

Zoom Chat Transcript
At-Large (CPWG) Call
Wednesday, 17 July 2024 at 14:00 UTC

00:23:22 Michael Palage: ICANN Org has proposed an expediated timeline for discussion and I believe this is something that directly impacts the entire ICANN communityu

00:23:32 avri doria: ok

00:23:45 Eunice Perez Coello: hello everyone

00:23:48 SAMUEL K DANSO: Hi

00:23:52 betty FAUSTA:Hi everyone

00:24:00 Godsway Kubi: Good day everyone
I am Godsway Kubi joining from Ghana GH

00:24:04 Justine Chew:Replying to "ICANN Org has propos..."
I think the timeline has been deferred.

00:24:05 Vanda Scartezini - Brazil- DNS WOMEN & ABES: good day for everyone.

00:24:29 Gopal Tadepalli: Greetings. - Dr. T V Gopal, Professor, Department of Computer Science and Engineering, College of Engineering, Guindy Campus, Anna University , Chennai, INDIA.

00:24:30 Brenda Brewer - ICANN Org: Here is the link to view the captions on StreamText, which will open in a separate browser: <https://www.streamtext.net/player?event=ICANN>

00:25:15 Steinar Grøtterød: I am attending and can do a very short update to the GNSO-TPR @Olivier MJ Crepin-Leblond

00:25:36 SAMUEL K DANSO: My name is Samuel Kwasi Danso, A member of EGIGFA from Ghana. Happy to join the call.

00:25:41 Michael Palage: Replying to "ICANN Org has propos..."
That is some good news. But I think we should have an initial discussion of the proposal. Has ICANN said how long it has been deferred?

00:26:50 Justine Chew:Replying to "ICANN Org has propos..."
Yes, understood. Except that I don't know that this is the correct forum for that discussion.

00:28:17 betty FAUSTA:hi. Who is in charge of the dial out please ?

00:28:34 Justine Chew:Sure. Thanks Olivier

00:28:51 Claire Craig: @Michael Palage Feel free to bring it to OFB

00:28:57 Shah Rahman: yes , OFB might be good for discussion that

00:29:09 Alan Greenberg: Lots of overlap between CPWG and OFB.

00:29:31 Michael Palage: Replying to "ICANN Org has propos..."
Ok - I think the proposed meeting changes would impact ICANN's broader policy work. No problem deferring to another forum. Please point me in the right direction.

00:29:50 Vanda Scartezini - Brazil- DNS WOMEN & ABES: Michael I believe will be good if you join the next OFB chairing by Claire and Marita I guess

00:30:10 Michael Palage: When is the next OFB call

00:30:31 Shah Rahman: Replying to "When is the next OFB..."
19 july

00:31:11 Michael Palage: Replying to "When is the next OFB..."
What time? Will be traveling that day so hopefully I can make it. Otherwise I will prepare an email

00:31:17 Shah Rahman: Replying to "When is the next OFB..."
oh sorry 18 July 18:00 UTS

00:31:34 Andrew Chen - ICANN Org: Replying to "When is the next OFB..."

<https://community.icann.org/display/atlarge/2024-07-18+Operations%2C+Finance+and+Budget+Working+Group+%28OFB-WG%29+Call>
00:33:45 Claire Craig: Replying to "When is the next OFB..."

@Michael PalageOFB meets weekly and the call is rotated so if you are unable to meet this week next we we meet at 14:00 UTC

00:38:02 avri doria: Apologies, my computer is falling apart under me.

00:39:12 Hadia Elminiawi: @Alan Greenberg thanks

00:39:16 Brenda Brewer - ICANN Org: <https://community.icann.org/download/attachments/157188425/ALAC%20Advice%20on%20New%20gTLD%20Subsequent%20Procedures%20PDP%20Final%2016042021.pdf>

00:39:32 Hadia Elminiawi: Reacted to "https://community.ic..." with 👍

00:40:13 avri doria: Replying to "Apologies, my comput..."

ok, am now on phone audio, so the computer can fall if it must.

00:41:18 Lilian Ivette De Luque: Hi all sorry for be late i'm listening in a medical appoiment

00:48:06 Laura Margolis: good approach Hadia

00:48:39 Gopal Tadepalli: @avri doria Thank you for your nice articles on the Multi-Stakeholder Model on "Medium". I am sure you would appreciate that the process definitions within such a scoping need to mature. Capability is one Maturity is another. - Dr. T V Gopal, Anna University, Chennai, INDIA

00:48:54 Justine Chew:Replying to "good approach Hadia"

That is exactly the requirement that we will find very difficult to meet which is why there is a point about automatic standing - dispensation

00:48:57 Hadia Elminiawi: How can we show that we are representing a certain community?

00:49:26 Justine Chew:Replying to "How can we show that..."

That IS the problem that we want to avoid.

00:49:42 Satish Babu: Replying to "How can we show that..."

We cannot represent random communities...

00:49:51 Shah Rahman: Replying to "How can we show that..."

and hard to define of target community

00:50:08 Olivier MJ Crepin-Leblond: Replying to "How can we show that..."

It seems that it has to be a contractual link or a written membership list :-0 which is pretty much impossible

00:51:12 Michael Palage: I concur with Greg and Alan's comments

00:51:27 Carlton Samuels: Article XI.4: The At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC) is the primary organizational home within ICANN for individual Internet users. The role of the ALAC shall be to consider and provide advice on the activities of ICANN, insofar as they relate to the interests of individual Internet users. This includes policies created through ICANN's Supporting Organizations, as well as the many other issues for which community input and advice is appropriate. The ALAC, which plays an important role in ICANN's accountability mechanisms, also coordinates some of ICANN's outreach to individual Internet users.

00:52:14 Justine Chew:Advice to Board

00:52:14 Shah Rahman: Replying to "I concur with Greg a..."

agree

00:52:19 Hadia Elminiawi: Is there a way through which the intended community can say that we want the ALAC to represent us? +Greg our advice to the board will always remain firm

00:52:24 Hadia Elminiawi: +1

00:53:15 Hadia Elminiawi: +1 objection ought to be heard purely on merits of objection grounds

00:53:42 Sivasubramanian M: an objection to new gTLD process or application(s) can not possibly be argued as in conflict with art xi 4. Why is this question of standing even allowed to be raised?

00:53:45 Ashrafur Rahman Piaus --: Reacted to +1 objection ought t... with "👍"

00:54:39 Sivasubramanian M: Seeking dispensation is still liveing ALAC

00:55:12 Frank Anati: Hi everyone Frank Anati from Ghana GH

00:55:28 Sivasubramanian M: ... Lowering ... (ourselves)

00:56:29 Harold Arcos: Reacted to Article XI.4: The At... with "✅"

00:57:12 Carlton Samuels: Replying to "an objection to new ..."

Sivas, I for one can understand if you interpret the whole thing within the envelope of 'activities of ICANN' your view is no so cockeyed.

00:57:52 Sivasubramanian M: Thank you Carlton

00:58:04 Judith Hellerstein: Thursday's OFB call

01:00:04 avri doria: I was going to say, the approach to review meeting issues is quite expansive. Thankyou for brining up the subject/

01:00:05 Claire Craig: Replying to "good approach Hadia" that's what I thought

01:00:10 Sivasubramanian M: Replying to "an objection to new ..."

It is also interpretation of the design of the multistakeholder process itself of which ICANN is to be deemed a precedent and ought to be exemplary and be setting the path for other organisation and larger institutions to follow

01:00:28 Claire Craig: Reacted to "That IS the problem ..." with 👍

01:01:51 Sivasubramanian M: Replying to "an objection to new ..."

I wouldn't compartmentalise the current issue of "standing", but would rather see as an alarming symptom of the blatant, intentional design flaw.

01:02:26 Sivasubramanian M: Replying to "an objection to new ..."

... compartmentalise out / compartmentalise away ...

01:02:56 Greg Shatan: I have sent a copy of the How We Meet proposal being discussed by Mike Palage to the CPWG email list.

01:03:01 John McCormac - HosterStats.com: This might be worth considering on the registrant disputing a transfer: <https://krebsonsecurity.com/2024/07/researchers-weak-security-defaults-enabled-squarespace-domains-hijacks/>

01:03:24 betty FAUSTA:thanks Steinar

01:03:46 Eduardo Díaz: Replying to "I have sent a copy o..."

Thanks I was looking for that.

01:10:08 Gopal Tadepalli: @Satish Babu What is the maximum string length of a Domain Name in ASCII Characters ? How is this this validation check done? Is it Country Specific [253, 255 or 250] - Dr. T V Gopal, Anna University, Chennai, INDIA

01:12:07 Hadia Elminiawi: Thank you Satish

01:12:53 Bill Jouris: It seems hard to plausibly argue that the ccNSO shouldn't have input to the decision

01:13:16 Laura Margolis: 63

01:14:03 Olivier MJ Crepin-Leblond: Reacted to "63" with ✅

01:15:21 Steinar Grøtterød: Replying to "63"

rfc1035

01:15:29 Satish Babu: @Bill, that was our position as well, but GNSO seems to have other opinions.

01:15:31 Sivasubramanian M: Does an idn character translate to puny code ASCII 1 to 1? Does IDN ABC translate corespondingly to three characters?

01:15:58 Shah Rahman: Apologies need to leave for some urgency.

01:16:04 Bill Jouris: Replying to "@Bill, that was our ..."

Well, they would, of course

01:16:07 Satish Babu: Replying to "@Bill, that was our ..."

Also

01:16:15 Satish Babu: There is a header "xn--" which increases the length.

01:16:20 Gopal Tadepalli: @Satish Babu TKS. This is proving to be a bit tricky. I am not sure of patchy approach with IDNs due to the emphasis on localization almost oblivious of the global. I eagerly look forward to some specifics from the EPDP on IDNs whenever. - Dr. T V Gopal, Anna University, Chennai, INDIA

01:16:48 Satish Babu: Thanks @Gopal Tadepalli

01:17:48 Bill Jouris: Replying to "@Bill, that was our ..."

Dare I hope that the GAC has weighed in on behalf of the ccNSO as well?

01:18:33 Laura Margolis: Replying to "Does an idn characte..."
never 1 to 1 unfortunately

01:18:44 Sivasubramanian M: @satish, apart from xn, is it 1 to 1, or becomes linger as in encryption?

01:18:51 Hadia's iPhone: Sorry I was disconnected but I am back again

01:19:55 Sivasubramanian M: If you encrrypt "Satish", the encrypted name is not exactly five characters long

01:20:04 Satish Babu: Not sure, Siva. I guess it depends on the script, but the ones I have tested are 1 to 1 after the first 4 header characters. I've not tested CJK.

01:21:24 Sivasubramanian M: You may have.a problem there especially with Korean, japanese and Chinese if not with a few more scripts also @satish

01:21:45 Hadia's iPhone: @siva the Punycode representation of the IDN TLD must not exceed 63 characters

01:22:51 Sivasubramanian M: That would bring down the length of the original, desired IDN name to far less than 63 in some cases. This may have to be examined

01:23:47 Satish Babu: @Siva, that is correct, but it would be an unusual use case to have a very long IDN, especially since it would be difficult to type in some of the nonLatin scripts.

01:24:33 Sivasubramanian M: We may have to apply a solution akin to how Microsoft made long file names work in the 90s when the length of the file name expanded beyond I think 8

01:27:07 Satish Babu: I think 63 is the max length of a label. The max length of a domain name "label.tld" is 255 (including the trailing null).

01:28:17 Gopal Tadepalli: Replying to "63"

Yes. RFC1035 AND $3 \times 63 + 61 = 250$ is a quick calculation. However, RFC1935 has max 255 bytes (1 byte = 1 character = 1 octet). As Siva noted leading and trailing length bytes are there in 255 and if we do not count them it is 253! Three too many possibilities...we need to nail this down whenever. - Dr. T V Gopal, Anna University, Chennai, INDIA

01:30:25 Sivasubramanian M: 63 original alphabets may be fair. (if the translation blows up the original character by a doctor of 3 or more, imaginatively, say, from Korean to ouncy code, 63 translated characters would be discriminatory, irrespective of the current technical limitations/ considerations)

01:31:24 Sivasubramanian M: ... factor ...

01:31:48 Sivasubramanian M: ... puny code ...


01:31:49 John McCormac - HosterStats.com: There's probably a business opportunity for a company that wants to extend the IDN character lengths of domain names using a DNS resolver approach (Realnames from the late 1990s etc).

01:32:40 Bill Jouris: Or just covered it so thoroughly....

01:32:42 Vanda Scartezini - Brazil- DNS WOMEN & ABES: very good and clear Justine

01:33:19 Ashrafur Rahman Piaus --: Perfect Justine

01:33:45 Sivasubramanian M: @john If a business can, why can't ICANN?

01:34:02 Laura Margolis: Reacted to "very good and clear ..." with 

01:34:23 John McCormac - HosterStats.com: @Siva ICANN doesn't have the technological capability and it might break a lot of byelaws.

01:37:54 Laura Margolis: silence on that question ")

01:38:01 Bill Jouris: There would seem to be an issue with expecting acronyms to be in any dictionary

01:38:07 betty FAUSTA:Replying to "silence on that ques..."

01:38:25 Olivier MJ Crepin-Leblond: TV - TVs TV definition in American English | Collins English Dictionary (collinsdictionary.com)

01:38:27 Alan Greenberg: <https://www.collinsdictionary.com/dictionary/english/tv>

01:38:39 Olivier MJ Crepin-Leblond: :-)

01:38:46 Sivasubramanian M: Replying to "an objection to new ..."

@john that brings us back to go in circles

01:39:15 John McCormac - HosterStats.com: Replying to "an objection to new ..."

@Siva Yep. And the Internet will move on.

01:40:57 Sivasubramanian M: Replying to "Does an idn characte..."

Thank you Laura

01:42:40 Laura Margolis: Reacted to "Thank you Laura" with 👍

01:43:33 Hadia's iPhone: Apart form the part that says that "anyone reports to staff" Paul's Strawman works.

01:44:31 Gopal Tadepalli: Replying to "https://www.collinsd..."

There are several things that are problematic with relying on dictionaries to figure out what you know when you know the meaning of a listeme [as per the listing - in this context dictionary]. As you would appreciate, technologists opt for glossary of terms [as hinted in the beginning of this CPWG meeting]. - Dr. T V Gopal, Anna University, Chennai, INDIA

01:45:31 Kathleen Scoggin (she/her): How is "brand" defined in this circumstance? Has this been defined in other circumstances within ICANN?

01:46:11 Hadia's iPhone: Thank you Justine

01:46:17 John McCormac - HosterStats.com: Thanks Justine.

01:46:57 Michel TCHONANG CMR CAPDA: Thanks Justine

01:47:25 Alan Greenberg: Avri said she would drop on the hour.

01:47:46 SuccessBridge: Reacted to How is "brand" defin... with "👍"

01:47:49 Gopal Tadepalli: Thank you.

01:47:51 Sivasubramanian M: Thank you !

01:47:58 Eunice Perez Coello: thank you

01:48:00 Ashrafur Rahman Piaus --: Thank you very much

01:48:01 Alfredo Calderon: Bye to all!

01:48:01 John McCormac - HosterStats.com: Thanks and later all.

01:48:07 Satish Babu: Thanks and bye!

01:48:10 SuccessBridge: Well done...

01:48:10 Bukola Oronti: Thank you all

01:48:11 Muriel ALAPINI: Bye all

01:48:21 Michel TCHONANG CMR CAPDA: Thanks Olivier and all bye

01:48:31 Harold Arcos: Thank you all,,, have a nice Wednesday

01:48:32 betty FAUSTA:bye

01:48:32 Denise Hochbaum: Thank you!

01:48:39 betty FAUSTA:adigo not performant today

01:48:42 Aziz Hilali: Thank you all

01:48:43 Laura Margolis: Bye all!

01:48:53

Eunice Perez Coello: bye all