

**3 June 2024**

**RSSAC Caucus RSS Security Incident Reporting WP Call #23**

18:15:28 From swoolf to Everyone:

It's ok to have a category of another type of reportable incident, maybe a should-report incident rather than a must-report incident? But incident reporting is still separate to risk analysis, for instance such as what RSSAC undertook in the advisory about loss of a single server.

18:16:44 From Ken Renard to Everyone:

Section 4.3 "Operational Integrity"

18:17:21 From Ray Bellis to Everyone:

I was referring to the SOW itself

18:17:32 From Ken Renard to Everyone:

Reacted to "I was referring to..." with 👍

18:18:39 From Ray Bellis to Everyone:

And I'd read "operational integrity" being something that stops the RSS / an RSO from working at all, as opposed to maybe serving stale data.

18:21:28 From Ken Renard to Everyone:

I think the actions of the TLDs that delayed their roll-overs prevented the adverse effects

18:23:08 From Ray Bellis to Everyone:

FWIW, I do believe Cogent should provide more info and report as if this were a security incident, but I don't think this WP's current definition of "integrity" catches this class of issue.

18:24:36 From Duane Wessels, Verisign to Everyone:

I forgot something I wanted to add. IMO the Cogent incident does highlight that the publication latency metrics in 047 might not be ideal. We may want to re-open that in a future 047 work party.

18:24:45 From Ray Bellis to Everyone:

Reacted to "I forgot something I..." with 👍

18:25:18 From Wes Hardaker to Everyone:

I think both current events and the existence of this work party may end up pointing at other metric needs (aka: I agree Duane).

18:30:40 From Ray Bellis to Everyone:

Reacted to "I think both current..." with 👍

18:36:00 From Ray Bellis to Everyone:

I'm fine with what Paul is saying, but if we do this then I think our Terminology section needs updating too

18:37:27 From Ray Bellis to Everyone:

We should also remove "Security" from the document title ;-)

18:42:01 From swoolf to Everyone:

I might just be late to the party and missing the antecedents, but SLAs are probably going to require reporting of any SLA violation to the contract counterparty, presumably the RSS-GS. This is separate to deciding what incidents should be publicly reported.