

NomCom Rebalancing

OFB-Working Group

Judith Hellerstein

Yrjö Länsipuro

Responses to the Letter on NomCom Rebalancing

- **What does it mean to have a balanced NomCom?**
 - A balanced NomCom would be one which is composed of members from each constituency. Currently this is not the case as NPOC has not been given an opportunity to have a delegate from its constituency on the NomCom
- **What criteria would you apply to measure that the NomCom is balanced?**

Responses to the Letter on NomCom Rebalancing

- **Do you support the need for the current composition of the NomCom to be rebalanced? Please explain why or why not.**
 - At Large had been a proponent of the Rebalancing effort as we think that all constituencies should have an opportunity to elect delegates to NomCom.
 - Since the ICANN Board and the PTI Board represent all constituencies within ICANN all the separate Constituencies within ICANN should be involved in helping to select these delegates and it is wrong that some constituencies are left out.
- **How frequently does the balance need to be checked and reset?**
 - At Large suggests that a review of the number of delegates selected by constituencies should occur every 5 years

Responses to the Letter on NomCom Rebalancing

- **How do you suggest that the NomCom be rebalanced?**
- **Who should conduct this work, and how should it be conducted?**
- **How would your community group prioritize this work within your planning efforts?**
 - Our group prioritizes the work through the Operation Finance and Budget working group which meets about once a month or more often when needed.
 - We also create a small working group within this large At-Large Working group to facilitate dialogue and focus our efforts. This is our common practice and something we have done for several years now