Chat Transcription Continuous Improvement Program Inaugural Meeting

Wednesday, 21 FEB 2024 @ 20:00 UTC

15:06:14 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr to Everyone:

RoP reviews are worthy work and often take a lot of effort of course not to be underrated Thx Alan

15:07:36 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr to Everyone:

Nenad *was* actually next in line But...

15:07:55 From Lori Schulman to Everyone:

May we have the link for the doc again? I will fill in IPC. I have hand notes for the presentation today but I will update the document

15:08:11 From Nenad Orlić - BC to Everyone:

It's ok:)

15:08:13 From Jessica Puccio - ICANN Org to Everyone:

Replying to "May we have the link..."

Sure!

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1Rybou0jwYV1GG9BD1toS1NuijsY_nH8Kx1nx7hOLsw o/edit#gid=0

15:12:17 From Natalia Filina to Everyone:

Replying to "RoP reviews are wort..."

RoP is a perfect start point. The work on RoP also has a continuation, as the development of the community shows that RoP will need additions in the future.

15:12:34 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr to Everyone:

Santanu I assume we are not to believe there is no active efforts to undertake continuous improvement(s) and or at least comply with WS@ recommendations etc., be nice to link some of that to this doc...

15:12:49 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr to Everyone:

Reacted to "RoP is a perfect sta..." with 👍

15:13:28 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr to Everyone:

Much change afoot then Lori *quite a busy time*!

15:13:57 From Benjamin Akinmoyeje to Everyone:

Hello everyone.

15:14:29 From Natalia Filina to Everyone:

Reacted to "Hello everyone." with 🤎

15:14:42 From Evin Erdogdu - ICANN Org to Everyone:

Reacted to "Hello everyone." with 🤲

15:17:27 From Owen Smigelski (Namecheap) to Everyone:

Jeff is traveling in India for work

15:18:36 From Lori Schulman to Everyone:

@Cheryl -- yes. This is my final year as President so I am focusing on the admin side while our VP John McElwaine is focusing more on policy. It is a good plan as John is expected to rise to President when I step down.

15:19:50 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr- ALAC Rep to CIP-CCG to Everyone:

Reacted to "@Cheryl -- yes. Thi..." with 👍

15:24:39 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr- ALAC Rep to CIP-CCG to Everyone:

The workshops being described now by Segun in the ccNSO started in Hamburg and continuing, is a Very Interesting* model of exploring CIP in the ccNSO I must say Great job on this so far...

15:25:00 From Amrita Choudhury APRALO to Everyone:

Reacted to "The workshops being ..." with 👍

15:26:52 From Evin Erdogdu - ICANN Org to Everyone:

Reacted to "The workshops being ..." with 🤎

15:30:42 From Jessica Puccio - ICANN Org to Sean Copeland - ccNSO Council - nic VI(Direct Message):

Welcome! Alejandra and Segun did a wonderful job earlier.

15:31:10 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr- ALAC Rep to CIP-CCG to Everyone:

Thx Owen adding in the incorporation information IS a very specific matter to push parts of CIP ... Lots being done . Nice...

15:32:18 From Owen Smigelski (Namecheap) to Everyone:

Replying to "Thx Owen adding in t..."

It also gives us a little more weight when the RrSG wrote to the EU, because wrote on behalf of our German entity rather than a bunch of non-EU registrars.

15:32:31 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr- ALAC Rep to CIP-CCG to Everyone:

Reacted to "It also gives us a I..." with 🙃

15:32:42 From Alejandra Reynoso - ccNSO to Everyone:

Reacted to "The workshops being ..." with 🌟

- 15:34:48 From Sean Copeland ccNSO Council nic VI to Jessica Puccio ICANN Org(Direct Message):
- :) Thank you so much, Jessica, I am looking forward to meeting you in SJU, and I am very thankful for AJ and Segun for stepping up
- 15:35:38 From Lori Schulman to Everyone:

@Owen - good strategic thinking.

15:36:46 From Owen Smigelski (Namecheap) to Everyone:

Replying to "@Owen - good strateg..."

We had considered a US-based corporation, but the requirements for an association of entities was more complicated than a German one. Much easier. But a positive externality when giving opinions on EU stuff;-)

15:38:19 From Lori Schulman to Everyone:

Not Uniformity - consistent/predictable actions within the intent of the principle/standard

15:38:28 From Owen Smigelski (Namecheap) to Everyone:

Reacted to "Not Uniformity - con..." with 👍

15:38:40 From Amrita Choudhury APRALO to Everyone:

Reacted to "Not Uniformity - con..." with 👍

15:38:43 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr- ALAC Rep to CIP-CCG to Everyone:

Reacted to "Not Uniformity - con..." with 👍

15:40:04 From Evin Erdogdu - ICANN Org to Everyone:

Thank you Lori and Seb for the comment on the word choice. We've taken note and will incorporate.

15:41:59 From Lori Schulman to Everyone:

Replying to "@Owen - good strateg..."

Got you on the US end. We did not want a "board" in the US sense so we went with Unincorporated non profit. Our officers serve as the governing body without the onerous US Board layer.

15:44:50 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr- ALAC Rep to CIP-CCG to Everyone:

should 1 be effective not efficient

15:44:54 From Amrita Choudhury APRALO to Everyone:

makes sense Alejandra

15:45:31 From Carlos Dionisio Aguirre to Everyone:

good point Alejandra

15:45:38 From Alejandra Reynoso - ccNSO to Everyone:

Reacted to "should 1 be effectiv..." with *

15:46:26 From Lori Schulman to Everyone:

I prefer no adverbs. "is fulfilling" should be sufficient for setting the principles. More adverbs more subjectivity.

15:47:04 From Carlos Dionisio Aguirre to Everyone:

Replying to "I prefer no adverbs..."

+1 Lori

15:47:16 From Alejandra Reynoso - ccNSO to Everyone:

Reacted to "I prefer no adverbs..." with **

15:47:17 From Lori Schulman to Everyone:

Try to keep objective language when possible. It is possible to do so here. Effective and efficient require common accepted definitions from a drafting perspective.

15:47:21 From Carlos Dionisio Aguirre to Everyone:

Reacted to I prefer no adverbs... with "**"

15:47:26 From Amrita Choudhury APRALO to Everyone:

Reacted to "Try to keep objectiv..." with $\stackrel{4}{\leftarrow}$

15:47:40 From Alejandra Reynoso - ccNSO to Everyone:

Reacted to "Try to keep objectiv..." with *

15:48:21 From Natalia Filina to Everyone:

Our model is a 5 parts model with own specific for each RALO region. General applicable but specific way to implement

15:48:51 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr- ALAC Rep to CIP-CCG to Everyone:

Replying to "Our model is a 5 par..."

no different to the constituencies within stakeholder Groups in the GNSO

15:49:01 From Natalia Filina to Everyone:

Reacted to "no different to the ..." with 👍

15:49:12 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr- ALAC Rep to CIP-CCG to Everyone:

Replying to "Our model is a 5 par..."

still need a CIP that is broadly applicable

15:49:24 From Natalia Filina to Everyone:

Reacted to "still need a CIP tha..." with ϕ



15:56:30 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr- ALAC Rep to CIP-CCG to Everyone:

Great makes sense @Evin Erdogdu - ICANN Org

15:58:12 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr- ALAC Rep to CIP-CCG to Everyone:

Para 2

15:58:22 From Jessica Puccio - ICANN Org to Everyone:

Reacted to "Para 2" with 🙏

15:58:24 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr- ALAC Rep to CIP-CCG to Everyone:

end of Para 2 in screen

15:59:10 From Lori Schulman to Everyone:

Sorry. I was coughing and unmuted. Allergy season is starting in US...I will be more mindful.

15:59:25 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr- ALAC Rep to CIP-CCG to Everyone:

yes it is constituency if we want parity in the model

16:00:42 From Amrita Choudhury APRALO to Everyone:

Thanks a lot everyone. See you soon

16:00:42 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr- ALAC Rep to CIP-CCG to Everyone:

Good call thanks everyone!! Safe travels if you are attending ICANN 79 be good to catch up with many of you there...

16:00:56 From Chokri Ben Romdhane to Everyone:

Thank you all

16:00:57 From Benjamin Akinmoyeje to Everyone:

Thank you all

16:00:57 From Natalia Filina to Everyone:

thanks Evin, all!

16:01:01 From Carlos Dionisio Aguirre to Everyone:

bye all