

# Internationalized Domain Names Expedited Policy Development Process

Phase 2 Refresher



IDN-EPDP Team Meeting #99 | 5 October 2023

# Agenda

---

1. Roll Call and SOI Updates (2 min)
2. Welcome and Chair Updates (5 min)
3. Resolve Outstanding Comments for Phase 1 Recommendations: Rec 3.5, IG 3.6, Rec 4.4 (35 min)
4. Refresher on Phase 2 Deliberations (5 min)
5. Second Review of Phase 2 Draft Text (70 min)
6. AOB (3 min)

# Phase 2 Deliberation Refresher

# Phase 2 Progress Overview

## ***Completed Initial Deliberation of 9 out of 19 P2 Charter Questions***

- **C1:** Should the “same entity” requirement be extended to existing variant domains?
- **C2:** Should the “same registrant” requirement be extended to existing variant domains? Should the current rules for activating variant domains be updated?
- **C4:** Should IDN tables under a gTLD be mutually coherent for an existing gTLD or a future gTLD?
- **C4a:** Should variant domains under a single gTLD behave the same?
- **C5:** How to harmonize IDN tables to ensure the ones under a gTLD are mutually coherent?
- **C6:** Should IDN tables use the LGR format, as specified in RFC 7940, for both existing gTLDs and future gTLDs?
- **D4:** Should the variant domains from a variant label set have the same behavior throughout the domain name lifecycle?
- **D6:** Whether and how should the Transfer Policy be updated for variant domains?
- **D7:** Whether and how should suspension related procedures be updated for variant domains?

# Phase 2 Progress Overview (Cont.)

## *Deliberation Remaining for 10 out of 19 P2 Charter Questions*

- **C3:** Should ROID be used to identify the same registrant for both existing and future variant domains? If not, what other mechanisms, if any, exist to identify the same registrant?
- **C3a:** Should additional requirements be developed if ROID is determined as the mechanism to identify the same registrant?
- **D5:** Should each variant domain transaction incur fees paid to ICANN by its registry and registrar?
- **D6a:** Should the variant domains from a variant label set be transferred to the same entity as a remedy of UDRP?
- **D7a:** Should all of the variant domains from a variant label set be suspended as a remedy of URS?
- **D8:** Should data with regard to variant domains be available in IANA WHOIS and Registry WHOIS? (item within the catch all question)
- **F1:** Should variant labels of a registered mark also be recorded in the TMCH? Are variant labels of a registered mark eligible to receive Sunrise and Trademark Claims services?
- **F2:** RPM catch all question
- **G1:** What is the proper mechanism for updating the IDN Implementation Guidelines in the future?
- **G1a:** Should a separate legal mechanism, other than the IDN Implementation Guidelines, be created to enforce IDN related contractual obligations for registries and registrars?

## ***Unresolved Issues:***

- *Definition of “source domain”*
- *Definition of “variant domain set”*
- *Can the source domain be changed or deleted?*

## ***About C3 & C3a:***

- *Contracted Parties House TechOps Team has been discussing a potential mechanism on identifying the same registrant at the same registrar*

# Key Dates To Keep In Mind

- Face-to-face Workshop: **6~8 December 2023**
- Publish Phase 2 Initial Report: **April 2024**
- Deliver Phase 2 Final Report: **October 2024**