

Continuous Improvement Program Project - Meeting Report

1. Meeting Agenda - 05 JUNE 2024	
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discussion on adjustment to the CIP Principles — CIP-CCG Representatives (30 minutes) 2. Presentation for community feedback on CIP framework Criteria and Indicators — CANN org (25 minutes) 3. AOB and next steps — ICANN org (5 minutes) 	
2. Attendees	
CIP-CCG Members:	Amrita Choudhury, Benjamin Akinmoyeje, Bill Jouris, Bukola Oronti, Caleb Ogundele, Carlos Dionisio Aguirre, Cheryl Langdon-Orr, Chokri Ben Romdhane, Damon Ashcraft, Harold Arcos, Jeffrey Bedser, Justine Chew, Nenad Orlić, Rao Naveed Bin Rais, Sébastien Bachollet, Tijani Ben Jemaa, Tracy Hackshaw, Wisdom Donkor
ICANN Organization:	Alice Jansen, Berry Cobb, Evin Erdogdu, Jean-Baptiste Deroulez, Jessica Puccio, Larisa Gurnick, Yvette Guigneaux
Apologies:	Alan Greenberg, Christelle Vaval, Irina Daniella, Manju Chen, Owen Smigelski, Sean Copeland, Tijani Ben Jemaa
3. Discussions	
Topic 1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ICANN org presented the meeting agenda. CIP-CCG volunteers continued discussion of the five draft Principles from the CIP-CCG list under agenda item 1. • Damon Ashcraft, GNSO Council representative to the CIP-CCG, suggested that the five Principles overall take into consideration the fitness of purpose of each Organizational structure (and its substructures) for the future of ICANN. Is the structure prepared to evolve? CIP-CCG members expressed support of this perspective in application of the Principles.

Continuous Improvement Program Project - Meeting Report

	<ul style="list-style-type: none">● Cheryl Langdon-Orr, ALAC representative to the CIP-CCG suggested an addition of “bottom-up” in Principle 5, to describe and emphasize the “bottom-up” ICANN multistakeholder model (instead of “top-down”). CIP-CCG members agreed and ICANN org took an action item to adjust the redline.● The CIP-CCG affirmed their support of the word “substructures” and related footnote in line with the ATRT3 Report and discussion on the CIP-CCG list.● ICANN org relayed a suggestion from Irina Daniela, ccNSO alternate to the CIP-CCG, on the CIP-CCG in which she encouraged the removal of Principle 5 and separation of Principle 4 into two separate principles. The CIP-CCG did not express support for the suggestion.● Sebastien Bachollet, EURALO representative to the CIP-CCG, raised the question of Board consideration of the status of Organizational Reviews. ICANN org shared the related Board resolution, specifying Board consideration of CIP pilot and progress made towards evolving Organizational Reviews by June 2025. The work of the CIP-CCG - including input received in the eventual Public Comment proceeding on the draft CIP Framework - will inform the Board’s consideration.● Owen Smigelski, RrSG representative to the CIP-CCG, noted to ICANN org staff in advance of the meeting that he will relay input from the RrSG on the five draft Principles after ICANN80, during the next CIP-CCG meeting on 26 June. The due date for the action item to adjust the Principles will be adjusted to 26 June accordingly, while work on Phase 3 of the work plan is underway.
Topic 2	<ul style="list-style-type: none">● ICANN org presented supporting resources, including slide deck and talking points/FAQ to CIP-CCG for Phase 3 on Criteria and Indicators.

Continuous Improvement Program Project - Meeting Report

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● ICANN org encouraged the opportunity for CIP-CCG volunteers to engage with groups during ICANN80 on the work of the CIP-CCG. ● Damon Ashcraft and several other CIP-CCG volunteers asked whether there would be a hybrid meeting in ICANN80 Policy Forum for the CIP-CCG, similar to the ICANN79 Community Forum. ICANN org noted that the Reviews team would not be participating in-person to support a hybrid meeting for the CIP-CCG volunteers traveling to ICANN80, and adjusted the CIP-CCG meetings in June accordingly. ICANN org offered support to present to groups remotely on the work of the CIP and CIP-CCG. ● Caleb Ogundele, NPOC representative to the CIP-CCG, and Benjamin Akinmojeye, NCUC representative to the CIP-CCG, requested that the ICANN org Reviews team present to the NCSG during ICANN80. ICANN org noted the action item to develop the presentation with the NCSG. 		
Topic 3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Under AOB, discussion centered on whether there should be a suggested minimum for the number of Criteria, a question raised by Naveed Bin Rais, RSSAC representative to the CIP-CCG. ● The CIP-CCG agreed on a minimum of 3-5 Criteria per Principle. ● ICANN org noted the next meeting on 26 June, and related resources that would be distributed in support of Phase 3. 		
4. Decisions			
1	Minimum 3-5 Criteria suggested per Principle.		
5. Next Steps			
	Action Item	Assignee	Due Date
1	ICANN org to distribute supporting resources, including slide deck and talking points/FAQ to CIP-CCG for Phase 3 on Criteria and Indicators.	Jessica Puccio / Evin Erdogdu	5 Jun 2024

Continuous Improvement Program Project - Meeting Report

2	CIP-CCG volunteers conduct Phase 3 on the CIP Framework Criteria and Indicators, in accordance with the work plan.	CIP-CCG	5 Jun - 24 Jul 2024
3	ICANN org Reviews team to present to NCSG during ICANN80 on the CIP and work of the CIP-CCG.	Larisa Gurnick / Jean-Baptiste Deroulez / Evin Erdogan	10 Jun 2024
4	CIP-CCG volunteers to engage with their groups during ICANN80 on the work of the CIP-CCG.	CIP-CCG	10-13 Jun 2024
5	Principles discussion: Adjust wording in redline in accordance with 5 Jun CIP-CCG meeting input, and shift due date for action item to next meeting (26 June).	Evin Erdogan, CIP-CCG	26 Jun 2024
6	ICANN org to translate the phase 3 resources into the 5 UN languages.	ICANN org	26 Jun 2024