Hello all.

As Bart asked me to provide some thoughts which point to the fact that in confusing similarity process blocked variants should be incuded here is one example from Latin script.

D ~ ~		1 - 1	A 4:	: _	
Reg	arc	15 1	VII	Πd	ına

Cofusability between Latin small letter F and Latin small letter T with hook

Proposed label in Latin script

Ofu (006F 0192 0075) Latin small letter F with hook

has Latin in-script variant

ofu (006F 0066 0075) Latin small letter F

which is blocked.

According to Latin GP proposal there is one Latin script glyph which is considered similar to Latin small lettet F glyph "f", it is Latin small letter T with hook "t".

The same glyph Latin small letter T with hook "f" was not considered to be similar to Latin small letter F with hook "f".

This similarity consideration produces following confusable label.

ofu (006F 01AD 0075) Latin small letter T with hook

It means that, if someone wants to apply for label "ofu", that application should be refused because of confusability issue with label "ofu" which is blocked variant of already registered label "ofu".

There might be similar confusions in other scripts which are more complex than Latin one.

Everyone should be aware that Latin script Confusability table is side product of main task. It means that the list of Visually Confusable glyphs is neither comprehensive nor definitive.