Comments from Kim Davies

I've marked up the document and put comments in-line as well. A number of my edits I don't think are substantive, but just tried to substitute complex constructions into simpler English that conveyed the same point.

Some general observations

- It needs to be clarified if this ICG plays a blocking role or is merely a resource to implementation, I assume it is the latter but it is unclear in the way it is written
- It shouldn't be too opinionated about how things are going to be done by ICANN. E.g. there were many references to "ICANN Staff" and an "implementation team", neither of which I think can be assumed. A policy could be implemented by contractors, including PTI, rather than staff and there may not be a singular team that does it. How it is structured is not important for this document so I'd suggest being as vague as possible as to how the operationalization work is actually performed by ICANN.
- The document goes into a lot of relatively minor details that personally I don't think need to be articulated about how work is conducted (you all have more experience than me so I defer to your expertise on how much detail is needed)
- On the converse, I think it lacks a big picture summation of core principles because they are commingled throughout the text. It may be good to separate the principles from the implementation details.

Comments from Marika

Thanks for the opportunity to review. I've gone through the document and included some suggested edits as well as some comments for your consideration.

As flagged in the document, I do have a concern about how final approval is framed which seems to suggest that the ccNSO would have final say over when implementation is complete. Maybe I am just misreading it but as you know, in the context of the GNSO the role of the IRT is limited to ensuring that the intent of the policy recommendations is met and ICANN org is responsible for determining how implementation is carried out (as long as this is conform the intent of the policy recs).

Of course, there are differences between the ccNSO and GNSO so maybe those who will be responsible for implementing ccNSO policy do not share this concern but I just wanted to flag it.