, Adela Danciu, [Bre-toria man-chu-ma-nush 0:00:31], Narine Khachatryan – sorry that was said twice.

Apologies notes from Dirk Kalkman, Christopher Walkenson, Roberto Gaetano, and Bill Drake. From we staff we have Silvia Vivanco, Heidi Ullrich, and myself Gisella Gruber. I hope I have not left anyone off the attendance list. Please do speak up now if I have.

And if I could also please remind everyone to state their names when speaking for transcript purposes. Thank you, over to you Wolf.

WOLF LUDWIG: Okay thanks a lot Gisella again. And welcome to all of you for this March call. So next agenda item of this call to is review of the action items from February call. You can click on it on the agenda, there was not much to be reported.

Action item one was EURALO support Avri's motion and EURALO. So just catch on too fast, this is the context of selection of At-Large board director to continue during the next couple of weeks. Within EURALO the discussion also the discussion Beijing meeting. You may have realized that immediately after our last monthly call, I posted a message to our community referring to Avri's motion, and indicating that I personally support her motion because it follows a sort of best practice

Note: The following is the output resulting from transcribing an audio file into a word/text document. Although the transcription is largely accurate, in some cases may be incomplete or inaccurate due to inaudible passages and grammatical corrections. It is posted as an aid to the original audio file, but should not be treated as an authoritative record.

and we had during the last selection procedure in summer and autumn 2010.

Unfortunately, I didn't get much response to that, but I'm used to the phenomenon by now what is a sort of best practice at EURALO that none objection is approval or can often be understood as an approval. I had repeated exchanges with our elect members on this by now.

And I'm looking forward to have this discussion continued during the Beijing meeting. And I got the confirmation that this is on the agenda, I think, for Sunday afternoon during the [electing 0:03:48] in Beijing.

This are my comments regarding action item one. Action item two, was effective information on flight [by mail 0:04:02] actually in the context of the GA. This mail, circle the mail, or sent to the list, the community and I think most people are there about state of organization at the moment.

But we will come back on this point later on our agenda during agenda item seven. EURALO planning to solve the 13 and GA discussion. Therefore I can assume since the action items from last call are accomplished. Are there any questions on, regarding the action item? I see no hand raised at the moment.

Yes except Sebastien. Yes Sebastien you have the floor.

SEBASTEIN BACHOLLET:

Yes. Thank you very much. May I suggest that... I wanted to say at the beginning of the meeting, but you may be explaining what is this monthly call, because we have quite a number of people. You might

start by looking at the agenda then they will run out quickly because we are in a crazy world.

But explain what we are doing on this monthly call. My second point is that I wanted to share with you that I will not participate any discussion about rules for the election of the board 15, or seat number 15 on the board. As I am holding this seat at the moment and I may apply again to be on this seat when it goes up. And I've decided not to answer to any discussion on that subject.

Not because it's not an interest for me, but I don't think it's feasible for me to do [INAUDIBLE 0:06:27]. And I wanted to share with you all during this conference call. Thank you.

WOLF LUDWIG:

Okay, thank you a lot Sebastien for your comments. I took your second comment on the selection procedure more or less as a best practice from our last time, and as an effort to be [granted 0:06:52]. Coming back to your first point, what is that short introduction to the new members, new participants at this call.

Thanks a lot for saying that Sebastien. And it actually may be very confusing or irritating to see such an agenda stuffed with all kinds of practical or technical issues. Our monthly call is mostly dedicated to current – to pull off current business.

But the usual elect ongoing – elect concentrations and initiatives, what will be our next agenda item where you see this immensely large list of topics where only few of us to be honest following in detail. But fortunately we have Olivier, our elect chair and also member of

EURALO, who has a very special dedication and capacity to make short briefings as possible on this current consultation, or this as an opportunity to further our monthly calls. To have brief briefings and conclusions regarding this current consultation.

Then on the pull up, and on the agenda there are further the last point, which has been under consideration the last weeks, what will be the request to submit from EURALO side proposal, for [INAUDIBLE 0:09:03] fiscal year planning. We will come back later on point four.

EURALO individual ALS under point five briefing on the [you link 0:09:18] to certain preparation and the support of [sam-pa 0:09:23] etcetera in the next general assembly planning. I agree it maybe a rather confusing for you, but I hope you somehow get used to the way we do, or have to do, this monthly call procedure.

What will be, by the way, at stake or under discussion at the next General Assembly in Lisbon – because to be frank, I'm not very happy about the way our monthly calls are conducted. Mostly, in many cases, me being more or less the pushing part, following up on the regularities, but having less opportunity to go into substance, details, and content, etcetera.

And I think it might be a point for our next General Assembly to revise the matter on how we conduct our monthly calls. And I wish that it could be conducted in a way which is more inclusive and more participative, etcetera.

So we will come back later to this. If there are no immediate questions on Sebastein's comments, remarks, etcetera, or to what I have said, I

would like to give Olivier the floor for the next agenda item, what is point three. The briefing on current elect consultations and initiatives.

And you have a complete listing of the current affairs on the agenda, etcetera, with many links to our respective subject. Olivier you have the floor.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND

Thank you very much Wolf. I note that as time goes this section appears to become larger and larger. So much so that when staff created the agenda, the first line gives me 15 minutes and then the second line gives me 20 minutes, so five more minutes per line which is really, really great.

I invite you all to have a look at the agenda page which there is a link in the chat, in the Adobe chat. If you click on that, you'll see a huge number of public comments which the At-Large community and the ALAC have been invited to talk about and to issue statements about.

So these... For those of you who are new here, the policy advice development page, which is there is a link to here, you click on that you will see all of the current At-Large quality development going on and it's in a nice, neat table. And so you've got the currently open statements, and then you've got the closed statements, with all of the statements that the ALAC have drafted in the past.

And my goodness, there are a lot of those. So looking at, back on the agenda page, the recently adopted ALAC statements documents or group, there are several of these. First there is the ATRT 2 candidate endorsements. That's the endorsement of some candidates to be part

of the Accountability Transparency Review Team, which is an ICANN wide group to do exactly what it says on the [item 0:13:28], basically checkout ICANN's accountability and transparency.

The candidates that were endorsed and that were finally chosen were Alan Greenberg and myself. In fact, last week both of us were in Los Angeles for a two day, in order to be able to start work with this ICANN wide group, as I said. That it was led by interim chairs, Steve Crocker who is the chair, ICANN chair. And also Heather [Drithen 0:14:01], who was the chair of the GAC.

They passed on the chair's responsibility to a person called [Bryan Cute 0:14:08], who was the last ATRT chair. One of the vice chair is actually our very own Alan Greenberg, who is on the ALAC. So that's, it's good news and work has been proceeding in this direction, a good direction.

Let's get back to this. So the next thing is, [INAUDIBLE 0:14:30] WHOIS PDP, that's the Policy Development Process Working Group. This was the adopted by the ALAC with 14 votes in favor. To take on it, your point out the actual statements, the person who drafted it, etcetera.

The next one is the Report on the GNSO Working Group on Consumer Trust, Consumer Choice and Competition. This was adopted with 14 votes in favor and one abstention. On this, in fact, the abstention came from Evan Leibovitch who was somehow critical that the metrics did not go far enough. In fact he has announced that he has put together a small ad-hoc working group to try and design more metrics regarding new gTLD.

So effectively these are ways to measure the success of new generic top levels domains, all the new ones that are being created and, of course, with the flavor of the local user, the end user, being in mind. I hope that staff is able to put some...

I'll ask staff to have a look and put the details of the Wiki page, which Evan Leibovitch work is coming from, because he needs some volunteers for the next, I think it's the next three weeks or so, to help him come up with a few ideas on additional metrics for Consumer Trust, Consumer Choice and Competition.

So if I can ask staff to quickly look at that, and then that will appear on your, on the Adobe chat in a short moment. Next, the closed generic gTLD applications. Now a closed generic top level domain is something like, let's say, doc book that is only is one by one company and that does not allow anybody else outside of that company from using the generic Word doc book.

Their board was having quite a few questions about this, and it's a [note 0:16:55] that needs to be thinking about. But that statement was drafted by the ALAC, not quite so clear cut. It really reflected the points of view of our community, some of which think it's a good thing to have closed generic top level domains and some of whom don't think that is such a good idea.

Anyway, I invite you to read it. It's very interesting. It was adopted with 13 votes in favor and one abstention. The WHOIS Registrant Identification Study Draft Report, that study was done and the statement was adopted with 11 votes in favor and one abstention as well.

I realize time is flying by, so I'm going to go a little bit faster now. In fact, not all of the public comments are having need at the moment for a statement. But let's go one by one.

So the sequence currently being developed by the first, the first is the FY 14, so that's Financial Year or Fiscal Year 14, Community Travel Support Guideline. [Givony Benjamin 0:18:08] has been tasked with drafting a statement. I gather the moment he has put together a first draft that will be published, we will ask for comment.

The next one is... And you'll see the comment, the request for comments on our list, on our mailing list. Next one is the ICANN FY 14 Security, Stability, and Resiliency Framework. Julie Hammer, who is our SSAC, Security and Stability Advisory Committee liaison, is going to confirm pretty soon if a statement is necessary.

From an email I received recently, I believe she says there is no real need for a statement. The framework has been well put together, so rather than just say, "Yes, we agree." We'll just let it be like that for the time being.

Consultation on the roof zone, KFK rollover, that's a technical, quite a technical issue. We sent this over to the technical issues working group, to ask if a statement was necessary. I haven't heard anything back from anyone on that list, so it looks as though we're not going to commenting on that.

The next one is the preference 2013 RAA, Registrar Accreditation Agreement. That has been posted for comment. And yesterday there was a call that took place for anyone to ask questions, and for staff to

provide us with details. If you are interested in this, staff will again put the link in the chat to yesterday's call, you can listen to the recording, or if you wait a few days you'll probably be able to read through the transcript of the call.

That was also a call that was done in Spanish as well, or interpreted in Spanish, so you can also probably hear the Spanish translation of it. Alan Greenberg is currently drafting a statement, there should be something up there very soon.

The next one, I think this one is hosted for comment for us. Next the statement on the World Conference, The International Telecommunication Conference, the WCIT [outcome 0:20:40]. I've sent an email to the ALAC list, and I believe to the At-Large list as well, providing a longish report on what's happened at WCIT, and where the At-Large committee and the ALAC can... What the At-Large and ALAC can do to improve things in the future and to perhaps remedy the problems of outreach and basically reaching out to those governments that did not quite support the multistate [problem 0:21:16] model as such.

There is a lot of work to do to engage the community, to engage governments, to engage civil society, and to engage the private sector. And so I will be soon drafting a statement that will summarize a little bit on the 37 page report, that's a little bit long to read. That's coming soon.

The next, the consultation on the gTLD Delegation and Re-Delegation Performance Standards and the same type of consultation for the ccTLD. There is a statement that Alan and Sheryl have drafted jointly.

The commentary closes, or the reply period closes only in a couple of days. I would say if you could have a quick read at this today, I guess I can ask for the comment period to close on the, our own comment period to close on the 20th, which is tomorrow.

You've got 24 hours to make some points at that, and then we'll start the vote, and ALAC vote on it. Next one, the revised new gTLD Registry Agreement, including an additional public interest commitment certification. There have been a draft that was written, and it's currently on the Wiki page. And the ALAC is currently voting on it, so it will be [read 0:22:53] quite soon.

The next one is the Preliminary Issue Report on the gTLD Registration Data Services. This is actually to do, again it's somehow related to WHOIS. WHOIS being the service, you can find out the name of the domain name holder, or the name of the registrant. That a little bit different, the Report on the gTLD Registration Data Services is sort of a wider... But anyway, Alan Greenberg will try to let us know shortly if a statement is necessary. It's something that's just appeared very recently, so we'll be able to read it.

And I must say I haven't read it myself yet. Next, the Public Interest Commitment Dispute Resolution Procedure, and another brand new acronym, the PICDRT. Alan Greenberg, who is our GNSO Liaison, will also confirm shortly if a statement is necessary on that. Currently open public comments, and I hope I will soon be finished with it. Currently open ones, there are several of them.

There is an interim report, Internationalized Domain Name, that's IDN, Internationalized Domain Name, ccNSO Policy Development Process.

That's a ccNSO thing, and having asked our ccNSO Liaison, she told us in her point of view that there would be no requirement for statement on our behalf.

Next one is a Consultation on the IANA Secure Notification Process. IANA, as you know, is the part of ICANN that allocates the IP addresses that the internet runs on. There was an internal procedure that the secure notification process that IANA is thinking of implementing. Because it is a technical thing, and it's an internal procedure, there is no statement on our behalf.

The next one is a Preliminary Issue Report on the Uniformity of Reporting. Again, that's another, I wouldn't say technical, but internal matter and we believe that there is no requirement for a statement. Of course, when we say no statement, if anybody on this call believes that there should be a statement on something, then you're very welcome to pick up the pen and draft one and put it up for At-Large feedback and then ALAC vote.

The next one, the proposed modification of the GNSO Policy Development manual. So address the suspension of the policy development process. And you'll notice it says 2013 because I think it was a 2012, and it's a very long waited set of modifications. Again, that's an internal GNSO matter and we didn't find it to be constructive to be arguing something which the experts in the GNSO have been speaking about for very long and know what to do with. So no statement on that.

The revised proposal on the ACDR to serve as a UDRP dispute resolution service provider. ACDR is a dispute resolution provider who had

produced a first proposal, there is another one that came through and we were asked to comment on that. No statement on that, another of these complicated things and I don't really know whether anyone in our committee has a point of view on that.

And finally, the locking of the domain names subject to UDRP procedure. UDRP is Uniform Dispute Resolution Process, a resolution proceeding. One of the other, I lose my acronyms here. Initial report of the locking of the domain name, again no statement. It's a complex matter and people in the GNSO are probably a lot more knowledgeable about this than we are.

I'm now opening to questions on any of the, marathon of things. I just note, before I hand the microphone back to you Wolf, that there is a link now that Silvia has very kindly put on there, the At-Large Report of the GNSO Working Group on Consumer Trust, Consumer Choice and Competition workspace. And that is... Yeah. That's the actual... That's the actual statement that we gave, but Evan has starting a working group as well, so I'm not quite sure where that is. We'll try and find it in a moment.

Anyway, that's all for the time being. Back to you Wolf if there is no questions.

WOLF LUDWIG:

Okay. Thanks a lot for the precise briefing again Olivier. And I sometimes tend to lose my understanding of acronyms as well, it is difficult insiders like you and other here on the call, but it must be specifically frightening for our newcomers. Are there any questions,

comments regarding Olivier's briefing on the current ALAC, ongoing ALAC consultations and initiatives? Particularly point A) accomplished, B) refute and voted, and C) current, open public comments? Are there any questions or comments on this?

Yes I see Sebastien's hand raised. Sebastien you have the floor please.

SEBASTIEN BACHOLLET:

Yeah thank you. To raise one issue, I... There is a lot of things going on, and then that's very difficult to follow everything. ALAC and the RALOs are doing very well on that, but it's still very difficult. I was wondering if there are no issue more important than the other, where ALAC must [full of 0:30:01] of advice to the board and not just a comment or comment period.

For example, I think that the registry agreement, where there are some text involving the consumer and the end user, would be a good subject not just comment but even some sound advice. It's not yet the time but it will come soon on that subject, but that's just to take one example.

Because I think we need really to take of the issue, more important to the end user in front of the committee and in front of the board. Thank you.

WOLF LUDWIG:

Okay. Thank you Sebastien for your comment. I completely agree with you that since a variety of issues listed month by month, and due to the fact that all of our volunteers in the community, I think the only way to

follow up on this is to concentrate on specific issues. There are user content and interests at stake, etcetera, and if we...

Sooner or later will manage to concentrate at least on these issues, I think we can get a big step forward. If there are no other questions and comments from your side, let me suggest due to time constraints to continue with our next agenda item which is point four.

On the Fiscally 14 At-Large EURALO [INAUDIBLE 0:32:17] information last minute [due halo 0:32:19] proposal. This is just an information from my side that we had formal discussion already on the next At-Large summit to planning. There is also a respective briefing call on this, on the first outline, etcetera, for the next At-Large summit.

Approximately possible taking place next year in July 2014. In line with the next [June 0:33:05] ICANN meeting in New York. And there are some very particular planning, etcetera, underway already. And this looks to be very promising. And as some of you may recall from the last summit in March 2009 in Mexico City, this was a huge approach for our community also requesting a lot of financial investment from the ICANN side.

And in view that this may happen next year, I was thinking about whether it would be wise to submit other EURALO projects for the next fiscal year. And I directly exchange with At-Large staff. And Olivier, that this planning doesn't necessarily prevent us from submitting old projects, and the only project, what came into my mind was a project that we submitted already last year which was not approved, but it's a participation a sort of fellowship for the next ICANN Studienkreis meeting, in summer in Amsterdam.

I do not know the exact dates for this next ICANN Studienkreis meeting. But if I can, could approve this time fellowships for EURALO participation, it would be good because the ICANN Studienkreis now in its 13th addition that was launched by [INAUDIBLE 0:35:10] and it became a very good dispassionate platform for ICANN related issues.

And if you will approve to my consideration, I would ask At-Large staff to resubmit our request from last year, but it will be the only one from our side year this year. Also taking into consideration, if At-Large summit too will happen in London. There will be like in Mexico, always be a face to face General Assembly already supported by ICANN, etcetera, therefore we don't have to request for a next General Assembly.

Are there any questions or comments regarding this agenda item? I see there is a comment from Heidi, [INAUDIBLE 0:36:21] prepare to address on the fiscal year template. Okay. So my comment would be we just need to copy/paste the text of the request from the last template into the new template, and to adjust the locations and the date for this year, it will be Amsterdam.

And for the date I need to check with [sam-par 0:36:58] for the date, and 2013. I can [supervise all the logistics 0:37:04]. I see Sebastein's hand raised. Yes Sebastein you have the floor.

SEBASTEIN BACHOLLET:

Yes thank you very much Wolf. Just to say that you get the very good mobilization for the General Assembly in June this year, and that's a very good way. But for the... If we have an At-Large meeting in London

in 2014, it will be a huge amount of money from ICANN, and we really need to figure how we will deliver as much as possible before, during, and after this meeting.

And I am very confident that this General Assembly will be able to help to prepare the next one in London [INAUDIBLE 0:38:03]. And how the organization will be done for the summit, it's also very important. I think we need to improve from the first At-Large, and I am ready to help but I would like to have more people involved and participating in the participation of this summit. Thank you.

WOLF LUDWIG:

Okay, thanks a lot for the comment Sebastein. I entirely agree with you that the next summit, especially the content part, the [brokering 0:38:44] part will be the next big issue for the second summit. It cannot just be a repetition of the first one, etcetera, and we have to meet completely new demands and challenges, etcetera.

I hope that Lisbon, as you said Sebastein, will help to mobilize our community to contribute actively to generating for the next summit, etcetera, and we will do our best in this direction.

I think in Adobe Connect that [Sam-pron 0:39:26] has added the dates for the next ICANN Studienkreis which is the first and 13th September, and as I see, it's not Amsterdam but it sounds like, or it looks like Pisa guess it's not a location place in Italy. It may have something to do with [some famous power there 0:39:53].

So we can use this update, etcetera, for the template but I think the priority should be on the At-Large summit next year in London. If there

are no further questions or comments regarding this agenda item, let me continue with the next one. What is... Item four, last push to get EURALOs, individual ALOs started. This is... Oh, Olivier's hand is raised. Before I continue, please Olivier you have the floor.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you Wolf. It's Olivier for the transcript. I just thought I would remind you, if you want to file the request, the budget request, please do this, well tonight actually. Because the Finance and Budget subcommittee is meeting tomorrow, so we really need to have all of the information at hand.

We need to have this for tomorrow, I think the time is late afternoon or so. So do it tonight or tomorrow morning, early tomorrow morning, so we have all of the information at hand. What you've sent us so far is using the old template from last year and the template has changed very slightly. So if you can just bring it up to date on the new template that would be great.

WOLF LUDWIG: Yes thanks Olivier. As you may have seen, I've just confirmed in the chat room that I have to do it tonight, there is not much alternative. May I just ask At-Large that to directly send me the new template so I can just do the necessary more or less copy/paste fill in the reason, etcetera, from the last year to the new one? And just the days, the date, allocation of this year's...

And this is confirmation from Heidi, she will send. So you can be sure I will do this late night to be ready for our Finance and Budget Subcommittee call tomorrow afternoon.

Okay thanks for the end Olivier. And now let me go on the agenda, we are running short on time. The next one is just an update on many previous, previous, previous discussions on the issue. And while... The old question is getting a bit frustrating to me, because once again the modified, the specialty modified our bylaw two years ago for allowing better participation options for individual members at EURALO.

There was some kind of concern raised by individual members, but after we finalized this and paved the floor, paved the ground for it, there was not much commitment from the individual members anymore with very few exceptions. So we are repeating this discussion now since many months.

Final push I tried to make was at the end of last year finally I drafted some bylaws for [faction 0:44:16] ALS dedicated to individual participation and thanks to [sera-nush 0:44:23], she even filled in the application form for At-Large for a new ALS. But from the other five to eight members we had on the mailing list, from the individuals there was almost no real commitment feedback whatsoever in this respect.

What is needed now is simply defining, telling us two names who will figure as primary contacts for the application form, and who will figure as a secondary contact. I had, over the last days, mail exchanges with Roberto Gaetano, who is unfortunately apologized for tonight's call, asking him if he can figure as a primary contact point because [see-na-

roosh 0:45:21] who has worked on this, she is in the meantime with another [INAUDIBLE 0:45:28] ALS meet force.

Recently certified by At-Large and focal point, or contact point, from another ALS cannot figure at the same time for the next ALS, in the same region they have the formal [words 0:45:57] by At-Large, which doesn't allow this.

Therefore please, if you have anybody in mind, please help to identify one, two names from the individuals. Hopefully names we have not tried so far. I can tell you we've tried Silvia in Poland several times, she promised but afterwards never followed up unfortunately. So we need two somehow reliable persons who are willing to give their names being the focal point.

To add them to the application form and then we can submit it to At-Large staff. Of course, regular due diligence procedure. And I will really be happy to get this finally done after two years, and for the next Lisbon [at the latest 0:45:56].

Are there any questions or comments on this respect? Just let me thank [silva-roach 0:47:03] once again. She is the only individual member from the last year who was really committed and supported in this respect and who helped to [reduce the trump 0:47:19] again for the others.

And my frustration is that people concerned do not move a finger on any practical issues. There is always this attitude of expectation, but no practical follow up after that. Sorry for being frank at this stage, but it's getting somehow very frustrating.

If no questions, I think it's a very practical issue. I do not really see a need for a longer discussion. Let me continue with the next agenda item, what is point six, a short briefing the EuroDIG Preparation Process. As usual, because our General Assembly will be convened in London next EuroDIG. And Sandra have the floor please.

SANDRA HOFERICHTER: Thank you Wolf...

WOLF LUDWIG: Can you speak up a little?

SANDRA HOFERICHTER: Yes. Can you hear me now?

WOLF LUDWIG: Slightly better, but not very optimal. But shout as you usually do with me.

SANDRA HOFERICHTER: [Laughs] Okay. The only thing to say at this stage about EuroDIG is that I encourage all of you on the call to raise your hands now if you want to participate in sessions. The program now is more or less agree, more or less agreed. I just typed the link into Adobe chat to the preliminary program.

It's a program overview, more details will be fine-tuned on the top page for each session. But the program overview gives you the most

important information about what the session is dealing with and whom to contact.

We are following the same procedure as last year, that we assign a focal point, or a focal point raises his hand to take the lead on that. And you will be able to contact the focal point directly if you know him or her, or contact the EuroDIG Secretariat, and we will be in contact with them.

If you would like to contribute content wise or in terms of – in terms of a member of the organizing team as a report or a moderator, to contribute to any of these sessions. Furthermore, we invite you to organize a 30 minute [flesh 0:50:14] session. [Flesh] are a very open and free form format, the only thing we ask you is to keep the given timeframe of 30 minutes, but everything else is totally up to you, the way you want to organize it.

It could be... Pretend you want to bring to greater attention, it could be a discussion, an issue which you [INAUDIBLE 0:50:43] ...greater audience. [Flesh] sessions really are a flexible format. So if you are interested in organizing a [flesh] session than please ask the Secretariat.

And I think especially for those who are invited to the General, EURALO General Assembly, you probably have never been to an EuroDIG before, this is the best opportunity to get involved and to take a role from the very, very first start.

Also we invite everybody to organize [an excite 0:51:21] event if you have the capacity to do so. The deadline for [excite] events are the 13th of April. Until then, you have [INAUDIBLE 0:51:31] of the hotel

[INAUDIBLE 0:51:32] in terms of room [INAUDIBLE 0:51:35] and whatever you need for your [excite] event.

After the 13th of April, it's going to be a little bit difficult. So please again, raise your hand, contact the Secretariat or the [INAUDIBLE 0:51:48] directly if you want to get involved. It's usually EuroDIG [phone log 0:51:54] which is very open, no PowerPoint presentations, no focus on speaker, rather on the interactive audience.

Interactive [INAUDIBLE 0:52:08], we try to do our best. Over to you Wolf.

WOLF LUDWIG:

Okay thanks a lot for this. Update and briefing on the ongoing EuroDIG programming procedure. I think I mentioned already, any input from the community are highly welcome and much appreciated. We are now defining the focal point, or have defined most of them.

And I can mention from here, Sebastien and Yulia are already in line with our planning procedure. They are considered as focal point for the workshop. Yulia offering a [flesh] session at the site. And I cannot announce too many things that Sebastien is spending a lot of time and energy to prepare and fix another pre-event.

And I can pre-event at EuroDIG what could be a new highlight, etcetera. Therefore, I'm very grateful to anybody from the community who is investing time, etcetera, to help to organize the next EuroDIG in Lisbon. If [hear by ear 0:53:43], not at all, would team after [the fifth edition 0:53:50] but always a new challenge, and the support from the community is highly welcome.

Any questions from your side? I just have to respond [CROSSTALK 0:54:12]... Thanks, Adela please, you have the floor. Adela? Can you hear us?

GISELLA GRUBER [?]: I can sorry, she has no question now.

WOLF LUDWIG: No questions here. So since we're raising hand... No, I mean, for EuroDIG, yes it's still...

GISELLA GRUBER [?]: Yeah I think she means...

WOLF LUDWIG: Okay, okay. So [CROSSTALK 0:54:59]... We are almost over the time already. Let me start going over the last agenda item, what is point seven, EURALO planning. You have a list of several points here. Let me try to make it brief.

There have been repeated announcements and invitations on the mailing list already over the last ten weeks almost. We succeeded, surprisingly enough, to have an almost full list of concerned participants by the end of February for our last monthly call.

There are 27 people concerned, which is participation of more than 80%, but it's very promising for our next General Assembly to remobilize our community. In the meantime, there was an announcement I think you may have seen it, reconfirming and talking about practical

procedures. So hotel rooms for the confirmed participants, they are booked.

In the meantime, directly the [INAUDIBLE 0:56:34] hotel what – is a meeting venue for the General Assembly at the EuroDIG, and the last step in cooperation with [INAUDIBLE 0:56:44] with a booking procedure [these days 0:56:49].

And all of the people listed as confirmed participants with airport, etcetera, will be directly contacted by ICANN Consistency Travel to arrange and formalize the travel bookings. As I've said before, we'll try to do as much as possible by a low-budget flights to keep into our very narrow budget.

So the formal part of the General Assembly organization is more or less accomplished except some details. And we more or less have to be [pay attention 0:57:38] now to concentrate on the most important points, what is the fifth [sup 0:57:49], point of point seven, design of the [cloth 0:57:54] agenda, and what will be the contact part.

According to our bylaw, we have to follow certain regular, practical [sliding board 0:58:11] report [Google 0:58:12] etcetera. Every second year we have to do elections, and this formal issues are boring for the most of the people, and we have more time for our General Assembly this year and we therefore will try to limit formalities to the upmost, and to provide as much time as possible for discussing content.

In my last mailing to the list, I made a sort of an appeal, please submit objection for the draft of our [Lisbon country A 0:58:55]. I've received a few comments on this, I've reviewed... I've received a comment from...

Let me start an electronical [sic] order from Dick, from Netherland. There was a comment from [Christen Goff 0:59:21] from Germany. Another one from [sem-pa 0:59:26], a comment from Roxanna, and lately one from Bill Drake confirming [sam-pa's 0:59:33] suggestion.

So we are starting, in a startup position for drafting [Lisbon country A 0:59:41], but I do not want like in previous years, a draft – a GA agenda by myself. But I'm counting really on your input. And I will come beg to you on this, and bother you over the next weeks to send me suggestions because we need to get the draft agenda done until after April monthly call.

This is four weeks from now, and we actually need it four weeks before, which is at the beginning of May. I will count on your support, ideas, and topics. From your point of view, the most important thing to bring EURALO to a, in a better functioning mode, etcetera, with other content message you would like to suggest.

You can even suggest, to suggest etcetera, so everything is up on you, really for you to suggest. But I hope that we can make, in four to five weeks from now, a very good agenda and interesting program to be discussed in Lisbon.

Any... I see Silvia posted onto EURALO General Assembly workspace, etcetera, here you are free to make comments or you can put that on the mailing list. Are there any questions, comments regarding agenda item seven?

I'm just trying to follow what has been said in the chat. [No-di 1:02:13], if the ideal received [INAUDIBLE 1:02:15]... of the ideas. Well, let's say I

can make a compilation of the comments I've received so far from the people I have mentioned.

I can do this, I guess, until the weekend. I have to do a budget request tonight, and I have other things to do. I can make a compilation of ideas I have received so far and submit them for comment on the EURALO list.

I agree with [yor-di 1:02:55] for procedural [regression 1:02:58], it's easier not to go back to what somebody has said before. But to see all in one, etcetera, and to make direct comments and suggestions. This is a good idea, [yor-di], I will take this as an action item to compile a list and mail the ideas for the [three A 1:03:34] discussion already to be commended and add it on to the list.

Any other questions? Any other comments? We have ten minutes. Okay we started five minutes late, we are five minutes behind our time in [Chettle 1:03:56]. So if no further questions are raised on the agenda item seven, GA preparation it will be the same next month were we have to finalize the content part of it.

Is there anything under point eight, any other business? Any question, any announcement from your side to be made? Olivier raised his hand. Yes, Olivier, you have the floor please.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND:

Thank you very much Wolf. And I'd just thought I would raise my hand again. I spoke earlier about a new detailed e-metrics taskforce, which was put together by Evan. I couldn't find the link, but thankfully now I have found the link. So it just appeared on your Adobe Connect.

So if you do have a little bit time for the next three weeks, then now is the time to volunteer for a very [awe-specting 1:05:08], flash taskforce to give a hand to Evan and his team. And get a few metrics that would be really, really helpful for future internet users.

And, well, current internet users I guess. But users with regards to whether the new details are good or bad for them. That's all. Thanks.

WOLF LUDWIG:

Okay. Thanks a lot for the hint Olivier. That is much appreciated. I listed as link already, I will look later on it after sending request for the fiscal year, later tonight. If there is nothing else from your side, like me thank all of your for your participation at the monthly call.

I hope that the call is not too frustrating for our newcomers. Greta has left already I've seen. And I hope we will keep in touch via the EURALO list over the next weeks. I hope that everything is working smoothly with travel arrangements directly with ICANN Constituency Travel.

And in case you have any further questions, please feel free to contact directly or to post them on the list. Thanks a lot for your participation tonight, and I hope to have you on the call next month in April. Thanks again and goodbye everybody. Good night.

GISELLA GRUBER [?]:

Thank you. Goodnight everyone.

[End of Transcript]