

NCAP Discussion Group

Meeting #137

3 January 2024 at 9:00 UTC

Meeting wiki: <https://community.icann.org/x/ZABUEQ>

Attendance: See meeting wiki.

These high-level notes are designed to help NCAP Discussion Group members navigate through the content of the call. They are not meant to be a substitute for the recording or transcript accessed via this link:

<https://icann.zoom.us/rec/share/U4Y2NNCxJgYDm1IE2Ai0sRrMw2tL1K4Qv7Szt4yNpox8VHnhf6jvMm30zs00cR6.r9TytLK0QBhmmsEK>

1. Welcome, roll call, SOI updates

- Admin item: SSAC had a change in leadership over the holiday. Rod will still be participating, but Ron Mohan and Tara Whalen will be acting as the new chair and vice-chair respectively

2. Focused review on document text where Heather has specific questions or items for DG input or review

- Sections 1-3
 - Suzanne wants to tie an excerpt about SAC062 to section 3.5. Encourages maintaining consistency when referencing the SSAC reports
 - Michael will look over footnotes and quotes in the week following this meeting to ensure consistency
 - Anne notes that the answers to Board questions should not go out for public comment
 - Terminology
 - Suzanne added text to define risk
 - Michael needed clarification on “Harm”. Casey agreed to look into it offline
 - Name collision dataset comparison
 - It’s agreed that the dataset is needed, but Casey notes that some of the language is outdated
 - 3.5.5: Ethical Considerations
 - Anne feels the last paragraph belongs in a different section. Sheb states that main idea to communicate the differences of opinion within the DG to the public
 - Anne points out that the DG never discussed the Menlo Report which is being mentioned in this section
 - This section will be reviewed offline
- Sections 4-5
 - There are several grammatical and wording matters that will be reviewed offline
 - Jeff Schmidt’s comment regarding .CORP and .MAIL

- General consensus agrees with his comment. There is not a seen need to take time distinguishing .corp, .home, and .mail. The Collision String Study could be linked for curious readers
 - Section 4.5 would need to be reviewed offline due to the substantive amount of content that needs to be looked over
 - There is contention over whether this section needs to be rewritten or deleted altogether
 - 5.3 Recommendation 3 is also related. So any decision about 4.5 will affect this section
 - Anne notes that there should be a declared difference between which items DG has consensus on
- After going through the last of the items that need review in both docs, Michael will now create a shared doc listing all the action items needed to complete the draft
 - Any open items within the Board questions doc can be reviewed next week upon completion of these tasks

3. AOB

- a. None Raised

4. Adjourn