23 April 2024

Dear RySG,

Thank you very much for the input received concerning new gTLD applicant decisions and milestones. We appreciate and understand that potential applicants need certain pieces of information at certain points in time to inform their planning and decision-making processes.

As you may be aware, we've been working on the detailed planning for the different workstreams and projects that cover the implementation of the New gTLD Program: Next Round. On that basis, we are pleased to share the following information in relation to each of the items that were included in the list:

Cost of an application 1. Application fees for: i. A TLD string using a pre-evaluated RSP. ii. A TLD string that includes the price of the RSP evaluation. iii. A TLD string that includes the price of the RSP evaluation. iii. A variant of an existing TLD string and whether or not this fee will vary if the existing or applied for string is operated by the same or different entity as the current applicant iii. A turrent applicant iii. A variant of an existing TLD string and whether or not this fee will vary if the existing or applied for string is operated by the same or different entity as the current applicant iii. A turrent applicant iii. A variant of an existing TLD string and whether or not this fee will vary if the existing or applied for string is operated by the same or different entity as the current applicant iii. A variant of an existing TLD string and whether or not this fee will vary if the existing or applied for string is operated by the same or different entity as the current applicant iii. A variant of an existing TLD string and whether or not this fee will vary if the existing or applied for string is operated by the same or different entity as the current applicant iii. A variant of an existing TLD string in an application fee is separate and paid separately from the RSP needs to be proportionate to any additional costs associated with evaluating the application and consistent with the cost recovery principle."	RySG items	ICANN org plans to share with IRT/community by ¹	Notes / Dependencies
pre-evaluated RSP. ii. A TLD string that includes the price of the RSP evaluation. iii. A variant of an existing TLD string and whether or not this fee will vary if the existing or applied for string is operated by the same or different entity as the current applicant iii. A variant of an existing TLD string and whether or not this fee will vary if the existing or application fee applicant applying for a primary gTLD string and up to four (4) of that string's allocatable variant labels during an application round must incur the same base application fee as any other gTLD applicant applying for more than four (4) allocatable variant labels of a primary gTLD string in an application round must incur the same base application fee as any other gTLD applicant applying for more than four (4) allocatable variant labels of a primary gTLD string in an application round may incur additional fees that ICANN org considers to be proportionate to any additional costs associated with evaluating the application and consistent with the cost recovery principle."		June 2024	Note: There will be no difference in
string or for an applied-for string and whether or not this fee will vary if the existing or applied for string is operated by the same or different entity as the current applicant The current applicant String is operated by the same or different entity as the current applicant The current applicant String is operated by the same or different entity as the current applicant The current applicant String is allocatable variant labels during an application round must incur the same base applicant who does not apply for variant labels in that round" and Final Recommendation 3.12: "Any applicant applying for more than four (4) allocatable variant labels of a primary gTLD string in an application round may incur additional fees that ICANN org considers to be proportionate to any additional costs associated with evaluating the application and consistent with the cost recovery principle."	pre-evaluated RSP. ii. A TLD string that includes the price of the RSP evaluation.		string that is using a pre-evaluated RSP or a string for which the RSP needs to be evaluated during the gTLD evaluation period. The RSP evaluation fee is separate and paid separately from the gTLD application fee.
	string or for an applied-for string and whether or not this fee will vary if the existing or applied for string is operated by the same or different entity as the current applicant	June 2024	decision by the ICANN Board on the EPDP IDN Phase 1 Final Report. which includes Final Recommendation 3.11: "A future applicant applying for a primary gTLD string and up to four (4) of that string's allocatable variant labels during an application round must incur the same base application fee as any other gTLD applicant who does not apply for variant labels in that round" and Final Recommendation 3.12: "Any applicant applying for more than four (4) allocatable variant labels of a primary gTLD string in an application round may incur additional fees that ICANN org considers to be proportionate to any additional costs associated with evaluating the application and consistent with the cost recovery
	The total costs for each of the other evaluations that are not		

¹ Note: As a result of IRT feedback and/or public comment, further updates may be made prior to final confirmation.

RySG items	ICANN org plans to share	Notes / Dependencies
	with IRT/community by ¹	
included in fees above,		
including:		
i. Costs of a Community	Q4 2024	
Priority Evaluation		
ii. Costs of an extended	Q4 2024	
evaluation (if any)	1	
iii. Ongoing annual	Not within the remit of	
registration Fees	the next round Program	
iv. Costs of an application	June 2024	
change process (if any) v. Costs (if any) for	June 2024	
application queuing	Julie 2024	
process		
3. Refund schedule (if any)	December 2024	
4. Requirements for a COI such as	Completed (none	As <u>communicated</u> to the IRT on 11
a Letter of Credit	needed)	December 2023, ICANN org
a zette. or create		intends to discontinue the
		Continued Operations Instrument
		(COI).
5. Costs for TMCH and other	August 2024	Note: The costs for the TMCH are
vendors		expected to be included in the
		Registry Agreement (as is currently
		the case) which is expected to be
		shared with the IRT in August
		2024. It is not clear what "other
		vendors" is referring to.
Requirements	1	
According to the latest information		ICANN org can confirm that all
provided by the SubPro IRT, we		these items are expected to be
expect the "final Applicant		included in the AGB or published
Guidebook" to be completed in		at the same time as the final
April 2025, (1 year prior to the Window opening). The RySG		Applicant Guidebook (May 2025). Note that some detailed timing
believes that the following		may change depending on the
elements must also be		community and Board feedback.
finalized (either within the AGB		de la constant de la
itself), or published separately, but		
at the same time:		
a) The actual dates in which the	a) May 2025	
Applicant Window opens and		
closes; including any		
milestones such as payment	1	
		T I
deposits, expected reveal date,		
deposits, expected reveal date, opening of comment		
deposits, expected reveal date, opening of comment and objection periods, etc.		
deposits, expected reveal date, opening of comment and objection periods, etc. b) The final Registry Agreement for	b) August 2024 (draft	
deposits, expected reveal date, opening of comment and objection periods, etc. b) The final Registry Agreement for the New gTLDs	Registry Agreement)	
deposits, expected reveal date, opening of comment and objection periods, etc. b) The final Registry Agreement for the New gTLDs c) The final Escrow Agreement and	Registry Agreement) c) August 2024 (draft	
deposits, expected reveal date, opening of comment and objection periods, etc. b) The final Registry Agreement for the New gTLDs c) The final Escrow Agreement and requirements	Registry Agreement) c) August 2024 (draft Escrow Agreement)	
deposits, expected reveal date, opening of comment and objection periods, etc. b) The final Registry Agreement for the New gTLDs c) The final Escrow Agreement and	Registry Agreement) c) August 2024 (draft	

RySG items	IC/	ANN org plans to share	Notes / Dependencies
Nyso items		th IRT/community by ¹	Notes / Dependencies
last resort / timing of process and		in intigeominatine of	
placing bids			
e) Any unique Registry / Registrar	e)	August 2024	
requirements / Code of Conduct			
f) Must include Background Check	f)	September 2024	
Requirements and all Disclosure			
Requirements g) Need to know what the Name	g)	Dependent on Board	
Collision Mitigation Framework will	6/	consideration of	
look like to plan launch schedules.		NCAP Final Report,	
р		once Final Report is	
		received	
Other Important Timeline Information	on		
a) RSP Pre-Evaluation Program			Note that the draft RSP Handbook
Date final requirements will	1.	May 2024	has been out for public comment
be set	2.	ICANN org plans to	(see
2. Opening of RSP		open the Pre-Evaluation	https://www.icann.org/en/public-c omment/proceeding/review-of-the
Pre-Evaluation Program Window		Program Window on	-draft-registry-service-provider-rsp-
3. Closing date of RSP		19 November 2024	handbook-new-gtld-program-13-0
Pre-Evaluation Program	3.	ICANN org plans to	3-2024). Comments were due 22
Window		close the	April 2024.
4. Dates in which results will be		Pre-Evaluation	
posted		Program Window on	
5. Timing of Appeals Process (if	١.	20 May 2025	
any)	4.	01	
		publish the list of evaluated RSPs for	
		the first time on 9	
		December 2025. The	
		list will continue to	
		be updated as RSPs	
		are evaluated.	
	5.	This is dependent on	
		the GNSO's	
		Supplemental Recommendation on	
		limited challenge /	
		appeals mechanism	
		(Topic 32,	
		recommendations	
		32.1, 32.2 and 32.10)	
		and the Board's	
LV Acadimatic		action on it.	Note that are ACRUS III
b) Applicant Support Program	1.	•	Note that some ASP Handbook
 Date final requirements will be set 		public comments received, working	dependencies relate to AGB language being finalized. For
2. Opening of Applicant		with ASP-IRT	instance, New gTLD Program
Support Program window		sub-track to address	Eligibility, Cybersquatting History
	L	comments; and	Check, and Legal Compliance

RySG items	ICANN org plans to share	Notes / Dependencies
Nyse hems	with IRT/community by ¹	Notes / Dependences
 3. Closing of Applicant Support window 4. Dates in which results will be posted / Timing for unsuccessful ASP 5. applicants to pay full application fee if they want to stay in. 6. Timing of Appeals Process (if any) 	resolving ASP Handbook dependencies. Updated version of the ASP Handbook in response to public comment is scheduled for the end of May 2024. 2. Q4 2024 3. Q4 2025 4. Latest by Q1 2026; ASP applicants will receive the results of their ASP evaluation on an ongoing basis. If they apply the last date; they will know the results by Q1 2026 As indicated in the draft ASP Handbook, applicants that do not qualify for support and were not found to have gamed / abused the ASP are permitted to submit a gTLD application and pay the full fee. 5. This is dependent on the GNSO's Supplemental Recommendation on limited challenge / appeals mechanism (Topic 32, recommendations 32.1, 32.2 and 32.10) and the Board's action on it. For all items: May 2025	check. The ASP Handbook will have bracketed/placeholder text until those AGB dependencies are resolved. ASP handbook will also have bracketed/placeholder text for the following items until those dependencies are resolved: IDN ePDP Working Group Phase 1 decision on how many variants an applicant gets per string Challenge/appeal mechanism for ASP Decision on bid credit/multiplier based on; research outcome to be brought to ASP-IRT Terms & Conditions
Window through Delegation (and everything in between) 1. Timing of Reveal Day 2. Setting of contention sets 3. Timing of date in which application queue will be known (and whether in person will be required)	. 51 dii italiis. Way 2023	submission window through delegation is expected to be included in the publication of the final AGB for public comment (May 2025) at the latest.

RySG items	ICANN org plans to share with IRT/community by 1	Notes / Dependencies
 4. Application Comment Period 5. Objection Period / Independent Objection / GAC Early Warnings Date 6. Release of Initial Evaluation Results 7. Extended Evaluation timing 8. Name Collision Framework / timing 9. Process / Timing of initiating the contract process / contract signature 10.Pre-delegation testing timeline 11.Delegation timing / Intersection between RZ 	with IRI/community by	
Delegation Rate		

The New gTLD Program: Next Round Team appreciates the importance of timing and notes that it has put sufficient effort in planning the different steps that we expect are needed to allow us to get to the dates outlined in the table above. As also noted, there are some external dependencies that may impact some of these dates, such as Board decisions / direction and community input through either the IRT or Public Comment, but we commit to communicating on a regular basis the state of progress as well as any risks that may impact delivering in line with the timing noted above as well as mitigation plans put in place to address these risks (see also https://newgtlds.icann.org/sites/default/files/new-gtld-next-round-implementation-plan-31jul23-en.

pdf).

We again want to express our thanks to the RySG for its feedback and remain available should you have any further questions or comments.

On behalf of the new gTLD Program Team,

Marika