

WHOIS REVIEW TEAM CALL
Wednesday, 12 October – 21:00 UTC
PRELIMINARY REPORT

RT Selectors and Members

(ET) Emily Taylor – Chair
(KK) Kathy Kleiman – Vice-Chair
(JB) James Bladel
(LD) Lutz Donnerhacke
(LG) Lynn Goodendorf
(SK) Susan Kawaguchi
(SR) Seth Reiss

ICANN Staff

(AJ) Alice Jansen
(DM) Denise Michel
(ON) Olof Nordling

Apologies

(MY) Michael Yakushev
(SL) Sharon Lemon
(KVA) Kim Von Arx
(OK) Omar Kaminski
(PN) Peter Nettlefold

The WHOIS Review Team (RT) undertook the following:

1) Agenda & Preliminary report

The Review Team resolved to adopt the agenda and the following preliminary reports:

- conference call held on 14 September 2011:
<https://community.icann.org/display/whoisreview/Call+20+-+14+September+2011;>
- face-to-face meeting held on 20-21 September 2011 in Marina del Rey:
<https://community.icann.org/display/whoisreview/Dakar+Meeting.>

2) Chapters

Chapter penholders reported progress made to date on their respective assignments:

- (BS) and (LG) shared a first draft of the executive summary
<https://community.icann.org/display/whoisreviewprivate/Executive+Summary> and noted that (SL)'s feedback – sounding Board for this section – had not been solicited yet;
- (JB) reiterated its intention to submit an updated version by the following week;
- (ET) reported amendments to the implementation section;
- (SL) announced that she would include (MY's) suggested note to the section on definitions.

3) User Insight Report

(LG) provided the Review Team with an update on the User Insight work:

- Online surveys for United States are complete;
- Surveys for 9 other countries are ongoing;
- User Insight is on track to finish the online survey by Friday, 14 October;
- Findings are to be delivered by 21 October consistent with the contract provisions;

(LG) held a conference call with User Insight to answer pending questions and worked hand in hand with User Insight to incorporate suggestions put forward by RT Members. However, a number of proposals were unfeasible due to the online interface of the survey.

(LG) reported User Insight's collaboration with interpreters to ensure that examples are phrased appropriately.

The Team enquired whether the final survey could please be circulated; (LG) is to follow up with the project manager.

Review Team expressed enthusiasm that this study would consolidate the overall report. (ET) encouraged Members to review the results of the consumer trust study as soon as released in anticipation of the Dakar meeting.

4) Draft Report & Recommendations

The WHOIS Policy Review Team reviewed the consolidated version (v2 submitted by (ET) on 11 October) of the draft report and agreed that this would be the central repository for the work completed to date. They also resolved to tighten up the language and to cut down the number of words. (ET) stressed that they needed to decide whether to annex or delete some of the text. Once the final versions of chapters are submitted, the Team intends to appoint a volunteer in Dakar responsible for "making the report read well" while keeping the content integrity of the document (no alteration possible). The penholder of the master document will be in charge of wordsmithing the document in order to issue a clean version.

(SK) requested that Staff group the recommendations upon which agreement has already been reached. (AJ) is to shoulder this task.

5) Action Items

(ET) asked that Members use (AJ)'s individual reminder as point of reference in anticipation of the Dakar meeting. (SK) requested that the community notification be ticked. (AJ) is to circulate the letter sent to the SO/AC Chairs for Members to forward to their communities.

6) Dakar Meeting

(ET) and (KK) reiterated their intention to work on a draft agenda. (ET) encouraged Members to continue the privacy/proxy dialogue and requested that Members present in Dakar on Saturday (or remotely available) join the Team as this time will be used to refine drafts. The Team expressed enthusiasm that they had so much "working session time" in Dakar.