

NCAP Discussion Group

Meeting #110

5 April 2023 at 20:00 – 21:00 UTC

Meeting wiki: <https://community.icann.org/x/4wA5Dg>

Discussion Group Members Matthew Thomas, Suzanne Woolf, Anne Aikman-Scalèse, Julie Hammer, Tom Barrett, Jim Galvin, Rod Rasmussen	Observers Hadia El Miniawi
Apologies Barry Leiba, Casey Deccio, Jaap Akkerhuis, Justine Chew, Betty Fausta	ICANN Org Jennifer Bryce, Matt Larson, Kathy Schnitt
	Contractor Support Heather Flanagan

These high-level notes are designed to help NCAP Discussion Group members navigate through the content of the call. They are not meant to be a substitute for the recording or transcript accessed via this link:

https://icann.zoom.us/rec/play/bTHlwhMCNVLu6ajv4Vfe34Rry4E5i0MjUZA7WWubljKk_tXJGfUUY2Pqo87So_HQyg142FOgpByQRPXe.Pg-pUfJsTEG-VJlk?continueMode=true& x zm rtaid=kJU0YUaRRiamrhuMnINzfA.1680814923399.cce9f5df073d6872fdecfe65318e2b9a& x zm rhtaid=506

1. Welcome, roll call - Matt

See attendance record above. No SOI updates recorded.

2. Continue discussion on Study 2 report section 4: Findings – Matt

The group continued discussion of [Section 4](#) of the document. Key points from the discussion include:

- Finding C.c: Critical Diagnostic Measurements are a quantitative measure
 - The group discussed the similarities and differences between this finding and B.a.1 “any single CDM can be a leading indicator for the potential of high-risk impact. Based on the points raised during the discussion, the group agreed that the findings should remain separate but there are some similarities between them that should be referenced.
- Finding C.d: Assessing risk of harm requires both quantitative and qualitative measures.
 - The group discussed the intended meaning of this finding and the narrative leading up to this finding. The group suggested some slight restructuring.
- Finding C.e: Assessing impact is an indicator of the risk of harm.
 - Heather suggested adding a summary to the end of each of the finding “buckets”. The group generally agreed with this. Suzanne noted that this might help make clear connections between observations and recommendations.
- Finding D: Root Zone Delegation is required for notification and CDM evaluation
 - Anne asked if this was the area where Jeff and Casey had concerns. Jim noted that he’s had some discussions with Jeff and Casey separately.
 - Anne asked for inclusion of some text regarding a clear statement on DIDL data if the group believes it is insufficient by itself. She noted her concern that it’s not currently

clear why DIDL data is not as good as it was in the 2012 round. There was a discussion around including a specific statement vs a broader generalization about data limitations and how things were done in the past, and how they can be done in the future.

- Suzanne will have a discussion with Heather offline to help propose some revised text that makes this finding and the reasons behind it clearer.
- Finding E: User notification and reporting is problematic.
 - Heather noted that this finding might require a side conversation with Jeff and Casey before the group gets back to this discussion next week.
 - Jim noted that he's had some discussions with Jeff separately and believes there may be a way forward for the group to make the text work.
 - Matt noted there is a PPT presentation that some members of the group have seen – but it has not yet been circulated to the whole group. He will work with Suzanne and Jeff as the author of the PPT to get this circulated to the group.

Action item: Heather to hold the pen on making updates to the Findings portion of the document to address the comments on the call today.

Action item: Co-chairs to work with Jeff to prepare the Discussion Group for discussion of finding E next week.

3. AOB

Tom asked about the numbering convention and if there is a reason behind it. Heather noted that she will update the numbering convention when all the findings are complete.

Action item: Heather to update the numbering convention in the document once all the findings are complete.

4. Summary of action items and decisions

Action item: Heather to hold the pen on making updates to the Findings portion of the document to address the comments on the call today.

Action item: Co-chairs to work with Jeff to prepare the Discussion Group for discussion of finding E next week.

Action item: Heather to update the numbering convention in the document once all the findings are complete.