

**WHOIS POLICY REVIEW TEAM><ICANN STAFF
CONFERENCE CALL – MONDAY, 30 APRIL – 22:00 UTC
ADOBE CHAT TRANSCRIPT**

Alice Jansen: (4/30/2012 20:47) Welcome to the WHOIS Policy RT><ICANN Staff call!

peter: (5/1/2012 00:07) alice - can we get the language of the relevant recs up on the screen?

Alice Jansen: (00:08) Of course, let me know if you need a different document up on the screen.

Alice Jansen: (00:08) I have uploaded the most recent version (Data Accuracy recommendations).

peter: (00:09) Thanks Alice

Kathy: (00:26) Sorry for being late -- regards to All!

Susan Kawaguchi: (00:35) Alice I just sent you one more to put up on the screen

Alice Jansen: (00:36) Hi Susan, it's in the pipeline - will be up in a second.

Susan Kawaguchi: (00:37) Thanks!

Kathy: (00:50) I think screening for bad data "on its face" is a good idea.

Kathy: (00:51) Also, a number of ccTLDs have regular screens in plac.

Kathy: (00:51) in place...

Kathy: (00:51) Again, the "low-hanging fruit" concept

Alice Jansen: (01:05) Questions: Does the "Head of the Contractual Compliance Team" make final decisions about compliance actions in all instances or do these need to be cleared with, or vetted by, someone else (e.g. the General Counsel)? If so, is there a threshold and/or criteria for taking responsibility for a compliance decision outside of the compliance team? Are these processes and reporting lines clear and documented? What are the responsibilities and incentives of the ultimate decision makers (particularly if they are outside of the compliance team) – e.g. are their incentives and responsibilities clear and/or exclusively compliance focused? If not, why not? How are any conflicts of incentives/interests resolved?

Alice Jansen: (01:49) Thanks for participating.