ICANN

Moderator: Chantelle Doerksen July 26, 2018 10:00 am CT

Coordinator: The recordings have started. You may now proceed.

Chantelle Doerksen: Good morning, good afternoon, and good evening. Welcome to the BC Members Call on Thursday July 26, 2018. In the interest of time attendance will be taken via the Adobe Connect room and phone bridge. I would like remind all participants to please state your name before speaking for transcription purposes and please keep your phones and microphones on mute when not speaking to avoid any background noise. With this I will over to Jimson Olufuye to begin. Jimson, please go ahead.

Jimson Olufuye: Thank you very much, Chantelle. Greetings all. My name Jimson Olufuye, the Vice Chair of Finance and Operations and I'm sitting in for the chair, Claudia Selli, who is unavoidably absent and sends her apologies. We are going to proceed right away because our Vice Chair of Policy Coordination, Steve DelBianco, took a lot of pains to really join us. I want to thank him for this extra sacrifice to make sure he's connected. So I'll just hand over to Steve. Steve, over to you. Thank you.

Steve DelBianco: Thank you, Jimson. It's Steve and I do not see the Adobe so if anyone has

their up, I'll look for you, Jimson or Chantelle to (see if there are any hands).

Jimson Olufuye: Go ahead, Steve.

Steve DelBianco: There are only two items since our last meeting. The first was on yesterday we

submitted a letter, a joint letter, with the Intellectual Property Constituency

where we tried to correct which compared the framework of ICANN Org or

the accreditation and access model versus models that'd been advanced from

Business Constituency and IPC. So we simply corrected that table in the ways

in which they perhaps misunderstood what the latest draft had proposed.

Thank you for your help on that, especially the members of the BC who are

jointly working on that.

The second was on July the 16th, thanks to the work by Jimson and Marilyn

Cade and Marc Datysgeld, we submitted comments on ICANN's draft

guidelines for community travel support. Thanks again to all for that.

Turning to the open public comments, we have eight open comments. There

are three which are due on October the 27th, which is two days from today.

The good news is the BC already drafts for two of those and are under review.

First was the open data initiative. I want to thank Mark Datysgeld, Denise

Michel, Faisal Shah, Mark Svancarek, and then Claudia, and I put a comment

in, as did Tim Chen.

So you all have action to policy calendar and attached to Tim Chen's email,

you have a draft of the BC's comment on priorities for ICANN and start to

release data sets for the ODA. So this would be a great time for any member

who has anything to add to comment to weigh in. I'll wait and see if anybody

has something to add, and particularly Denise, Faisal, Tim and others who

Page 3

made the comment if you wish to raise any questions, this would be a great

time to do so.

All right I cannot see the chat so I'll assume there's no comments or questions

on the ODI. We will file that in two days. You still have another day if

members want to comment on the list. I'm going to step into the airport for a

moment and get a quiet space. Just give me a second.

Thank you. The second open public comment period for July 27 is our

comments on the proposed rule over the .coop sponsor top level domain or

STLD. Zak Muscovitch developed the first draft of that comment. I suggested

I thought it was a bit strong and Zak came back right away with a toned down

version. And I had not seen other conversations on list with respect to this

comment.

Our main concern is that ICANN has bilateral negotiation to impose URS

policy that while we favor the URS policy, the BC is on record of not favoring

the imposition of things like that in a bilateral negotiation when something

like the URS was never the result of a bottom up policy development process

in GNSO.

Are there any comments on our draft for the .coop renewal? And please do

note that the draft I circulated with the policy calendar had a toned section that

Zak had voluntarily walked back after his first draft. And so, Jimson, let me

know if anyone has their hand up and wants to comment on that. Thank you.

Jimson Olufuye: There are no hands up. No hand is up.

Steve DelBianco: Thank you. We're going to file that in two days as well. The third item that is

due the 27th of July is ICANN has a new draft proposal for the fellowship

Page 4

program approach. We had done a comment on fellowship in July of 2016 and

we did have volunteers, Marilyn Cade, Mark, and Lawrence had volunteered

to draft comments on this, but I had not seen a draft circulated. So at this point

the BC will not be commenting on the new fellowship program approach.

I'll stop to see if there's any comments on that. Okay hearing none. Number

four, ICANN has proposed that one of the current reviews that is open, the

ATRT, accountability and transparency review three, has a dilemma with

respect to its scope and the size of the volunteer pool. And ICANN is offering

three options for the community to consider, options by which the ATRT3

could be adjusted in its timing of scope.

The BC is going to recommend the option of allowing the ATRT to review the

implementation of prior ATRT recommendations. This is something the BC

came to an agreement on well over a year ago as one of the conditions to set

up ATRT3, and that was put forward. The review team itself didn't embrace it

and it's now back on the table.

So I think we are simply going to recommend that option again and we're

going to clarify with this sort of scope limitation it's something that is a

unusual circumstance. Doing it now for the ATRT review, because there's so

much overlap with Work Stream 2 recommendations in the CCWG, that we

do not intend to suggest that scope can be limited by initiatives of the staff and

board on future review teams. And that was something that Denise

recommended we add, and I agree.

Any comments on that? Thank you. The next one...

Jimson Olufuye: Marie wants to say something. Marie? Marie, your hand is up.

Marie Pattullo: Thanks, Jimson. Sorry, I was on mute. Steve, can you hear me?

Steve DelBianco: Yes, Marie.

Marie Pattullo:

Great. Just to let you and Denise and the others know that in the council meeting last week, we discussed something about ATRT3 being the volunteers that GNSO put forward because if you remember there were three primary volunteers appointed way back in January of 2017, two of whom can no longer fulfill, obviously one being our beloved Stephane, and the other who's changed jobs.

So council leadership is at the moment looking as to whether they need reopen the call for volunteers. And when I say council leadership, remember this is also being discussed with the Standing Selection Committee so in fact with our own Susan. So it's just a point of information to keep you aware on that. Thank you.

Steve DelBianco: Thanks, Marie. So the composition of the team, as you indicated, is a separate matter than what is the scope that the review team should look at. Fantastic.

The next item up are, again, turning to reviews, there are long-term options underway to figure out what we want to do on the adjusting the flexibility for the timeline of reviews, and this is because the bylaws require a review of each organization other than the GAC every five years, and we all know that as the GNSO review.

In addition, at the BC's suggestion, all of the specific reviews were brought into the ICANN bylaws no less frequently than every five years. So as luck would have it, a lot of these reviews happen in the same time. There were eight reviews occurring in the 2018 year because of the overlap. So there's a

long-term option to have more flexibility to adjust the timing of these reviews such that the overlap is minimized.

Let me thank Barbara Warner for quickly drafting a BC comment that we first circulated over a month ago -- it's attachment three to the policy calendar -whereby the BC endorses the notion of flexibility with regards to timing. Barbara, I don't know if you're on the line but I did want to invite you to add anything you wish or to see if BC members have any suggestions. This comment period closes the 31st of July so it's early next week.

Barbara Warner: Thank you, Steve. This is Barbara for the record. I don't have anything further to add to that but I welcome any other refinements to it that might be offered by members. Thank you.

Steve DelBianco: Barbara, thank you actually. I really appreciate the work you did on that. All right folks that brings us all the way down to the fifth one. There are six, seven, and eight that are still open. Number six closes on the 31st of July. This is a really important one because it has to do with protections for certain Red Cross names in all gTLDs.

> It's a very simple set of recommendations and it's really just a refinement on policy development that occurred earlier. This is for second-level domains that may not be registered in new gTLDs. In this case we're saying reserve names for all gTLDs, not just new ones. Now this also got an exception procedure so that somebody like the Red Cross itself could register their own name as opposed to having it be a permanently reserved name.

> This is a very easy comment for the BC draft. I believe we should support the recommendations for this working group. And this comment period closes on the 31st of July. Can I get any BC member to volunteer to assist in drafting a

Page 7

short BC comment? Are there any objections to the BC supporting the recommendations on the initial report?

Again, the recommendation is to add a finite list of Red Cross organizational names, like Red Cross, Red Crescent, in a variety of scripts and languages and having that be added to the reserve name list for second-level registrations in gTLDs. Any objections to that? Okay hearing none. I will plan on submitting a comment endorsing that. It'll be a very short one-sentence comment from the BC. Thank you.

Our next two are due to the 10th of August and September the 5th. The 10th of August is the independent review process implementation oversight team. I want to thank Kristin Doan for volunteering to draft a BC comment. And, Kristin, I have an initial thought on that, because this is something we covered in the CCWG and I will send you a draft of some points to consider because I don't think that the IRP IOT got everything exactly right.

Are there other members of the BC familiar with the independent review process, or IRP, who can assist Kristin and I in coming up with a BC position? Kristin, I will send you some initial thoughts on that and then we'll need to circulate it to our membership sometime next week.

And then number eight finally is a comment that closes the 5th of September, and this one is a big one. It's a huge report from Policy Development Process Working Group in GNSO on procedures for next round or subsequent rounds of new gTLDs. So we call it subsequent procedures. This is paving the way for how we would tweak the guidebook and the process for opening up a new round of top-level domains.

Page 8

I still don't have a volunteer yet from the BC who's willing to look at that. The

BC had a member that was active on that group, that was Phil Corwin, who is

no longer with the BC. So we need a volunteer to assist in this. This one

would matter the most to BC members who might be considering for instance

launching their own corporate top-level domain. We always call them .brand.

But any of the companies in the BC who have an interest in that would

definitely want to pay attention to these subsequent procedures.

Jimson, you'll have to tell me if any hands are up.

Jimson Olufuye: Vivek.

Vivek Goyal:

Yes my name is Vivek Goyal. I'm a new member to BC and I would like to

volunteer for this.

Steve DelBianco: Vivek, this is Steve DelBianco. Let me thank you for that. I will send you a setup email on that, which will indicate what the BC has said about the prior guidebook and the prior subsequent procedures. I'll copy the entire BC on that in the hopes of enticing others to volunteer to assist you. Vivek, thank you

very much.

Any other BC members, particularly those anticipating a .brand in the next

round? All right. Thank you. That's it for the currently open public comments.

I thought I would turn next - Chantelle if you could scroll the policy calendar

down to the GDPR section. Quite a bit happening on GDPR.

Jimson Olufuye: Go ahead, Steve.

Steve DelBianco: Some of it - yes. Quite a bit happens on GDPR and some of it begins about an

hour from now. There will be a communitywide call in about an hour. I

Page 9

attached information to that to a BC email so you'll have the calendar invite. It'll last 90 minutes, and that is a call in which we want to discuss community reaction to version 1.7, the latest of an accreditation and access model which was developed by IPC and BC members. And that as version 1.7, which I

attached a link to in the policy calendar.

So the interest now is to see whether we can continue to move that ahead. I'm going to turn in a minute to Marie and Susan to talk about where council is on the expedited PDP for the temporary spec but this is a slightly different track.

This is the track that says we need to develop an accreditation framework that fits within what ICANN came up with in June so that different entities, like cyber security, researchers, law enforcement, intellectual property and consumer fraud prevention, that each of these groups an pursue accreditation by an appropriate accreditation body and then obtain from data protection board enough for legal assurances that people that are accredited can expect the contract parties at ICANN to respond to their queries for the nonpublic Whois information.

If we do that right, with the cooperation of ICANN, ICANN can then turn to the contract parties and require that they respond. So I'm suggesting that the key to this, the real win is an accreditation that's strong enough that the data protection board will grant it legal certainty -- certainty's too strong a word -- legal clearance so that ICANN can mandate response. If we're successful in that regard, we can restore some significant level of access to the nonpublic Whois for legitimate purposes.

So I would welcome BC members to add anything to the mix but what we'll do on that next call, I'll be one of the moderators of the call, along with the president of the IPC. Tim Chen I see you and several - Zak and others on the

Page 10

phone who are interested, I do hope you will be on that call, Denise Michel as

well.

So I'll stop there. Is there anyone who like to add anything to how we prepare

for that call coming up in an hour? Can I get a show of hands? How many BC

members are planning to participate? I will. Just raise your hand or put it into

the chat. Thank you, Tim and Statton.

And I see Marie and Zak and Mason as well. That's excellent. So the BC's

going to have a half a dozen people on the call. Marie, Zak, Mason, any of

you would you like to add anything about that call? Okay, I don't see any.

Mason Cole:

Hi, Steve, it's Mason.

Steve DelBianco: Go ahead, Mason.

Mason Cole:

Just to say it's very important for as much of the community to participate in

the call as possible and we've got some good ideas in the accreditation model.

So as many BC members that can attend, it is appreciated.

Steve DelBianco: Thank you, Mason. All right I'd like to turn things over to Marie to talk us

through what council is up to. Chantelle, would you please scroll to the

section of the policy calendar that displays the council agenda? Thank you.

Over to you, Marie.

Marie Pattullo:

Thanks, Steve. And firstly Susan sends apologies. She is as we speak in the

RDS meeting, which is no mean feat, so good luck to Susan and forgive me

for deputizing on her behalf. Now the last council meeting as you know we

had was last week. I'll go through this in order because it's easier.

First up there is going to be a new charter, so I guess a draft team on the charter looking at the next steps for ICANN's procedures for handling Whois conflicts with privacy. Now we know this is coming up. It's not new but be aware that staff is about to send around a call for volunteers, and we understand from what we've been told that there's going to be a request for each SG, each stakeholder group to appoint up to three members to the Implementation Advisory Group.

In that case my assumption is we'll be pushing for at least one of those to be a BC member. So if you do have any interest in how ICANN deals with privacy conflicts, Whois conflicts with privacy, bearing in mind the entire GDPR panic, please start thinking about that and maybe get in touch with Susan or myself or Steve.

Moving forward we then talked about the infamous curative rights. As you know, the report has now come out. This is for the IGOs and the INGOs. As you also know, there has been a history of disagreement in that the GNSO thought one thing and the GAC went ahead and talked to the board behind our back and thought a very different thing.

So what's happening now -- and, Steve, please interrupt me if you think I'm explaining this badly -- but what's happening now is that board - the board will be told that we, the GNSO Council, have looked at the report, this report, the report is finalized, but the council hasn't yet voted on what they're going to do about it. That's going to happen in August.

Because there's a number of bizarre things happening here, how do we deal with a report that is directly contrary to GAC advice? We don't know how we're going to go forward with that. Do we need some kind of board-

facilitated discussion? Anyway, that's an information point. It's all for your information. Again, if you want to know any more, please let Susan or I know.

Then we came through to the great fun of setting up the EPDP. Steve's already talked about, if I can put it this way, the elephant in the room because the part that's missing is access to the data. Most of the expedited PDP looks at how registrars, registries can collect data, how they can collate it, what they can do with it, and if you'll remember they tried, they being pretty much everyone apart from the CSG, tried to push that into a phase two.

So phase one would be all the technicals and the phase two would be how do we have access, how do we give accreditation to people if you have legitimate purposes to that data, including many people on this call. Now thanks to some very collegiate discussions in the council, in particular I have to thanks Keith Drasek on this and of course our very own Susan Kawaguchi, we managed to convince them to drop the phasing and to make it slightly more not quite parallel but almost parallel.

So it's going to depend on certain gating questions, all of which you can read in the charter, and then after that we should be able to move into discussing access. Now this is where the (unintelligible) in the NCSG, the Non-Commercial Stakeholder Group. The three people who voted against this working group being set up were all from the NCSG. And the reasons were more or less the same, which are we don't think that we should be discussing access, which translates as we don't think there should be any access.

So the EPDP Working Group, the so-called EPDP team, has now been established again as you know. Steve has already circulated the link. Massive thanks and huge shout-out to Margie from Facebook and Mark and Michael for taking this on. That's a really heavy lift.

Page 13

Everyone in the BC thinks you're amazing for doing this, and please do it to

the best of your ability with our help. We promise we will help as much as we

can. Steve of course is the alternate.

The person who's going to chair the group – you all know as well – is Kurt

Pritz, who was formally ICANN staff. Very collegiate, very kind, very - I

hope very good at what he's going to do.

Interestingly – and this one's more for Barbara – the person who's going to be

the liaison between the council and the working group – and as you know,

every PDP has a liaison back to council – is going to be the current vice chair.

That's Rafik.

We'll come onto this Barbara in your discussion for the CSG. But Susan and

I think that because of the amount of work involved in this EPDP including

the liaison role, we think we've got a good reason to very kindly and very

calmly point out to Rafik that he cannot possibly also be chair of the GNSO

council while he's taking this heavy lift on. Anyway, that's an idea for you to

think about.

Then after the motion was voted, as you know, the working group was set up.

So we moved on to other discussions, some of which we don't need to go into

because we've already talked about today. I'm just looking through my notes

to see if there is anything else that needs to be mentioned.

The only other one that may be worth mentioning is that you'll remember

we're discussing this (unintelligible) named PDP 3.0. The translation of that

is that PDPs take too darn long. And one of the reasons is the amount of

people involved, all of the different perspectives involved. How do we keep

ICANN Moderator: Chantelle Doerksen 07-26-18/10:00 am CT

Confirmation #7828248 Page 14

that within a realistic time frame and also at the same time allow everyone to

be involved?

Now the BC has already sent our original thoughts on that to the council. All

of this noise around EPDP and the time it's taken, council has pushed back

discussion on that. So they've given us a second deadline of the middle of

August. I've circulated to you all today what we've already sent to council.

If you've got any other views on that, anything you'd like us to add, please let

us know. Okay, that's all from me. Thank you.

Steve DelBianco: Thanks Marie. Let's turn to the next topic, which is our CSG liaison Barbara

Wanner. So Barbara, let's scroll the policy calendar to the last section,

Channel 3. Barbara, over to you.

Barbara Wanner: Okay, very good Steve. I really don't have anything new to report because

our CSG meeting was postponed and rescheduled for next week. So I hope at

that point to make some progress concerning our proposed edits to both the

nomination process for the GNSO council chair as well as a selection of board

seat number 14.

And I will definitely raise this issue that Marie brought up about Rafik serving

as the liaison for the EPDP and the amount of work involved and so forth and

so on.

So I, you know, think the CSG would join us in supporting that approach to

suggest that he sort of can't, you know, have bandwidth constraints in terms

of ably and competently assuming both responsibilities.

So I don't have anything new to report, just encourage people to take a look at my edits to both of those documents. And I'm happy to consider any further refinements. Thank you. That's it.

Steve DelBianco: Barbara, as I said in the chat, the timing is such that if we have ideas for these cross-community high-interest topics at ICANN63, ICANN is (unintelligible) to be submitted by the 27th of July, two days from now. The BC has done an excellent job getting our stuff onto the list. In particular over the last three meetings we focused on accredited access to the nonpublic WHOIS.

> And that gave us the opportunity then to help design that session and to chair it. But at this point, do we have any ideas from BC members for sessions that the BC should submit to ICANN? So either put it in the chat or please raise your hand and make a suggestion. This is the time.

Barbara Wanner: Steve, this is Barbara. I believe Claudia has circulated on the BC private list her suggestion of focusing on access and accreditation in the - as a highinterest topic session. So it was my understanding.

Steve DelBianco: Yes, any objections to having that be among the BC items? And then Barbara let's also make some other suggestions since we're allowed to put in more than one. What other topics do BC members feel would be appropriate for a high-interest session in Barcelona? All right, I think subsequent procedures is likely to be requested as a topic by other groups.

> And if it is, I'd like the BC to be among the groups who can help design the session. So I will recommend that in addition to the accredited access to nonpublic WHOIS that the BC recommend a community-wide discussion of subsequent procedures for the next round, not that we would be the lead on that but we would like to be a participant. Any objections to that?

Page 16

Chantelle, if you don't mind noting that so that Claudia - since it's her job to

follow up - Barbara, you and Claudia can work together on submitting those

two items. Thank you. Any other topics that you want to cover under

Channel 3 Barbara?

Barbara Wanner: No Steve. No. No, I'm done, thank you.

Steve DelBianco: Thanks Barbara. Let's turn back over to you Jimson. Policy calendar is

complete.

Jimson Olufuye: Wow, thank you so much Steve for that expedited policy calendar and the

fantastically done. And gratitude to councilors too for the brilliant reports and

the CSG as well.

Okay so that takes the agenda to financial operations and other matters. Well,

I'm happy to report to you that close to 70% of members have paid their dues.

Really like to thank members for expeditiously processing their payments. If

anyone still has a challenge to pay your invoice, contact me or invoicing

secretariat Joseph.

Secondly I sent a notice to the list shortly with regard to the planned Webinar

by ICANN, the Webinar on the ICANN FY20 budget, the plan and budget

process, for PTI, IANA and ICANN itself). So let's feel free to join.

(Unintelligible) be two meetings that are organized. The first one is from the

1st of August and the second on the 2nd. So (this) note is already on the BC

list.

Next up, Operations. The timeline is still open for potential beneficiaries of

the additional budget requests for leadership development for from ICANN

Page 17

regions. For example ICANN63 (Barcelona) a nomination for beneficiaries in

that region, in Europe and also ICANN64, that is Kobe, Japan and 65 in

Marrakech.

So this is managed by ICANN. Also, Expression of Interests have been

announced even at the last meeting on the list and by Claudia for the BC

managed leadership program as well. This is for BC members for assistance

and filling in non-BC member can be recommended for ICANN63, 64, and

65.

This is completing the approved BC budget for FY19. For operations, I'm

pleased to let you know that (three EU) region, committee region outreach

program (managed by ICANN) is approved. So if you are (in a particular

region) this may be issued. So you could apply you want to use this facility to

do outreach, community share in the cities or any ICANN events that are

happening.

But you need to apply well in advance, maybe like eight weeks. And I would

like to use the opportunity to encourage our outreach committee to update the

BC outreach strategy for FY19. We thank the outreach committee for job

well done for FY18. But we need to update this strategy for FY19 so that this

facility can be processed.

If it is not in place, then we'll not be able to benefit from the ICANN managed

(EU) Region CROP. So it's a requirement for any BC member to benefit.

Then also BC also has its own program for CROP. (Unintelligible). So any

now there are five facilities for CROP, three slots managed by ICANN and

two by (the BC). (Unintelligible) can be sent to BC dash ExCom at ICANN

dot org.

ICANN Moderator: Chantelle Doerksen 07-26-18/10:00 am CT

Confirmation #7828248

Page 18

Okay, then I will now talk about the elections. As you will have noticed, our

hard-working Secretariat Chantelle communicated to you on the list. We got

ExCom's approval to extend the nomination (period for) the BC. The election

has been extended beginning from Wednesday 25th of July to Wednesday 8th

of August 2018.

So far we received nominations for the NomCom seats, small business

(Lawrence Olawale-Roberts). And we like to thank Lawrence for accepting to

serve this capacity. We believe that others can be nominated so that BC

acting role (of Large BC seat) and for the councilor as well. So that slot is

also pending for councilor.

Okay well I was also hearing this - the call, but there's no call from my side

actually. I'm not making any call. All right, still on the details for the

elections, if you want to nominate anyone, please converse with that person

and we can encourage, some of us may need to be (niggled).

Please feel free to serve the BC in any capacity. You don't need to be an

expert in representation but just willingness, you know, to serve. And I want

to thank Susan and Marie. They are doing a great job. We need to sustain

this for the BC. Okay, well...

Steve DelBianco: Jimson, Jimson it's Steve.

Jimson Olufuye: Steve, you asked a question?

Steve DelBianco: I did, and it's to do with the elected offices of which we have three of them.

We will need to extend the period of nominations to get sufficient number of

nominees to fill those posts. And if you said that earlier, than I apologize but I

didn't hear it. What is our current status of nominations received and published thus far?

Jimson Olufuye: Yes, as I mentioned – this is Jimson – Chantelle has published the communication to extend it by two weeks. So nomination will be extended for two weeks, be sent to the list. And so far, Lawrence Olawale-Roberts has been nominated for the NomCom small business seat. And we thank him for accepting as well. So we only have one nomination for now. But we believe that in the course of the next period (base) new nominations will be made.

And once nomination has been made, you need to take note of your candidates' (nominations and) names that should come up on the 16th of August. And then we're going to have a candidates call to (for them). So your candidate submission be ready by 15 of August and we have a call on the 16th. And then we'll begin voting for (after) as usual.

So the ExCom announced the outcome of the election expeditiously as well. And the GNSO councilor will take his seat at the end of the ICANN63 in Barcelona. Details of the work requirements is also in the e-mail. Please take a look at it, and you'll find out that it's quite – it's something you can do to take the BC to greater heights.

So I don't know you've got questions concerning the finance and operation and the nominating committee and GNSO election issues. Any question or request for clarification? Okay, seeing none, I have a question. I have a question for Chris, Chris Wilson. Chris, I noted on the Next Generation gTLD Registration Directory Service Working Group Andrew Harris was there. Will you be replacing Andrew Harris in that working group?

Chris Wilson:

Thanks Jimson. Yes I substituted for Andrew months ago in that regard. So we certainly can update it if we need to. Of course, since that working group is now on pause, it's sort of moot, but I did take Andrew's place on the working group.

Jimson Olufuye: Okay, great. Thank you very much. So we'll also update our records. Okay I saw Mark (say) something? Okay well please - Mark you are asking the question about CROP. Does the BC have something in mind for 63. I think in terms of outreach or beneficiary of CROP. If it is beneficiary of CROP it has to be within Europe, has to be within Europe.

> But it is in regard to outreach. Yes, you can have outreach during ICANN63. And we hope that the outreach committee can put something together. So as (unintelligible) says, that will be good for ICANN64. Yes, why not, ICANN64 in Japan. But for Europe it's only the beneficiaries actually will only be (unintelligible) in Europe. That is for the ICANN program, for the ICANN program.

But when it comes to the BC leadership program, BC leadership, yes it's open for members and can come from any region. Members first and if there is no member wanting to use that opportunity, it is open to anyone around the world that can be recommended by any member. Okay thank you Mark. (I see your note). Oh, okay, very good, very good.

Is there any further comments or questions? All right, in the absence of any more comments, on behalf of our Chair, Claudia Selli, I'm going to give you back your time today. Again I want to thank Steve DelBianco, who really sacrificed to ensure that he's on the call to (present) on the very dynamic policy calendar.

ICANN Moderator: Chantelle Doerksen 07-26-18/10:00 am CT

> Confirmation #7828248 Page 21

And thanks also to councilors and of course Barbara and for all the volunteers.

We thank you so much. Very impressed that Vivek is active as a BC

member. We appreciate that you already are working to policy support in the

BC. Thank you very, very much.

The next meeting comes up 9th of August. So the next BC meeting comes up

on 9th of August 2018. And as we look forward to catching up then. Thank

you very much.

Jimson Olufuye: Thank you all.

Chantelle Doerksen: Thank you operator. You may now stop the recording. Please remember

to disconnect all remaining lines and enjoy the rest of your day.

END