

WHOIS POLICY REVIEW TEAM CONFERENCE CALL
WED, 28 MARCH – 21:00 UTC
ADOBE CHAT CONTENT

Alice Jansen: (3/28/2012 20:11) Welcome to the WHOIS Policy Review Team conference call

Kathy: (23:18) And a quick note that accreditation is a new concept...

Kathy: (23:18) and a very policy-oriented concept.

Alice Jansen: (23:19) Omar has just joined the call.

Kathy: (23:19) Hi Omar!

Omar: (23:19) hi :)

Kathy: (23:28) +1 Emily - tx for all you are doing!

Alice Jansen: (23:29) Lutz has just joined us.

Lutz Donnerhacke: (23:30) I'll join the bridge in a few minutes. I'm just back from a meeting.

Lynn Goodendorf: (23:31) pleased with Rec 17

Kathy: (23:43) yes!

Lynn Goodendorf: (23:55) agree - financial penalties would be a good intermediate sanction

peter: (23:55) +1 to multiple graduated steps - e.g. additional oversight/auditing/reporting, financial penalties, financial incentives etc

Kathy: (23:56) do we have to get into the details, or layout the bigger picture?

emily taylor: (23:57) dropped from the call

Alice Jansen: (23:57) Emily, the operator is calling you now.

emily taylor: (23:57) thx

emily taylor: (23:57) carry on all!

peter: (23:57) I agree KAtHy - I think we're just illustrating that additional graduated steps are possible, and should be considered

Kathy: (23:58) OK, then, next question: is it a contract issue or a PDP issue?

Kathy: (23:58) I also think we are in general agreement....

Bladel: (3/29/2012 00:03) They're not going to get that string anyway. :)

Kathy: (00:05) which one :-)

Kathy: (00:05) which recommendation, Emily?

Kathy: (00:05) or anyone?

Alice Jansen: (00:05) Rec 9

Alice Jansen: (00:06) ICANN should ensure that the requirements for accurate WHOIS data are widely and pro-actively communicated to current and prospective Registrants. As part of this effort, ICANN should ensure that its Registrant Rights and Responsibilities document is pro-actively and prominently circulated to all new and renewing registrants.

Kathy: (00:07) Shall we ask Denise for clarification?

Bladel: (00:09) Yes.

Bladel: (00:09) I'm sorry, but I need to drop the call. :(

Susan: (00:10) 90 minute call on my calendar

Alice Jansen: (00:11) Yes I circulated an 90-minute invite - please let me know if duration needs to be amended for next calls! Thanks :-)

Bladel: (00:12) My fault then...apologies.

Bladel: (00:12) I have had some technical difficulties with meeting invices, so have been scheduling these calls manually. Must have missed the duration.

Kathy: (00:14) tx you, Emily!

Lutz Donnerhacke 2: (00:14) yep, impressive amount and quality of comments

Omar: (00:14) +'

Omar: (00:14) +1

Kathy: (00:16) Should we talk with Denise about this at the next meeting?

Kathy: (00:17) Denise also offered to walk thru staff comments too... at the next meeting.

Lutz Donnerhacke 2: (00:20) Yes, a special telco to discuss the staff comments sounds like a good idea.

Alice Jansen: (00:31) Emily has dropped. The operator is on it.

Omar: (00:38) +1 to Peter

Alice Jansen: (00:39) Thanks for participating!

Lutz Donnerhacke 2: (00:39) Good night and happy easter

Alice Jansen: (00:40) Happy Easter to you too, Lutz!