

IRTP-C Workplan

Tasks

- Reach out to other AC/SOs for initial comments
 - Reaching out to:
 - ALAC
 - SSAC
 - GAC
 - ccNSO maybe a different approach -- we're looking for more from them
 - Contents of the note
 - Background
 - Issue
 - Questions
 - Encourage members to monitor the work/list
 - Followup
 - Any members of the group members of these groups?
 - They could be the lead people bring this up within their

- Item A - change of control
 - Understand why we're looking at this question -- what problems are we trying to solve +
 - Background +
 - Investigate how this function is currently achieved +
 - Identify if there are any applicable models in the country-code space that can be used as a best practice for the gTLD space +
 - Draw up an "ideal" process based on what has been learned +
 - Send the "ideal process" back to the registrars for review and comment +
 - Incorporate changes into "ideal"
 - Determine whether such a policy is even appropriate for ICANN to consider
 - Draft changes to existing (or new) policy +
 - Draft educational materials/explanations

- Item B - time-limiting FOAs
 - Understand why we're looking at this question -- what problems are we trying to solve +
 - Identify use-cases and scenarios +
 - Understand issues and concerns +
 - Determine whether such a policy is even appropriate for ICANN to consider
 - Draft changes to existing (or new) policy +
 - Draft educational materials/explanations

- Item C - Whether the process could be streamlined by a requirement that registries use IANA IDs for registrars rather than proprietary IDS
 - Get input from registries prior to starting
 - Understand why we're looking at this question -- what problems are we trying to solve +
 - Look to feedback from stakeholder groups and constituencies for initial information
 - Explore timing issues -- this may become a bigger issue when the number of registries goes up w/new gTLDs
 - Determine whether such a policy is even appropriate for ICANN to consider
 - Draft changes to existing (or new) policy +
 - Draft educational materials/explanations

- Public comment cycle(s)
 - Review approach
 - Review conclusions
 - Collect comments
 - Document how we dealt with the comments
 - Respond to comments
 - Incorporate comments into subsequent drafts

- Publication and approval cycle
 - Publish final report
 - Review/approval by Council
 - Review/approval by Board

Milestones

- Open public comment period
- Circulate constituency/stake-holder templates
 - General questions and detailed questions
 - Charter, issue report, WG are sources of questions
 - Questions: ccNSO as model for processes?
 - What are the models?
 - Easy to use?
 - Appropriate for gTLDs?
- Close public comment period
- Face to face meeting in Costa Rica
- Initial report
- Public comments on initial report
- IRTP workshop in Prague
- Publish final report and submit to Council