

NCAP Discussion Group
Meeting #123
2 August 2023 at 20:00 UTC
Meeting wiki: <https://community.icann.org/x/jlAcDw>

Attendance: See meeting wiki.

These high-level notes are designed to help NCAP Discussion Group members navigate through the content of the call. They are not meant to be a substitute for the recording or transcript accessed via this link:

<https://icann.zoom.us/rec/share/wGZBJKfa9Yh8hOjkljKRU4EjzA4m1eD-EmDd-tGRuS0xi2vc6qzFqtdLixf8Jyic.NCHaFucoCboCY2pK>

- 1. Welcome, roll call, SOI updates**
 - None

- 2. Administrative item: Workshop scheduled for 3-4 October, Washington DC or remote participation. Please plan accordingly**
 - Agreed upon 3-4 October in Washington DC ICANN office as the best time and location. Remote participation will be available for those who cannot attend
 - Trip will be funded for NCAP members. ICANN should be booking the hotel for participants.

- 3. Focused discussion topic: Roles and responsibilities of the TRT (related recommendation: 7.1 ICANN should establish a dedicated Technical Review Team function p26 in [NCAP study 2 \[docs.google.com\]](#) document)**

Among the discussion points were:

- Picking up from workflow discussion regarding PCA
 - Jeff and Warren feel there hasn't been a consensus on a rigorous technical implementation on what PCA is and how it will be implemented among many other elements.
 - Suzanne reaffirms their point of view and reminds the group that the main goal for now is to set up a general framework for the workflow and then flesh out the details later
 - Warren stresses the importance of consistency in terminology to ensure there is no confusion
 - Jim suggests having Heather keep a record of terms and their definitions as they come up that can be referenced
 - Casey recommends that terms be concisely defined for the sake of clarity. Creates DNEZ (for Delegation of a Nearly Empty Zone) as an example

- Heather reminds the group that the concept of a glossary already exists and is documented. She recommends everyone rereads sections 3.5-3.7 to get more solid idea of what can be improved
 - Anne mentions that there seems to be a consensus concluding that the group cannot move forward to discussing the role of the TRT without having all the definitions work
-
- Expanding on ACA and PCA details
 - Jeff proposes that there be a single time window shared between ACA and PCA instead of fixing the length of time between both steps. Also suggests that ACA may not need to be mandatory
 - Warren shared a list of issues to be parsed out as well
 - Casey emphasizes the need to establish clearly defined meanings for terms before continuing any discussion to avoid further confusion
 - Heather's recommendation regarding rereading is revisited and agreed upon. The discussion will continue next week.

Action item: None

3. AOB

None raised.

4. Adjourn