

NCAP Discussion Group
Meeting #133
15 November 2023 at 8:00 UTC
Meeting wiki: <https://community.icann.org/x/hwDuE>

Attendance: See meeting wiki.

These high-level notes are designed to help NCAP Discussion Group members navigate through the content of the call. They are not meant to be a substitute for the recording or transcript accessed via this link:

https://icann.zoom.us/rec/share/ggkt7Ptp5W3tIHEXyJ_dt-rN--yGecRKOWMQDgjzAkQEgxwed4iZStBeN_if-RAX.6dYr4DkLpwztazjF

1. Welcome, roll call, SOI updates

- Due to the difficulty many members encountered meeting the new meeting time, Suzanne, Jennifer, and Matt will be coming up with a solution or poll to tackle the issue.

2. Review Heather's changes to the document, starting on page 28 of the [Sections 1 – 3 document](#).

- The document is now 20 pages shorter due to the removal of all material explaining the difference between ACA and PCA
 - Some material has been moved to a separate document for reference. The link is within the comments of the doc within sections 1-3
- 4 sections describing the “buckets” as discussed in the ICANN78 meeting have been added.
 - Matt will be developing visual aids to assist in explaining the suggested buckets
 - They are currently being referred to as methods, when they are decided as something to implement then they will be called phases
- James noted that material regarding the existence of publicly available data should be reintroduced into the document, as it was a part of the removed content.
- Action item: a sentence regarding data “no longer being sufficient due to changes in DNS over the last decade needs to be mentioned in the broader introductory material and wherever else applicable.
- 3.5.2 Controlled Interruption: James pointed out that consistency of language should be applied to stating who gets notified of a name collision
- 3.5.3 Reject All:
 - James feels there is room for expansion when mentioning the diversity of impacted systems
 - Suzanne feels that using examples would be helpful. Heather planned on putting together a diagram showing data flows
- 3.5.4: Protocol-Specific Services:
 - Still under development

3. Heather's question for the group: Does the concept of a neutral third party need to be retained?

- Warren recalls that this was already a decided factor to retain due to the decision to restrict the TRT to be non-operational
- James feels that there is no need to discuss the third party but distinct roles and their properties instead
 - Whether or not ICANN decides to outsource the role or not can be determined down the line, but fleshing out the independence and neutrality of the role is more crucial
- There is a general feeling that not much needs to be said other than explaining that everyone involved in "third party" activities will be in compliance with all the relevant conflicts of interest and transparency requirements
- The logistics on how ICANN deals with third party vendors and potential conflicts of interests is discussed to determine how much has to be outlined.
- James suggests that the only respect to which conflicts need to be spoken to is in regards to gaming
- Suzanne notes that conflict was previously discussed and the conclusion was determined that this is already a solved issue and NCAP should say as little as possible about it for the sake of due diligence
- It is agreed that this is a role that needs to be defined and moving forward it will be referred to as a Technical Operations Group

4. AOB

- a. Heather has only 5 more calls with NCAP. Any feedback should be given as soon as possible in order to ease the transfer over to the next technical writer
- b. No meeting next week

5. Adjourn