# Attachment to Module 2

### Evaluation Questions and Criteria

Since ICANN was founded 10 years ago as a not-for-profit, multi-stakeholder organization, one of its key mandates has been to promote competition in the domain name market. ICANN's mission specifically calls for the corporation to maintain and build on processes that will ensure competition and consumer interests – without compromising Internet security and stability. This includes the consideration and implementation of new gTLDs. It is ICANN's goal to make the criteria and evaluation as objective as possible.

While new gTLDs are viewed by ICANN as important to fostering choice, innovation and competition in domain registration services, the decision to launch these coming new gTLD application rounds followed a detailed and lengthy consultation process with all constituencies of the global Internet community.

Any public or private sector organization can apply to create and operate a new gTLD. However the process is not like simply registering or buying a second-level domain name. Instead, the application process is to evaluate and select candidates capable of running a registry, a business that manages top level domains such as, for example, .COM or .INFO. Any successful applicant will need to meet published operational and technical criteria in order to preserve Internet stability and interoperability.

#### I. Principles of the Technical and Financial New gTLD Evaluation Criteria

- Principles of conservatism. This is the first round of what is to be an ongoing process for
  the introduction of new TLDs including Internationalized Domain Names. Therefore, the
  criteria in this round require applicants to provide a thorough and thoughtful analysis of
  the technical requirements to operate a registry and the proposed business model.
- The criteria and evaluation should be as objective as possible.
  - With that goal in mind, an important objective of the new TLD process is to <u>diversify the namespace</u>, with different registry business models and target audiences. In some cases, criteria that are objective, but that ignore the differences in business models and target audiences of new registries, will tend to make the process exclusionary. For example, the business model for a registry targeted to a small community need not possess the same robustness in funding and technical infrastructure as a registry intending to compete with large gTLDs. Therefore purely objective criteria such as a requirement for a certain amount of cash on hand will not provide for the flexibility to consider different business models. The process must provide for an objective evaluation framework, but allow for adaptation according to the differing models applicants will present. Within that framework, applicant's responses will be evaluated against the criteria in light of the proposed model.
  - Therefore the <u>criteria should be flexible</u>: able to scale with the <u>overall</u> business <u>approachplan</u>, providing that <u>the</u> plan<u>ned approach</u> is consistent and coherent, and can withstand highs and lows.

- Criteria can be <u>objective in areas of registrant protection</u>, for example:
  - Providing for funds to continue operations in the event of a registry failure.
  - Adherence to data escrow and registry failover and continuity plans.
- The evaluation must strike the correct <u>balance</u> between establishing the business and technical competence of the applicant to operate a registry (to <u>serve the interests of</u> <u>registrants</u>), while not asking for the detailed sort of information or making the judgment that a venture capitalist would. ICANN is not seeking to certify business success but instead seeks to encourage innovation while providing certain safeguards for registrants.
- New registries must be added in a way that maintains <u>DNS stability and security</u>.
   Therefore, ICANN asks several questions so that the applicant can demonstrate an understanding of the technical requirements to operate a registry. In certain cases, ICANN will ask the applicant to demonstrate actual operational technical compliance prior to delegation. This is in-line with current-ICANN /IANA prerequisites for the delegation of a TLD.
- Registrant protection is emphasized in both the criteria and the scoring. Examples of this include asking the applicant to:
  - Plan for the <u>occurrence of contingencies and registry failure</u> by putting in place financial resources to fund the ongoing resolution of names while a replacement operator is found or extended notice can be given to registrants,
  - Demonstrate a capability to understand and plan for business contingencies to afford some <u>protections through the marketplace</u>,
  - Adhere to DNS stability and security requirements as described in the technical section, and
  - Provide <u>access</u> to the widest variety of services.

#### II. Aspects of the Questions Asked in the Application and Evaluation Criteria

The technical and financial questions are intended to inform and guide the applicant in aspects of registry start-up and operation. The established registry operator should find the questions straightforward while inexperienced applicants should find them a natural part of planning.

Evaluation and scoring (detailed below) will emphasize:

- How thorough are the answers? Are they well thought through and do they provide a sufficient basis for evaluation?
- Demonstration of the ability to operate and fund the registry on an ongoing basis:
  - Funding sources to support technical operations in a manner that ensures stability and security and supports planned expenses,
  - Resilience and sustainability in the face of ups and downs, anticipation of contingencies,
  - Bonding or other funding to carry on operations in the event of failure.
- Demonstration that the technical plan will likely deliver on best practices for a registry and identification of issues that might raise DNS stability and security issues.

- Ensures plan integration, consistency and compatibility (responses to questions are not evaluated individually but in comparison to others):
  - Funding adequately covers technical requirements,
  - Funding covers costs,
  - Risks are identified and addressed, in comparison to other aspects of the plan.

#### III. Scoring

#### Evaluation

- The questions, criteria, scoring and evaluation methodology are to be conducted in accordance with the principles described earlier in the paper. With that in mind, globally diverse evaluation panelists will staff evaluation panels. The diversity of evaluators and access to experts in all regions of the world will ensure application evaluations take into account cultural, technical and business norms in the regions from which applications originate.
- Independent eEvaluation teams will consist of two independent panels. One will evaluate the applications against the financial criteria. The other will evaluate the applications against the technical & operational criteria. Given the requirement that technical and financial planning be well integrated, it is likely that one organization will coordinate the information transfer between panels. Other relevant experts (e.g., technical, audit, legal, insurance, finance) in pertinent regions will provide advice as required.
- Precautions will be taken to ensure that no member of the Evaluation Teams will have any interest or association that may be viewed as a real or potential conflict of interest with an applicant or application. All members have signed a Deed of Confidentiality/ Non-Disclosure Agreement relating specifically to this tender/project.must adhere to the Code of Conduct and Conflict of Interest guidelines that are found in Module 2.
- Communications between the evaluation teams and the applicants will be through an online interface. During the evaluation, evaluators may pose a set of clarifying questions to an applicant, to which the applicant may respond through the interface.
- Confidentiality: ICANN will post applications after the close of the application period. The applications consist of the answers to the questions below. The answers to all questions will be published except for the Demonstration of Financial Capability questions (Questions 5245 5057) and the Registry Transition question (407). The answers to these questions will be kept confidential.

#### Scoring

- Responses will be evaluated against each criterion. A score will be assigned according to the scoring schedule linked to each question or set of questions. In nearly all cases, 2 points are awarded for a response that exceeds requirements, 1 point is awarded for a response that meets requirements and 0 points are awarded for a response that fails to meet requirements. In several questions, 1 point is the maximum score that may be awarded. Each question must receive at least a score of "1," making each a "pass/fail" question.
- In the Continuity question in the financial section (see Question #507), up to 3 points are awarded if an applicant provides, at the application stage, a financial instrument of

some type that will guarantee ongoing registry operations in the event of a business failure. This extra point can serve to guarantee passing the financial criteria for applicants who score the minimum passing score for each of the individual criteria. The purpose of this weighting is to reward applicants who make early arrangements for the protection of registrants and to accept relatively riskier business plans where registrants are protected.

- There are 210 Technical & Operational questions. Each question has a criterion and scoring associated with it. The scoring for each is 0, 1, or 2 points as described above.
   One Two of the questions (DNSSEC and IDN implementations) are is optional. Other than the optional questions, all Technical & Operational criteria must be scored a 1 or more or the application will fail the evaluation.
- The total technical score must be equal to or greater than  $2\underline{20}$  for the application to pass. That means the applicant can pass by:
  - Receiving a 1-or more on all questions, including the two optional questions, and a 2 on at least one mandatory question; or
  - Receiving a 1 on all questions, excluding the optional question and a 2 on at least two mandatory questions.
  - Scoring a 2 on least two mandatory questions,
    - Receiving a combination of high and optional scores.

This scoring methodology requires a minimum passing score for each question and a slightly higher average score than the per question minimum to pass.

- There are six Financial questions and six sets of criteria that are scored by rating the answers to one or more of the questions. For example, the question concerning registry operation costs requires consistency between the technical plans (described in the answers to the Technical & Operational questions) and the costs (described in the answers to the costs question).
- The scoring for each of the Financial criteria is 0, 1 or 2 points as described above with one exception. The exception of the Continuity question, described above, for which up to 3 points are possible. All questions must receive at least a 1 or the application will fail the evaluation.
- The total financial score on the six criteria must be 8 or greater for the application to pass. That means the applicant can pass by:
  - Scoring a 3 on the continuity criteria, or
  - Scoring a 2 on any two financial criteria.
- Applications that do not pass can enter into an extended evaluation process as described in the Applicant Guidebook. The scoring is the same.

Note that the redline version provided here shows changes against the previous version of the Evaluation Criteria, as posted in May 2009 and available at <a href="http://www.icann.org/en/topics/new-gtlds/draft-evaluation-criteria-30may09-en.pdf">http://www.icann.org/en/topics/new-gtlds/draft-evaluation-criteria-30may09-en.pdf</a>.

	#			Scoring		
		Question	Notes	Range	Criteria	Scoring
Applicant Information	1	Full legal name of the Applicant (, being the established entity that wouldwill be entering into a registry agreement eontract with ICANN), following the latter's approval of this proposal.	Responses to Questions 1 - 12 are required for a complete application. Responses are not scored.			
	2	Address of the principal place of business of the Applicant. This address will be used for contractual purposes. No Post Office boxes are allowed.				
	3	Phone number for the Applicant's principal place of business.				
	4	Fax number for the Applicant's -principal place of business.				
	5	Email address for the Applicant's principal place of business.				
Primary Contact for this Application	6	Name	-The primary contact will receive all communications regarding the application. Either the primary or the secondary contact may respond. In the event of a conflict, the communication received from the primary contact will be taken as authoritative.			
		Title				
		Address				
		Phone number				
		Fax number				
		Email address				
Secondary Contact for this Application	7	Name	-The secondary contact will be copied on all communications regarding the application.  Either the primary or the secondary contact may respond.			
		Title				

		Address			
		Phone number			
		Fax number			
		Email address			
Proof of Legal Establishment	8 <del>(a)</del>	(a) Legal form of the Applicant. (e.g., limited liability partnership, corporation, non-profit institution).			
	<del>8(b</del> }	(b) State the specific national or other jurisdictional law that defines the type of entity identified in 8(a). Identify any relevant section references and provide a URL to the document if available online.			
	<del>8(c)</del>	(c) Attach evidence of the applicant's establishment as the type of entity identified in Question 8(a) above, in accordance with the applicable laws identified in Question 8(b).	Applications without valid proof of legal establishment will not be evaluated further.		
Proof of Good Standing	9 <del>(a)</del>	(a) Identify the specific organizational or business purpose(s) of the entity specified in Question 8.			
	<del>9(b</del> <del>)</del>	(b) If the applicant operates in a regulated industry where a specific document or license is required to engage in the purpose specified in 9(a) operate under the laws identified in the applicant's response to question 8(b) (e.g., banking, insurance), the applicant must attach a copy of its current, unrevoked permission or certificate to engage in the activity or operate as the type of business entity identified above.  If the applicant's business purpose does not require such permission or certification, the applicant must attach a certificate from the	It may be possible to satisfy this requirement with the document submitted for proof of legal establishment, i.e., the same document may provide both proof of establishment and good standing. In this case, applicant must note so in its response.  Applications without valid proof of good standing will not be evaluated further.		

	10	incorporating body or alternative organization authorized by the incorporating body verifying the continued validity of the applicant (e.g., certificate of good standing or affidavit from a notary public)The applicant must clearly explain the chain of authority from the law identified in its response to question 8(b)above to the alternative organization providing the documentation.  Business ID, Tax ID, VAT registration number, or equivalent of the Applicant.			
Applicant Background	11 <del>(</del> a)	(a) Enter the full name, contact information, and position of all directors.	Background checks may be conducted on individuals named in the applicant's response to question 11  Any material misstatement or misrepresentation (or omission of material information) may cause the application to be rejected.		
	11 <del>(</del> <del>b)</del>	(b) Enter the full name, contact information, and position of all officers and partners.			
	11 <del>(</del> <del>c)</del>	(c)Enter the full name, contact information and position of all shareholders holding at least 15% of shares.			
	11 <del>(</del> <del>d)</del>	(d) Indicate whether the applicant or any of its directors, officers, <u>partners</u> , or shareholders named above:	ICANN may deny an otherwise qualified application for any of the following reasons:		
		i. within the past ten years, has been convicted of a felony, or of a misdemeanor related to financial or corporate governance activities, or has been judged by a court to	Applicant, or any <u>partner</u> , officer, director, or manager, or any person or entity owning (or beneficially owning) fifteen percent or more of applicant:		

	have committed fraud or breach of fiduciary	a. within the past ten	
	duty, or has been the subject of a judicial	years, has been convicted	
	determination that is similar or related to any	of a felony, or of a	
	of these <u>.</u> ;	misdemeanor related to	
		financial or corporate	
		governance activities, or	
	ii. within the past ten years, has been	has been judged by a	
	disciplined by athe government of its, her, or	court to have committed	
	his domicile for conduct involving dishonesty	fraud or breach of fiduciary	
	or misuse of funds of others;	duty, or has been the	
		subject of a judicial	
		determination that ICANN	
	iii. is currently involved in any judicial or	deemed as the	
	regulatory proceeding that could result in a	substantive equivalent of	
	conviction, judgment, determination, or	any of these;	
	discipline of the type specified in (ia) or (iib); or		
		b. within the past ten	
'		years, has been	
	iv. is the subject of a disqualification imposed	disciplined by any	
'	by ICANN and in effect at the time of this	government or industry	
	application.	regulatory body for	
		conduct involving	
		dishonesty or misuse of	
	If any of the above events have occurred,	the funds of others;	
	please provide details.		
		c. is currently involved in	
		any judicial or regulatory	
		proceeding that could	
		result in a conviction,	
		judgment, determination,	
1		or discipline of the type	
		specified in (a) or (b); er	
1			
		d. is the subject of a	
		disqualification imposed	
		by ICANN and in effect at	

		the time the application is considered; or  e. fails to provide ICANN with the identifying information necessary to confirm identity at the time of application.	
11( e)	(e) Indicate whether the applicant or any of its directors, officers, partners, or shareholders named above have demonstrated a pattern or practice of, or been found liable for, cybersquatting or domain name-related abuses.	ICANN may deny an otherwise qualified application for any of the following reasons:  Applicant, or any partner, officer, director, or manager, or any person or entity owning (or beneficially owning) fifteen percent or more of applicant is the subject of a pattern of decisions indicating liability for, or repeated practice of bad faith in regard to domain name registrations, including:  (i) acquiring dormain names primarily for the purpose of selling, renting, or otherwise transferring the domain name registrations to the owner of a trademark or service mark or to a competitor, for valuable consideration in excess of documented out-of-pocket costs directly related to the domain name; or  (ii) registering domain names in order to prevent the owner of the trademark or service mark from reflecting the mark in a corresponding domain name; or	

			(iii) registering domain names primarily for the purpose of disrupting the business of a competitor; or  (iv) using domain names with intent to attract, for commercial gain, Internet users to a web site or other on-line location, by creating a likelihood of confusion with a trademark or service mark as to the source, sponsorship, affiliation, or endorsement of the web site or location or of a product or service on the web site or location.		
	11( f)	(f) Disclose whether the applicant has been involved in any administrative or other legal proceeding, as plaintiff or defendant, in which allegations of intellectual propertytrademark infringement of a domain name have been made. Provide an explanation related to each such instance.			
Evaluation Fee	12	Enter the confirmation information foref your payment of the evaluation fee (e.g., wire transfer confirmation number).			
Applied-for gTLD string	13	Provide the applied-for gTLD string. If applying for an IDN, provide the A-label (beginning with "xn——").	Responses to Questions 13- 1724 are not scored, but are used for database and validation purposes.		
	14	(a) If applying for an IDN, provide the U-label.	The U-label is an IDNA-valid string of Unicode characters, including at least one non-ASCII character.		

1.1					
	<del>15</del>	(b) If an IDN, provide the translation or			
		transliteration of the string in English, that is,			
		the literal meaning of the string in the opinion			
		of the applicant.			
	<del>16</del>	(c) If an IDN, provide the language of the label			
'		(both in English and as referenced by ISO-			
		639-1)?			
	17	(d) If an IDN, provide the script of the label			
į		(both in English and as referenced by ISO			
		15924).			
	18	(e)If an IDN, list all the code points contained			
Ĭ		in the U-label according to Unicode form.			
, —					
	1 <u>5</u> 9	If an IDN, upload IDN tables for the proposed			
		registry. An IDN table must include: 1) the			
		applied-for gTLD string relevant to the tables,			
		the script or language designator (as			
		defined in BCP 47), 3) table version number,			
		4) effective date (DD Month YYYY), and 5)			
		contact name, email address, and phone			
		number. Submission of IDN tables in a			
		standards-based format -is encouraged			
	<u>16</u> 2	If an IDN, describe the applicant's efforts to			
	<del>0</del>	ensure that there are no known operational or			
ı		rendering problems concerning the applied-for			
		gTLD string. If such issues are known,			
		describe steps that will be taken to mitigate			
		these issues in software and other			
		applications.			
	<u>172</u>	OPTIONAL.	If provided, this information will be used as a		_
	1	Provide a representation of the label according	guide to ICANN in communications		
	•	to the International Phonetic Alphabet	regarding the application.		
		(http://www.langsci.ucl.ac.uk/ipa/).			
		Transfer and the state of the s			

Community-based Designation	18 <del>2</del> 2	Is the application for a community-based TLD?	There is a presumption that the application is a standard application an open TLD (as defined in the Applicant Guidebook) if this question is left unanswered.  The applicant's designation as standard or community-based cannot be changed once the application is submitted.	
	<del>_23</del>	In the event of string contention does the community based applicant elect a comparative (community priority) evaluation as the preferred method of resolving the contention?	If community based, an applicant has the option to elect a comparative (community priority) evaluation in the event of string contention. See Module 4 of the Applicant Guidebook for more information on string contention resolution procedures.	
	1 <u>9</u> 2 4	(a) Provide the name and full description of the community that the applicant is committing to serveyou represent. Community-based applications participating in a community priority (comparative) (community priority) evaluation will be scored in that event based on the community identified in response to this question.	<ul> <li>How the community is delineated from Internet users generally. Such descriptions may include, but are not limited to, the following: membership, registration, or licensing processes, operation in a particular industry, use of a language.</li> <li>How the community is structured and organized. For a community consisting of an alliance of groups, details about the constituent parts are required.</li> <li>When the community was established, including the date(s) of formal organization, if any, as well as a description of community activities to date.</li> <li>The current estimated size of the community, both as to membership and geographic extent.</li> </ul>	Responses to Question 19 will be regarded as firm commitments to the specified community and reflected in the registry agreement, provided the application is successful.  Responses to Question 24 are not scored in the Initial Evaluation. Responses may be scored, in a comparative (communitya community priority (comparative)) evaluation, if applicable. Criteria and scoring methodology for the community priority (comparative) evaluation are described in Module 4 of the Applicant Guidebook.

	xplain the applicant's relationship to the munity identified in 1924(a).	<ul> <li>Explanations should clearly state:</li> <li>relations to any community organizations</li> <li>relations to the community and its constituent parts/groups</li> </ul>	
	d purpose of the <u>applied-for</u> -gTLD.	Descriptions should include:  Intended registrants in the TLD.  Intended end-users of the TLD.  Related activities the applicant has carried out or intends to carry out in service of this purpose.  Explanation of how the purpose is of a lasting nature.  If filled out, this will automatically populate Question 206, on mission/purpose.	
applie		<ul> <li>relationship to the established name, if any, of the community.</li> <li>relationship to the identification of community members.</li> <li>any connotations the string may have beyond the community.</li> </ul>	
applic suppo the ap enforce	Provide a complete description of the cant's intended registration policies in ort of the community-based purpose of pplied-for g-TLD. Policies and rement mechanisms are expected to titute a coherent set.	Descriptions should include proposed policies, if any, on the following:  • Eligibility: who is eligible to register a second-level name in the gTLD, and how will eligibility be determined.  • Name selection: what types of second-level names may be registered in the gTLD.	

			<ul> <li>Content/Use: what restrictions, if any, will the registry operator will impose on how a registrant may use its registered name.</li> <li>Enforcement: what investigation practices and mechanisms exist to enforce the policies above, what resources are allocated for enforcement, and what appeal mechanisms are available to registrants.</li> </ul>		
		(f) Attach any written endorsements for the application from institutions/groups representative of the community identified in 1924(a). An applicant may submit endorsements by multiple institutions/groups, if relevant to the community.	Endorsements from institutions/groups not mentioned in the response to 19(b) should be accompanied by a clear description of each such institution's/group's relationship to the community.		
Mission/Purpose	<u>20</u>	Describe the mission/purpose of your proposed gTLD.	Applicants are encouraged to provide a thorough and detailed description to enable informed consultation and comment.  Responses to this question are not scored.		

Geographical Names	2 <u>1</u> 5	(a) Is the application for a geographical name?  (b) If a geographical name, attach	An applied-for gTLD string is considered a geographical name if it is: (a) a country or territory name as defined in the Applicant Guidebookmeaningful representation of a country or territory name listed in the ISO 3166-1 standard; (b) a sub-national place name listed in the ISO 3166-2 standard; (c) the capital city name of a country or territory listed in the ISO 3166-1 standard; (d) a city name, where the applicant declares in its response to question 20 -that it intends to use the gTLD for purposes associated with the city name; or (e) a continent or UN region. The applicant is expected to identify whether its applied for gTLD string is a geographical name and to provide the required documentation. See further description of geographical names requirements in Module 2 of the Applicant Guidebook.  See the documentation requirements in			
		documentation of support or non-objection from all relevant government(s) or public authority(ies).	Module 2 of the Applicant Guidebook.			
General Questions	<del>26</del>	Describe the mission/purpose of your proposed gTLD.	_	-	-	-
Protection of Geographical Names	22	Describe proposed measures for protection of geographic names at the second and other levels in the applied-for gTLD. This should include any applicable rules and procedures for reservation and/or release of such names.	Applicants should consider and describe how they will incorporate Governmental Advisory Committee (GAC) advice in their management of second-level domain name registrations. See "Principles regarding New gTLDs" at http://gac.icann.org/index.php?name=Imp_d			

	OC.	
	For reference, applicants may draw on	
	<u>existing methodology developed for the</u> <u>reservation and release of country names in the country name </u>	<u>in</u>
	the .INFO top-level domain. See ".info Procedure" at	
	http://gac.icann.org/index.php?name=Imp_	<u>d</u>
	OC.	
	Proposed measures will be posted for publicomment as part of the application.	<u>lic</u>

#### **Registry Services**

Provide name and full description of all the Registry Services to be provided. <u>Descriptions should include both technical and business components of each proposed service, and address any potential security or stability concerns.</u>

The following registry services are customary services offered by a registry operator:

- A. Receipt of data from registrars

  concerning registration of domain
  names and name servers.
- B. Provision of status information relating to zone servers for the TLD.
- C. Dissemination of TLD zone files.
- D. Dissemination of contact or other information concerning domain name registrations (Whois service).
- E. Internationalized Domain Names, where offered.
- F. DNS Security Extensions (DNSSEC).

The applicant must describe whether any of these registry services are intended to be offered in a manner unique to the TLD.

Additional proposed registry services that are unique to the registry must also be described.

Registry Services are defined as the following: (1) operations of the Registry critical to the following tasks: (i) the receipt of data from registrars concerning registrations of domain names and name servers; (ii) provision to registrars of status information relating to the zone servers for the TLD; (iii) dissemination of TLD zone files; (iv) operation of the Registry zone servers; and (v) dissemination of contact and other information concerning domain name server registrations in the TLD as required by the Registry Agreement; and (2) other products or services that the Registry Operator is required to provide because of the establishment of a Consensus Policy; (3) any other products or services that only a Registry Operator is capable of providing, by reason of its designation as the Registry Operator. A full definition of Registry Services can be found at http://www.icann.org/en/registries/rsep/rsep. html.

Security: For purposes of this applicant guidebook, an effect on security by the proposed Registry Service means (1) the unauthorized disclosure, alteration, insertion or destruction of Registry Data, or (2) the unauthorized access to or disclosure of information or resources on the Internet by systems operating in accordance with applicable standards.

Stability: For purposes of this applicant guidebook, an effect on stability shall mean that the proposed Registry Service (1) is not

Responses are not scored. A preliminary assessment will be made to determine if there are potential security or stability issues with any of the applicant's proposed Registry Services. If any such issues are identified. the application will be referred for an extended review. See the description of the Registry Services Review process in Module 2 of the Applicant Guidebook. Any information contained in the application may be considered as part of the registry services review. If its application is approved, <u>a</u>Applicant <u>mayean</u> engage in only those registry services defined in the application, unless a new request is submitted to ICANN in accordance with the registry agreement. Complete response adequately describes services so that a Registry Services evaluation can take place. Registry Services must not significantly impact DNS Stability or Security. Security: For purposes of this applicant guidebook, an effect on security by the proposed Registry Service

A preliminary assessment will be made to determine if there may be possible security or stability issues with any of the applicant's proposed Registry Services. If any such issues are identified, the application will be referred for an extended review. See the description of the Registry Services Review process in Module 2 of the Applicant Guidebook.

means (1) the unauthorized compliant with applicable relevant standards disclosure, alteration. that are authoritative and published by a insertion or destruction of well-established, recognized and Registry Data, or (2) the authoritative standards body, such as relevant Standards-Track or Best Current unauthorized access to or Practice RFCs sponsored by the IETF, or (2) disclosure of information or creates a condition that adversely affects the resources on the Internet by throughput, response time, consistency or systems operating in accordance with applicable coherence of responses to Internet servers standards. or end systems, operating in accordance Stability: For purposes of with applicable relevant standards that are this applicant guidebook, an authoritative and published by a welleffect on stability shall mean established, recognized and authoritative that the proposed Registry standards body, such as relevant Standards-Service (1) is not compliant Track or Best Current Practice RFCs and with applicable relevant relying on Registry Operator's delegation standards that are information or provisioning. authoritative and published by a well-established, recognized and authoritative standards body, such as relevant Standards-Track or Best Current Practice RFCs sponsored by the IETF, or (2) creates a condition that adversely affects the throughput, response time, consistency or coherence of responses to Internet servers or end systems, operating in accordance with applicable relevant standards that are authoritative and published by a well-established. recognized and authoritative standards body, such as

		relevant Standards-Track or Best Current Practice RFCs and relying on Registry Operator's delegation information or provisioning.	

Demonstratio Technical & Operational Capability	of 2 <u>4</u> 9	Technical Overview of Proposed Registry: provide a technical overview of the proposed registry, including details concerning:  the type of registry that will be operated  the interfaces that will be provided for registration transactions.  The technical plan must be adequately resourced, with appropriate expertise and allocation of costs in the registry plan. The applicant will provide financial descriptions of resources in the next section and those resources must be reasonably related to these technical requirements.  This high level summary should not repeat answers to questions below.	-The questions in this section (24-44) are intended to give applicants an opportunity to demonstrate their technical and operational capabilities to run a registry. In the event that an applicant chooses to outsource one or more parts of its registry operations, the applicant should still provide the full details of the technical arrangements.	0-2	Complete answer demonstrates:  (1) complete knowledge and understanding of technical aspects of registry requirements; (2) an adequate level of resiliency for the registry's technical operations; (3) consistency with currently deployed technical/operation al solutions; (4) consistency with the overall business approach and planned size of the registry; and (5) adequate resourcing for technical plan in the planned costs detailed in the financial section.in the registry business plan.	2 - exceeds requirements: Response includes (1) highly developed and detailed technical plans; (2) provision of a high level of resiliency; (3) full interplay and consistency of technical and business requirements; and (4) evidence of technical resources already on hand or fully committed.  1 - meets requirements: Response includes (1) adequate level of detail to substantially demonstrate capability and knowledge required to meet this element; (2) technical plans are commensurate with the overall business approach as described in the application; business model; (3) demonstrates that technical resources required to carry through the plans for this element are readily available.  0 - fails requirements: Does not meet the requirements to score 1
---	-----------------	---	---	-----	---	---

	Network_Architecture: provide -details of the system and network architecture that will support the operation of the registry. Answers should include information such as:  • architecture and network diagrams, • details of hardware and software platforms for DNS and other services, • network bandwidth provision and provider diversity. • rirewalls, • the intended physical location of systems, operations centers, and other infrastructure, • a description of backup power systems (with multi-day powered source), and • security and physical safeguards against intrusion, including a description of remote alternate sites for this purpose.			Complete answer demonstrates:  (1) detailed and coherent network architecture; (2) architecture providing resiliency for registry systems; (1) physical and logical security infrastructure deployed, (2) solutions used to monitor the registry operations; (3) (4) a technical plan scope/scale that is consistent with the overall business approach business model and planned size of the registry; and (4) (5) a technical plan that is adequately resourced in the planned costs detailed in the financial section.in the registry business plan.	2 - exceeds requirements: Response includes (1) Evidence of highly developed and detailed network architecture; -(2) Evidence of a high level of resiliency, robust and secure infrastructure; -(2) Evidence of a high level of resiliency, robust and secure infrastructure; -(2) Evidence of a high level of resiliency, robust and secure infrastructure; -(3) Retwork performance metrics monitored and network bandwidth -(3) Network architecture shows full interplay and consistency of technical and business requirements; -(3) Network architecture shows full interplay and consistency of technical and business requirements: -(4) Evidence of tTechnical resources are already on hand or fully committed(5) Technical resources are already on hand or fully committed(6) Technical resources are already on hand or fully committed(7) Plans for network architecture describe all necessary elements; -(8) Descriptions demonstrate adequate network architecture providing robustness and security of the registry;
--	--	--	--	--	--

				business approach as described in the application business model; and (4) Delemonstrates that technical resources required to carry through the plans for this element areis readily available. 0 - fails requirements: Does not meet the requirements to score 1
--	--	--	--	---

	263 1	Database Capabilities: provide details of database capabilities including:  • database software, • storage capacitysize, • maximum transaction throughput, • scalability, • procedures for object creation, editing, and deletion, • high availability. • change notifications, • registrar transfer procedures, • grace period implementation and • reporting capabilities.		0-2	Complete answer demonstrates:  (1) complete knowledge and understanding of database capabilities to meet the registry technical requirements; (2) database capabilities are consistent with the overall business approach, business model and planned size of the registry; and (3) -a technical plan that is adequately resourced in the planned costs detailed in the financial section.in the registry business plan.; and (4) database monitoring and resolution of issues in a timely manner.	2 - exceeds requirements: Response includes (1) Highly developed and detailed description of database capabilities; (2) Evidence of comprehensive database capabilities, including high scalability and redundant database infrastructure, operational and reporting procedures are reviewed regularly and follow leading practices.; (3) Database capabilities show full interplay and consistency of technical and business requirements; and (4) Evidence of tTechnical resources are already on hand or fully committed. 1 - meets requirements: Response includes (1) Plans for database capabilities describe all nec essary elements; (2) Descriptions demonstrate adequate database capabilities (not leads-ing practices), with database throughput, scalability, and database operational governance. (3) Database capabilities are commensurate with
--	----------	--	--	-----	--	---

					overall business approach as described in the application with business model; and (4) Delemonstrates that technical resources required to carry through the plans for this element are readily available. 0 - fails requirements: Does not meet the requirements to score 1
	27 <del>3</del> 2	Geographic Diversity: provide a description of plans for geographic diversity of  • name servers and • operations centers.  This should include the intended physical locations of systems, operations centers, and other infrastructure. This may include Registry plans to use Anycast or other geo-diversity measures.	0-2	Complete answer demonstrates: (1) geographic diversity of nameservers and operations centers; (2) the proposed geodiversity measures are consistent with the overall business approach business model and planned size of the registry; and (3) a technical plan that is adequately resourced in the planned costs detailed in the	2 - exceeds requirements: Response includes (1) Evidence of highly developed measures for geo-diversity of operations, with locations and functions; (1) Highly developed and detailed geo-diversity strategy, including specific number and locations of name servers worldwide; (2) A high level of resiliency, security, and bandwidth;

		financial sectionin the	(3) Evidence of
		registry business plan.	highly developed measures
		registry business plan.	for geo-diversity of
			operations, with locations
			and functions;
			*
			( <u>3</u> 4) Full interplay and consistency of technical
			and business
1			requirements; and (45)
			Evidence of tTechnical
			resources <del>-are</del> -already on
			hand or committed.
			1 - meets requirements:
			Response includes
			(1) Description of
			geodiversity plans includes
			all necessary elements;
			(2) Plans provide adequate
			geo-diversity of name
			servers and operations;
			and.
			(3) Geo-diversity plans are
			commensurate with overall
			business approach as
			described in the <del>business</del>
			modelapplication-; and
			(4) <u>D</u> demonstrates that
			technical resources
			required to carry through
			the plans for this element
			are readily available.
			0 - fails requirements:
			Does not meet the
			requirements to score 1
			roquiromonio to soore 1

DNS ServiceProtocol Compliance-: describe the configuration and operation of nameservers, including how the applicant will comply compliance with RFCs.

All name servers used for the new gTLD must be operated in compliance with the DNS protocol specifications defined in the relevant RFCs, including but not limited tofollowing lETF Requests for Comments (RFCs): 1034, 1035, 1101, 1982, 1996, 2181, 2182, 2308, 2671, 3226, 3596, 3597, 3901, 4343, and 4472 and 3671.

Describe the <u>DNS</u> services to be provided, the resources used to implement the services, and demonstrate how the system will function. Suggested information includes:

Services. Query rates to be supported at initial operation, and reserve capacity of the system. How will these be scaled as a function of growth in the TLDs? Similarly, describe how services will scale for name server update method and performance.

Resources. <u>Describe c</u>Complete server hardware and software.

Descriptions to evaluate if Describe how services they are compliant with RFCs. Are these dedicated or shared with any other functions (capacity/performance) or DNS zones? Describe nNetwork bandwidth and addressing plans for servers.

Describe how the proposed infrastructure will be able to deliver the performance described

-Note that the use of DNS wildcard resource records as described in RFC 4592 or any other method or technology for synthesizing DNS resource records or using redirection within the DNS by the registry is prohibited in the Registry Agreement.

Also note that name servers for the new gTLD must comply with IANA Technical requirements for authoritative name servers: <a href="http://www.iana.org/procedures/nameserver-requirements.html">http://www.iana.org/procedures/nameserver-requirements.html</a>.

Complete answer demonstrates:
(1) adequate description of configurations of nameservers and compliance with respective DNS protocol-related RFCs;
(2) a technical plan scope/scale that is consistent with the overall business approach business

0-2

(3) a technical plan that is adequately resourced in the planned costs detailed in the financial section; and in the registry business plan.

(4) evidence of compliance with Specification 6 to the Registry Agreement.

model and planned size of

the registry; and

## **2 - exceeds requirements**: Response includes:

- (1) Highly developed and detailed plans to ensure compliance with DNS protocols and required performance specifications: -
- (2) A high level of resiliency;
- (3) Full interplay and consistency of technical and business requirements; and
- (4) Evidence of tTechnical resources are already on hand or committed.
- **1 meets requirements**: Response includes:
- (1) Adequate level of detail to substantially demonstrate capability and knowledge required to meet this element;
- (2) Plans are sufficient to result in compliance with DNS protocols and required performance specifications; and
- (3) Plans are commensurate with overall business approach as described in the application business model; and (4) Demonstrates that technical resources required to carry through

	in the Performance Specification (Specification 6) attached to the draft Registry Agreement.  Examples of evidence include:  Server configuration(s) Network addressing and bandwidth for query load and update propagation Security and DDOS policy Headroom to meet surges Monitoring and remediation process			the plans for this element are readily available.  0 - fails requirements:  Does not meet the requirements to score 1
<u>29</u> 3 4	SRS Performance: describe the plan for operation of a robust and reliable Shared Registration System. SRS is a critical registry function for enabling multiple registrars to provide domain name registration services in the TLD. Please refer to the requirements in Sections 1-3 of the Registry Interoperability, Continuity, and Performance Specification (Specification 6) attached to the draft RegistryBase Agreement.	0-1	Complete answer demonstrates: (1) a robust plan for operating a reliable SRS; (2) scalability and performance are consistent with the overall business approach, business model and planned size of the registry; (3) a technical plan that is adequately resourced in the planned costs detailed in the financial section in the	1 - meets requirements: Response includes (1) Evidence of highly developed and detailed plan to operate a robust and reliable; SRSt; (2) SRS plans are sufficient to result in compliance with the Registry Continuity, Interoperability, and Performance Specifications.;Specificatio ns; (3) Full interplay and

			registry business plan; and (4) evidence of compliance with Specification 6 to the Registry Base Agreement.	consistency of technical and business requirements; and (4) Demonstrates that technical resources are already on hand, or committed or readily available.  0 - fails requirements: Does not meet the requirements to score 1.
3 <u>0</u> 5	EPP: provide a detailed description of the interface with registrars, including how the applicant will complyiance with Extensible Provisioning Protocol in the relevant RFCs, including but not limited to: RFCs 3915, 3735, and 4930-49345730-5734.  Provide the EPP templates and schemas that will be used.	0-1	Complete answer demonstrates: (1) complete knowledge and understanding of this aspect of registry technical requirements; (2) a technical plan scope/scale consistent with the overall business approachbusiness model and planned size of the registry; and (3) a technical plan that is adequately resourced in the planned costs detailed in the financial section. in the registry business plan.	1 - meets requirements: Response includes (1) Adequate level of detail to substantially demonstrate capability and knowledge required to meet this element, (2) EPP templates and schemas compliant with RFCs and provide all necessary functionalities for registrar interface; (3) Full interplay and consistency of technical and business requirements; and (4) Demonstrates that technical resources are already on hand, or committed or readily available. 0 - fails requirements:

				Does not meet the requirements to score 1.
3 <u>1</u> 6	Security Policy: provide an outline of the security policy and procedures for the proposed registry, including:  • system and network access control, ensuring systems are maintained in a secure fashion, including details of how they are monitored, logged and backed up;  • provisioning and other measures that mitigate risks posed by denial of service attacks;  • computer and network incident response policies, plans, and processes;  • plans to minimize the risk of unauthorized access to its systems or tampering with registry data;	0-2	Complete answer demonstrates: (1) detailed description of processes and solutions deployed to manage logical security across infrastructure and systems, monitoring and detecting threats and security vulnerabilities and taking appropriate steps to resolve them; (2) security capabilities are consistent with the overall business approach business model and planned size of the registry;	2 - exceeds requirements: Response includes (1) Evidence of highly developed and detailed security capabilities, with various baseline security levels, independent benchmarking of security metrics, robust periodic security monitoring, and continuous enforcement; -(2) Independent assessment report available with leading practices being followed; and
	<ul> <li>intrusion detection mechanisms,</li> <li>a threat analysis for the proposed registry and the defenses that will be deployed against those threats;</li> <li>details for auditing capability on all</li> </ul>		(3) a technical plan adequately resourced in the planned costs detailed in the financial sectionin the registry business plan; and	(3) Full interplay of business and technical requirements; including any special security requirements due to the

network access;  independent assessment report to demonstrate security capabilities_if any;  resources to secure integrity of updates between registry systems and nameservers, and between nameservers, if any.  any augmented security levels or capabilities commensurate with the nature of the applied for gTLD string.	(4) security measures are consistent with any commitments made to registrants regarding security levels; and (5) security measures appropriate for the applied for gTLD string. (For example, certain financial or industry oriented TLDs may call for a higher level of security)	nature of the applied for gTLD string; and  (4) Evidence of tTechnical resources are already on hand or fully committed.  1 - meets requirements: Response includes: (1) Adequate level of detail to substantially demonstrate capability and knowledge to meet this element; (2) Evidence of adequate security capabilities, enforcement of logical access control, threat analysis, incident response and auditing. Ad-hoc oversight and governance and leading practices being followed.; (3) Security capabilities aligned with the overall business approach as described in the application, business model/scale and any commitments made to registrants; and (4) Delemonstrates that technical resources required to carry through the plans for this element are readily available.  0 - fails requirements: Does not meet the
--	--	---

			requirements to score 1

to Red de Ac se na se se to da ac me tra wi IP	Pv6 Reachability: the registry supports access whois, Web based Whois and any other degistration Data Publication Service as escribed in Specification 6 to the Registry agreement. The registry also supports DNS ervers over an IPv6 network for at least 2 ameservers. IANA currently has a minimum et of technical requirements for IPv4 name ervice. These include two nameservers eparated by geography and by network expology, that each servinge a consistent set of ata, and are reachable from multiple locations cross the globe. Describe how the registry will neet this same criterion for IPv6, requiring IPv6 ansport to their network. List all services that will be provided over IPv6, and describe the Pv6 connectivity and provider diversity that will e used.	0-2	Complete answer demonstrates: (1) complete knowledge and understanding of this aspect of registry technical requirements; (2) a technical plan scope/scale that is consistent with the overall business approachthe business model and planned size of the registry; and (3) a technical plan that is adequately resourced in the planned costs detailed in the financial section in the registry business plan; and (4) identification of IPv6-reachable name servers that meet these requirements.	2 - exceeds requirements: Response includes: (1) Evidence of highly developed and detailed network architecture and implementation plan, indicating IPv6 reachability allowing IPv6 transport in the network in compliance to IPv4 IANA specifications with at least 2 separated nameservers; (2) A high level of resiliency; (3) Full interplay and consistency of technical and business requirements; and (4) Evidence of tTechnical resources are already on hand or fully committed.
				1 - meets requirements: Response includes (1) Adequate level of detail to substantially demonstrate capability and knowledge required to meet this element; (2) Evidence of adequate implementation plan addressing requirements for IPv6 reachability, including identification ef IPv6of IPv6 reachable

				nameservers; (3) IPv6 plans commensurate with overall business approach as described in the application business model; and demonstrates that technical resources required to carry through the plans for this element
1				are readily available.  0 - fails requirements:  Does not meet the requirements to score 1

33	ICANN's Registry Publicly Available Registration Data (Whois) specifications for data objects, bulk access, and lookups as defined in the base agreement: "Specification for Registration Data Publication Services." (Spec 4) Describe how the Applicant's Registry Publicly Available Registration Data (Whois) service will comply with RFC 3912.  Describe how the applicant will comply with performance specifications for Whois service as in Specification 6 to the draft registry agreement.		Complete answer demonstrates:  (1) complete knowledge and understanding of this aspect of registry technical requirements;  (2) a technical plan scope/scale consistent with the overall business approach business model and planned size of the registry; and  (3) a technical plan that is adequately resourced in the planned costs detailed in the financial section. in the registry business plan.	1 - meets requirements: Response includes (1) adequate level of detail to substantially demonstrate capability and knowledge required to meet this element; and (2) Whois services compliant with RFCs and provide all necessary functionalities for user interface; (3) Whois capabilities commensurate with the overall business approach as described in the application business model; and (4) demonstrates that technical resources required to carry through the plans for this element are already on hand or readily available. 0 - fails requirements: Does not meet the requirements to score 1.
34	Registration Life Cycle: provide a detailed description of the proposed registration lifecycle for domain names in the proposed gTLD. The description must explain the various registration states as well as the criteria and procedures that are used to change state. It must describe the typical registration lifecycle of create/update/delete and all intervening steps such as pending, locked, expired, and transferred that may apply. Any time elements	0-1	Complete answer demonstrates: (1) complete knowledge and understanding of registration lifecycles and states; and (2) consistency with any specific commitments made to registrants as adapted to the overall business approach forbusiness plan of the proposed gTLD.	1 - meets requirements: Response includes (1) Evidence of highly developed registration life cycle with definition of various registration states and transition between the states; 2) Consistency of

	that are involved - for instance details of add-grace or redemption grace periods, or notice periods for renewals or transfers - must also be clearly explained.		registration lifecycle with any commitments to registrants and with business and technical and financial plans; and (3) Ddemonstrates that technical resources required to carry through the plans for this element are already on hand or readily available.  0 - fails requirements: Does not meet the requirements to score 1.
35	Abuse Prevention and Mitigation: Applicants should describe the proposed policies and procedures to minimize abusive registrations and other activities that have a negative impact on Internet users.  Answers should include:  Safeguards the applicant will implement at the time of registration, policies to reduce opportunities for abusive behaviors using registered domain names in the TLD, and policies for handling complaints regarding abuse. Each registry operator will be required to establish and publish on its website a single abuse point of contact responsible for addressing matters requiring expedited attention and providing a timely response to abuse complaints concerning all names registered in the TLD through all registrars of record, including those involving a reseller.  A description of rapid takedown or suspension systems that will be implemented.	Complete answer demonstrates:  (1) Comprehensive abuse policies and procedures that will effectively minimize potential for abuse in the TLD;  (2) Plans are adequately resourced in the planned costs detailed in the financial section;  (3) Policies and procedures identify and address the abusive use of registered names at startup and on an ongoing basis; and  (4) When executed in accordance with the	1 - meets requirements  (1) Evidence of highly developed abuse policies and procedures:(2) Plans are consistent with overall business approach and any commitments made to registrants; and (3) Plans are sufficient to result in compliance with contractual requirements.

	Proposed measures for management and removal of orphan glue records for names removed from the zone.			Registry Agreement, plans will result in compliance with contractual requirements.	Does not meet the requirements to score  1.
36 40	Measures against Abuse: Applicants should describe how their proposal will create policies and practices that minimize abusive registrations and other activities that affect the legal rights of others. Describe how the proposal will implement safeguards against allowing unqualified registrations, and reduce opportunities for behaviors such as phishing or pharming. Answers may also include additional measures such as abusive use policies, takedown procedures, registrant preverification, or authentication procedures, or other covenants.	Note: Although no changes from v2 of the Applicant Guidebook are present here, the applicant questions and requirements regarding abuse policies are expected to evolve as a result of community discussions on how to address the "overarching issues" of trademark protection and malicious conduct. See <a href="https://st.icann.org/new-gtld-overarching-issues/index.cgi.">https://st.icann.org/new-gtld-overarching-issues/index.cgi.</a> ICANN continues to encourage suggestions in this area. Note that requirements for rights protection mechanisms remain under discussion. The applicant questions and criteria included here are expected to evolve as a result of community consideration on an effective approach to rights protection in new gTLDs. In this regard, various proposals and corresponding guidebook language are being published for comment simultaneously with the publication of this draft of the Applicant Guidebook.	0-2	Complete answer describes mechanisms designed to (1) prevent abusive registrations, and to (2) identify & address the abusive use of registered names on an ongoing basis.	2 - exceeds requirements: (1) Evidence of highly developed rights protection mechanisms (RPM),specified in detail for inclusion into registry agreement. (2) Mechanisms provide pre-registration and post- registration (beyond UDRP) protections, and (3) Mechanisms address registry start-up and on- going operations.  1 - meets requirements: (1) Proposed registry operator commits to and describes protection of rights mechanisms and (2) These mechanisms provide protections at least at registry start-up. 0 - fails requirements: Does not meet the requirements to score a 1.

						practices being followed; and (3) Backup procedures commensurate with the overall business approach as described in the application business model; and (4) Demonstrates that technical resources required to carry through the plans for this element are readily available.  0 - fails requirements: Does not meet the requirements to score a 1.
--	--	--	--	--	--	---

the escrow arrangements documented in the Registry Data Escrow Specifications (Specification 2 of attached as part of the draft Registry Base Agreement).; including plans for daily backups and archiving of registry information.	Complete answer demonstrates: (1) compliance with -Specification 2 of the draft Registrybase Aagreement; (2) a reasonable plan to perform daily backups and archiving of registry information; (23) a technical plan that is adequately resourced in the planned costs detailed in the financial section; in the registry business plan, and (34) the escrow arrangement is consistent with the overall business approach business model and size/scope of the registry.	2 - exceeds requirements: Response includes (1) Evidence of highly developed and detailed data escrow procedures, including continuous monitoring, archiving, and periodic review for continuous registry operations; (2) Evidences compliance with Specification 2 of the Registrybase Aagreement-; (3) Full interplay of technical and business requirements; and (4) Evidence of technical resources are already on hand or committed. 1 – meets requirements: Response includes (1) Adequate level of detail to substantially demonstrate capability and knowledge required to meet this element; (2) Data escrow plans are sufficient to result in compliance with the Data Escrow Specification; and (3) (3) Escrow capabilities are commensurate with the
---	--	--

						overall business approach as described in the application business model; and (4) -4) eDemonstrates that technical resources required to carry through the plans for this element are readily available.  0 – fails requirements: Does not meet the requirements to score a 1.
	39 43	Registry Continuity: describe how the applicant will comply with registry continuity obligations as described in the Registry Interoperability, Continuity and Performance Specification, attached toas part of the draft BaseRegistry Agreement (Specification 6). For reference, applicants should review the ICANN gTLD Registry Continuity Plan at http://www.icann.org/registries/failover/icann-registry failover-plan 15jul08.pdf and ICANN's draft registry practices recommendations at http://www.icann.org/en/registries/continuity/draft-plan-best-practices-20oct07.pdf.	-For reference, applicants should review the ICANN gTLD Registry Continuity Plan at http://www.icann.org/en/registries/continuity/gtld-registry-continuity-plan-25apr09-en.pdf.	0-2	Complete answer demonstrates: (1) detailed description showing plans for compliance with registry continuity obligations; (2) a technical plan scope/scale that is consistent with the overall business approach business model and planned size of the registry; —and (3) a technical plan that is adequately resourced in the planned costs detailed in the financial section in the registry business plan.	2 - exceeds requirements: Response includes (1) Highly developed and detailed systems for maintaining registry continuity; (2) A high level of resiliency: and (3) Full interplay and consistency of technical and business requirements, and (4) Evidence of technical

				resources-are already on hand or committed.  1 - meets requirements: Response includes (1) Adequate level of detail to substantially demonstrate capability and knowledge required to meet this element; (2) Continuity plans are sufficient to result in compliance with requirements;; (3) Continuity plans are commensurate with overall business approach as described in the application business model; and:; (4) Demonstrates that technical resources required to carry through the plans for this element are readily available.  0 - fails requirements: Does not meet the requirements to score a 1.
404	Registry Transition: provide a plan that could be followed in the event that it becomes necessary to transition the proposed gTLD to a new operator, including a transition process. (Responses to this question will be kept confidential.)	0-2	Complete answer demonstrates: (1) complete knowledge and understanding of this aspect of registry technical requirements; (2) a technical plan scope/scale consistent with the overall business approachbusiness model and planned size of the registry; and (3) a technical plan that is	2 - exceeds requirements: Response includes (1) Evidence of highly developed registry transition plan including time required for transitions, feasibility analysis during transition,

					adequately resourced in the planned costs detailed in the financial section.in the registry business plan.	robust monitoring the pre- and post-delegation phases;-(2) A high level of resiliency; (3) Full interplay and consistency of technical and business requirements; and (4) A transition provider is already on hand. 1 - meets requirements: Response includes Adequate level of detail to substantially demonstrate
						capability and knowledge required to meet this element; (1) (2) Evidence of adequate registry transition plan with ad hoc monitoring during registry transition;
						(3) Transition plan is commensurate with the overall business approach as described in the application; business model; and (4) Resources for registry transition are fully
	4 <u>1</u> 5	Failover Testing: provide a description of the failover	0-2	-2	Complete answer demonstrates:	committed.  0 - fails requirements: Does not meet the requirements to score a 1. 2 - exceeds

testing plan, including mandatory annual testing of the plan. Examples may include a description of plans to test failover of data centers or operations to alternate sites, from a hot to a cold facility, or registry data escrow testing, or continuity testing with other gTLD registries and ICANN.	(1) complete knowledge and understanding of this aspect of registry technical requirements; (2) a technical plan scope/scale consistent with the overall business approachbusiness model and planned size of the registry; and (3) a technical plan that is adequately resourced in the planned costs detailed in the financial section. in the registry business plan.	requirements: Response includes (1) Evidence of highly developed and detailed failover testing plan, including periodic testing, robust monitoring, review, and analysis; and (2) —A high level of resiliency; (3) Full interplay and consistency of technical and business requirements; and (4) Evidence of technical resources for failover testing are already on hand or fully committed.
		1 - meets requirements: Response includes (1) Adequate level of detail to substantially demonstrate capability and knowledge required to meet this element; -(2) Evidence of adequate failover testing plan with ad hoc review and analysis of failover testing results. (3) Failover testing plan is commensurate with the overall business approach as described in the application business model; and

					(4) Demonstrates that technical resources required to carry through the plans for this element are readily available.  0 - fails requirements:  Does not meet the requirements to score a 1.
	42 6	Monitoring and Fault Escalation Processes: provide a description of the proposed (or actual) arrangements for monitoring critical registry systems (including SRS, database systems, DNS servers, publicly available registration data (Whois) service, policy network connectivity, routers and firewalls). This description should explain how these systems are monitored and the mechanisms that will be used for fault escalation and reporting, and should provide details of the proposed support arrangements for these registry systems.  Applicant will describe monitoring and communication mechanisms to registrars for detecting and signaling registry entries resulting in DNS response sizes exceeding the common 512-byte threshold and the RFC-3226-mandated 1220-byte threshold once DNSSEC support is provided.	0-2	Complete answer demonstrates: (1) complete knowledge and understanding of this aspect of registry technical requirements; (2) a technical plan scope/scale that is consistent with the overall business approach business model and planned size of the registry; (3) a technical plan that is adequately resourced in the planned costs detailed in the financial section in the registry business plan; and (4) consistency with the commitments made to registrants regarding system maintenance.	2 - exceeds requirements: Response includes (1) Evidence showing highly developed and detailed fault tolerance/monitoring and redundant systems deployed with real-time monitoring tools / dashboard (metrics) deployed and reviewed regularly; (2) A high level of resiliency; (3) Full interplay and consistency of technical and business requirements, and (3) Evidence of tTechnical resources for monitoring and fault escalation are already on hand or fully committed. 1 - meets requirements: Response includes (1) Adequate level of detail to substantially

		demonstrate capability and knowledge required to meet this element; (2) Evidence showing adequate fault tolerance/monitoring systems planned with ad hoc monitoring and limited periodic review being performed; ;-(3) Plans are commensurate with overall business approach;business model; ; and (4) Demonstrates that
		technical resources
		required to carry through the plans for this element
		are readily available.
		0 - fails requirements:
		Does not meet the
		requirements to score 1

DNSSEC is an optional service. Absence of DNSSEC is an optional service. Absence of DNSSEC as a registry service at the time of launch, dDescribe the policies and procedures the proposed registry will follow, for example, for signing the zone file, for verifying and accepting DS records from child domains, and how keying material will be securely exchanged and stored. Describe how the DNSSEC implementation of DNSSEC will be a requirement for all gTLDs within a five year timeframe.  DNSSEC is an optional service. Absence of DNSSEC will not detract from an applicant's score. However, ICANN expects that implementation of DNSSEC will be a requirement for all gTLDs within a five year timeframe.  DNSSEC implementation of DNSSEC will be a requirement for all gTLDs within a five year timeframe.  DNSSEC implementation of DNSSEC will be a requirement for all gTLDs within a five year timeframe.  DNSSEC implementation of DNSSEC will be a requirement for all gTLDs within a five year timeframe.  DNSSEC implementation of DNSSEC will be a requirements: includes (1) complete knowledge and understanding of this aspect of registry technical requirements; (2) a technical plan scope/scale that is consistent with the overall business approach business model and planned size of the registry; and (2) Key management includes (1) Evidence or developed and policies and procedures for optional service. Complete answer demonstrates: (1) complete knowledge and understanding of this aspect of registry technical requirements; (2) a technical plan scope/scale that is consistent with the overall business approach business model and planned size of the registry; and (2) Key management includes (1) Evidence or developed and policies and procedures for optional service. The procedure from an applicant's score. However, ICANN expects that implementation of DNSSEC will be a requirements: (2) a technical plan that is consistent with the overall business approach business approach business approach business approach business approach with procedure fo
--

		provides a high level of resiliency; (32) Technical plan is commensurate with the overall business approach as described in the application; business model; and (4) Demonstrates that technical resources required to carry through the plans for this element are readily available.
		O - fails requirements:  Does not meet the requirements to score 1

448	OPTIONAL.  IDNs: state whether the proposed registry will support the registration of IDN labels in the TLD, and if so, how. For example, explain which characters will be supported, and the associated IDN Table with variants identified along with a corresponding registration policy. This includes -public interfaces to the databases such as Whois and EPP. Describe how the IDN implementation will comply with RFCs 3454, 3490, 3491, and 3743 as well as the ICANN IDN Guidelines at <a href="http://www.icann.org/en/topics/idn/implementation-guidelines.htm">http://www.icann.org/en/topics/idn/implementation-guidelines.htm</a> .	IDNs are an optional service at time of launch. Absence of IDN implementation or plans will not detract from an applicant's score. Applicants who respond to this question with plans for implementation of IDNs at time of launch will be scored according to the criteria indicated here.	0-2	IDNs are an optional service. Complete answer demonstrates: (1) complete knowledge and understanding of this aspect of registry technical requirements; (2) a technical plan that is adequately resourced in the planned costs detailed in the financial section in the registry business plan; (3) consistency with the commitments made to registrants in the purpose of the registration and registry services descriptions; and (4) issues regarding use of scripts are settled and IDN tables are complete and publicly available.posted.	2 - exceeds requirements: Response includes (1) Evidence of highly developed and detailed procedures for IDNs, including complete IDN tables, compliance with IDNA/IDN guidelines and RFCs, periodic monitoring of IDN operations; (2) Evidence of ability to resolve rendering and known IDN issues or IDN spoofing attacks; (3) Full interplay and consistency of technical and business requirements; and (4) Evidence of tTechnical resources are already on hand or committed; and
					1 - meets requirements: Response includes (1) Adequate level of detail to substantially demonstrate capability and knowledge required to meet this element; (2) Evidence of adequate implementation plans for IDNs in compliance with IDN/IDNA guidelines; (3) IDN plans are consistent with the overall business approach as

						described in the application business model; and  (4) Demonstrates that technical resources required to carry through the plans for this element are readily available.  0 - fails requirements:  Does not meet the requirements to score a 1.
Demonstration of Financial Capability	4 <u>5</u> 9	Financial Statements: provide audited or certified financial statements for the most recently completed fiscal year for the applicant, and unaudited financial statements for the most recently ended interim financial period for the applicant. For newly-formed applicants, provide the latest available financial statements. (Responses to this question will be kept confidential.)  Financial statements are used in the analysis of projections and costs.  (Responses to this question will be kept confidential.)	-The questions in this section (45-50) are intended to give applicants an opportunity to demonstrate their financial capabilities to run a registry.	0- <u>1</u> 2	Audited or certified financial statements are prepared in accordance with IFRS (International Financial Reporting Standards) adopted by the IASB (International Accounting Standards Board) or U.S. GAAP (Generally Accepted Accounting Principles). This will include a balance sheet and income statement reflecting the applicant's financial position and results of operations. In the event the applicant is a newly formed entity for the purposes of applying for a gTLD and without an operating history, the applicant must submit pro forma financial statements reflecting the entity's projected capitalization for	2 - completely meets requirements: (1) Complete and authenticated statements are provided as well as (2) unaudited statements for most recent period.  1 - minimally meets requirements: Complete audited or certified financial statements are provided, at the highest level available in the applicant's jurisdiction. Where such financial statements are not available, the applicant has provided an explanation and has provided, at a minimum, unaudited financial statements.For applicants where audited statements are not available, pro forma financial statements

			the registry operator. Funding in this latter case must be verifiable as a true and accurate reflection and cannot include prospective funding. Where audited or certified statements are not available, applicant has provided adequate explanation as to practices in its jurisdiction and has provided, at a minimum, unaudited financial statements.	reflecting the entity's projected capitalization for the registry operator are provided.  0 - fails requirements:  Does not meet the requirements to score 1-or 2. For example, entity with an operating history fails to provide audited or certified statements.
<u>46</u> 5 θ	Projections Template: provide financial projections for costs and funding using Template 1 (attached) for the most likely scenario the attached template. The start up period is the time from submission of the application to delegation of the TLD.  The template is intended to provide commonality among TLD applications and thereby facilitate the evaluation process. Include explanations for any significant variances between years (or expected in years beyond the timeframe of the template) in any category of costing or funding. Describe the basis for the numbers provided, including studies, reference data, or other steps taken to develop the responses and validate any assumptions made.  (Responses to this question will be kept confidential.)	0-2	Applicant has provided a thorough model that demonstrates a sustainable business (even if breakeven is not achieved through the first three years of operation).  Applicant's description of projections development is sufficient to show due diligence and basis for projections.	2 - exceeds requirements:  (1) Model is described in sufficient detail to be determined as a conservative balance of cost, funding and risk-by an expert in registry operations. I, ie., funding and costs are highly consistent and are representative of a robust on-going concern, and  (2) Anticipated ranges in revenue and cost are explained in detail. All operations are funded even at negative ends of expected ranges; and  (3) -Lead-up work done in developing projections is

			described fully and indicates a sound basis for
			numbers provided.
٠			1 - meets requirements:
			(1) Demonstrates
			resources and plan for-a
1			sustainable
ļ			operations; business.
			(2) Most important,
			financial assumptions about
			the registry services,
1			funding and market are identified:
Į			(3) Financial estimates are
ı			defensible:, and
ļ			(4) Model is described in
			sufficient detail to be
			determined as a
			reasonable balance of cost,
			funding and risk,k by an
			expert in registry
			ioperations. I.e., funding
			and costs are consistent
			and are representative of
			an on-going concern.
			0 - fails requirements:
			Does not meet the
			requirements to score a 1.

			(2) Estimated costs are consistent and (3) defensible with an operation of the registry volume/scope/size as described by the applicant. 0 - fails requirements: Does not meet the requirements to score a 1.
	(b) Describe anticipated ranges in projected costs. Describe factors that affect those ranges.  (Responses to this question will be kept confidential.)		

(a) Funding and Revenue: Funding can be 2 - exceeds requirements: Funding resources are 0-2 derived from several sources (e.g., existing clearly identified and (1) Existing funds are capital or proceeds/revenue from operation of adequately provide for quantified, segregated and earmarked for registry the proposed registry). For each source (as registry cost projections. applicable), describe: I) How existing funds Sources of capital funding operations; will provide resources for both: 1) start-up of (2) If on-going operations are clearly identified, held operations, and 2) ongoing operations, II) a apart from other potential are to be resourced from description of the revenue model including uses of those funds and existing funds (rather than available. The plan for projections for transaction volumes (if the revenue from on-going applicant does not intend to rely on registration transition of funding sources operations) that funding is revenue in order to cover the costs of the from available capital to segregated and earmarked registry's operation, it must clarify how the revenue from operations (if for this purpose only in an funding for the operation will be developed and applicable) is described. amount adequate for three maintained in a stable and sustainable Outside sources of funding vears operation: manner), III) outside sources of funding, the are documented and verified (3) Revenues are clearly applicant must (where applicable) provide and must not include tied to projected business evidence of the commitment by the party prospective sources of volumes, market size and committing the funds. funds. Sources of capital penetration; and (Responses to this question will be kept funding required to sustain (4) Assumptions made are confidential.) registry operations on an onregarded as conservative going basis are identified. by industry experts. The projected revenues are 1 - meets requirements: (1) Existing funds are consistent with the size and projected penetration of the quantified, identified as target markets. available and budgeted;-(2) If on-going operations are to be resourced from existing funds (rather than revenue from on-going operations) that funding is quantified and its sources identified in an amount adequate for three years operation: (3) Revenues are directly related to projected business volumes, market

					size and penetration; and (4) Assumptions made are regarded as reasonable by industry expert. <b>0 - fails requirements:</b> Does not meet the requirements to score a 1.
		(b) Describe anticipated ranges in projected funding and revenue. Describe factors that affect those ranges. (Responses to this question will be kept confidential.)			
	495	(a) Contingency Planning: describe your contingency planning: identify any projected barriers to implementation of your business plan and how they affect cost, funding or timeline in your planning. E.g., have you identified any particular regulation, law or policy that might impact the Registry Services offering? (Responses to this question will be kept confidential.)	0-2	Contingencies and risks are identified and included in the cost and funding analyses. Action plans are identified in the event contingencies occur. The model is resilient in the event those contingencies occur. Responses address the probability and resource impact of the contingencies identified.	2 - exceeds requirements: (1) Model identifies thoroughly the key risks and the chances that each will occur: operational, business, legal, and other outside risks; and- (2) Action plans and operations adequately resourced in the existing funding and revenue plan even if contingencies occur. 1 - meets requirements: (1) Model identifies the key risks with sufficient detail to be understood by a business person with
					experience in this area;- (2) Response gives some consideration to probability of contingencies identified; and- (3) If resources are not available to fund

			contingencies in the existing plan, funding sources and a plan for obtaining them are identified.  0 - fails requirements:  Does not meet the requirements to score a 1.
	(b) Describe your contingency planning where funding sources so significantly under run your business plan that material deviations from your implementation model are required. In particular, how will on-going technical requirements be met? Complete a financial projections template (Template 2) for the worst case scenario. (Responses to this question will be kept confidential.)		
	(c) Describe your contingency planning where activity volumes so significantly exceed the high projections that material deviation from your implementation model are required. In particular, how will on-going technical requirements be met? (Responses to this question will be kept confidential.)		

Continuity: Registrant protection is critical and
thus New gTLD applicants are requested to
provide evidence indicating that critical
functions will continue to be performed even if
the applicant's business suffers or funding is
not sustained. First, costs for maintaining
critical registrant protection functions are to be
estimated. Second, methods of securing the
funds required to perform those functions for
three to five years are to be described by the
applicant.

<u>50</u> <del>54</del>

- 1. (Responses to this question will be kept confidential.)(a) Provide a cost estimate for funding basic registry operations on an annual basis. The basic functions of a registry which must be supported even if an applicant's business and/or funding fails are:
  - a) Maintenance of TLD

     nameservers and DNS for
     registered domain names;
  - b) Operation of the Shared Registration System;
  - c) Provision of Whois service
  - d) Maintenance of registrar billing and accounting processes;
  - e) Maintenance of data security processes and regular escrow deposits:
  - f) Maintenance of IDN Tables (if

- 1. Applicants are requested to provide cost estimates for funding basic operations on an annual basis. The basic functions of a registry which must be supported even if an applicant's business and/or funding fails include:
  - Maintenance of nameservers and DNS for registered domain names
  - b. Shared Registration System
  - c. Whois service
  - d. Registrar billing and accounting
  - e. Data security and data escrow
  - f. IDN Tables (if IDNs are offered by the registry)
  - g. DNSSEC Keys (if DNSSEC is offered by the registry)

These are identified as critical functions of a registry in the gTLD Registry Continuity Plan; see http://www.icann.org/en/registries/continuity/

- http://www.icann.org/en/registries/continuity/for more information.
- 2. Applicants must provide evidence of how the funds required for performing these basic functions are ensured, so as to protect registrants. Evidence can be in the form of financial instruments or contracts such as:
  - Contracting with Other
     Registries: A contract can be
     entered into whereby a more
     established and secure

Figures provided are based on an accurate estimate of costs. Documented evidence or detailed plan for ability to fund on-going basic registry operations for registrants for a period of three to five years in the event of registry failure. default or until a successor operator can be designated. Evidence of financial wherewithal to fund this requirement prior to delegation. This requirement must be met prior to or concurrent with the execution of the registry agreement. delegation of the registry.

# 3 - exceeds requirements:

(1) Costs are commensurate with technical plans and overall business approach as described in the application; and

(2) Financial instrument is secured and; formal agreement is made and fully funded with back-up services provider; or other financing and arrangements are in place to provide for on-going operations for at least threeof five years in the event of failure.

## 2 - exceeds requirements:

Financial instrument is secured; formal agreement is made and fully funded with back-up services provider; or other financing and arrangements are in place to provide for ongoing operations of three years in the event of failure.

### 1 - meets requirements:

(1) Costs are
commensurate with
technical plans and overall
business approach as
described in the
application; and
Separate f(2) Funding is

	IDNs are offered); and g) Provision of DNSSEC in accordance with technical requirements, including storage of key information.  List the estimated annual cost for each of these functions (specify currency used).	Registry agrees to operate the applicant's Registry should a future need arise  Restricted Cash: Cash held by a third party trustee or held in an account with specific restrictions  Other collateral: Assets such as stocks, bonds, negotiable paper, or real estate pledged  Third Party Guaranty: A financially secure organization provides a guaranty for the applicant or pledges assets  Letters of credit (LOC), bonds: Standby Letters of Credit, irrevocable letters of credit, performance bonds, surety bonds are financial instruments issued by a bank to ensure payments are made if the applicant fails. The forms, language, and institution backing an LOC determine its strength as collateral.  Sinking Fund: Funds are set aside, over time, by the Registry building up to an amount sufficient to cover the potential obligation.  Peoled sinking fund: Applicants may be able to pool together their risks and pay into a collective sinking fund to cover any registry that may fail	identified and instrument is described to provide for ongoing operations of at least three years in the event of failure.  0 - fails requirements: Does not meet the requirements to score a 1.
--	---	--	---

	belonging to the pool
	Registrant protection is critical and thus new
	gTLD applicants are requested to provide
	evidence indicating that critical functions will
	continue to be performed even if the registry
	fails. Registrant needs are best protected by
	a clear demonstration that the basic registry
	functions are sustained for an extended
	period even in the face of registry failure.
	Therefore, this section is weighted heavily as
	a clear, objective measure to protect and
	serve registrants.
	The applicant has two tasks associated with
	adequately making this demonstration of
	continuity for basic registry functions. First,
	costs for maintaining critical registrant
	protection functions are to be estimated
	(Part a). In evaluating the application, the
	evaluators will adjudge whether the estimate
	is reasonable given the systems architecture
	and overall business approach described
	elsewhere in the application.
	Second (Part b), methods of securing the
	funds required to perform those functions for
	three to five years following the termination
	of the registry agreement are to be
	described by the applicant in accordance
	with the criteria below. Two types of
	instruments will fulfill this requirement. The
	applicant must identify which of the two
ı	

		methods is being described. The instrument is required to be in place at the time of the execution of the registry agreement.		

(b)Applicants must provide evidence as to how the funds required for performing these basic registry functions will be available and guaranteed to fund registry operations (for the protection of registrants in the new gTLD) for a minimum of three years following the termination of the registry agreement. ICANN has identified two methods to fulfill this requirement:		
a) Irrevocable standby letter of credit (LOC) issued by a reputable financial institution.		
<ul> <li>The amount of the LOC must be equal to or greater than the amount required to fund the basic registry operations specified above for at least three years following the termination of the registry agreement. In the event of a draw upon the letter of credit, the actual payout would be tied to the cost of running those functions.</li> <li>The LOC must name ICANN or its designee as the beneficiary. Any funds paid out would be provided to the designee who is operating the required registry functions.</li> <li>The LOC must have a term of at least five years from the delegation of the TLD. The LOC</li> </ul>		

	may be structured with an annual		
	expiration date if it contains an		
	evergreen provision providing for		
	annual extensions, without		
	amendment, for an indefinite		
	number of periods until the		
	issuing bank informs the		
	beneficiary of its final expiration		
	or until the beneficiary releases		
	the LOC as evidenced in writing.		
	If the expiration date occurs prior		
	to the fifth anniversary of the		
	delegation of the TLD, applicant		
	will be required to obtain a		
	replacement instrument.		
<u>•</u>	1110 200 111000 10000 0 0 0		
	reputable financial institution		
	insured at the highest level in its		
	jurisdiction. This may include a		
	bank or insurance company with		
	a strong international reputation		
	that has a strong credit rating		
	issued by a third party rating		
	agency such as Standard &		
	Poor's (AA or above), Moody's		
	(Aa or above), or A.M. Best (A-X		
	or above).		
•	The LOC will provide that ICANN		
	or its designee shall be		
	unconditionally entitled to a		
	release of funds (full or partial)		
	thereunder upon delivery of		
	written notice by ICANN or its		
	designee of the termination of		
	the registry agreement for the		
	TLD.		
	TED.		

Applicant should attach an	
original copy of the executed	
letter of credit or a draft of the	
letter of credit containing the full	
terms and conditions. If not yet	
executed, the Applicant will be	
required to provide ICANN with	
an original copy of the executed	
LOC prior to or concurrent with	
the execution of the registry	
agreement.	
The LOC must contain at least	
the following required elements:	
o Issuing bank and date	
<u>of issue.</u>	
O Beneficiary: ICANN /	
4676 Admiralty Way,	
Suite 330 / Marina del	
Rey, CA 90292 / US, or	
its designee.	
O Applicant's complete	
name and address.	
number.	
<ul> <li>Exact amount in USD.</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Expiry date.</li> </ul>	
<u>Address, procedure,</u>	
and required forms	
whereby presentation	
for payment is to be	
made.	
<u>Conditions:</u>	
<u>○ Conditions.</u> ■ Partial	
drawings from	
the letter of	
<u>credit may be</u>	

made provided	
that such	
payment shall	
reduce the	
encount under	
amount under	
the standby	
letter of credit.	
<ul> <li>All payments</li> </ul>	
must be	
marked with	
the issuing	
<u>bank name</u>	
and the bank's	
and the bank's	
standby letter	
of credit	
number.	
<ul> <li>LOC may not</li> </ul>	
be modified,	
amended, or	
amplified by	
reference to	
<u>letetetive to</u>	
any other	
<u>document,</u>	
agreement, or	
<u>instrument.</u>	
<ul> <li>The LOC is</li> </ul>	
subject to the	
<u>International</u>	
<u>Standby</u>	
Drootions (ICD	
<u>Practices (ISP</u>	
Practices (ISP 98)	
<u>International</u>	
<u>Chamber of</u>	
Commerce	
(Publication	
<u>No. 590).</u>	
<u>110. 000).</u>	

A deposit into an irrevocable
cash escrow account held by a
reputable financial institution.
The amount of the deposit must
be equal to or greater than the
amount required to fund registry
operations for at least three
vears.
Cash is to be held by a third
party financial institution which
will not allow the funds to be
commingled with the Applicant's
operating funds or other funds
and may only be accessed by
ICANN or its designee if certain
conditions are met.
The account must be held by a
reputable financial institution
insured at the highest level in its
jurisdiction. This may include a
bank or insurance company with
a strong international reputation
that has a strong credit rating
issued by a third party rating
agency such as Standard &
Poor's (AA or above), Moody's
(Aa or above), or A.M. Best (A-X
or above).
The escrow agreement relating
to the escrow account will
provide that ICANN or its
designee shall be unconditionally

entitled to a release of funds (full
or partial) thereunder upon
delivery of written notice by
ICANN or its designee of the
termination of the registry
agreement for the TLD.
The escrow agreement must
have a term of five years from
the delegation of the TLD.
The funds in the deposit escrow
account are not considered to be
an asset of ICANN.
<ul> <li>Any interest earnings less bank</li> </ul>
fees are to accrue to the deposit.
and will be paid back to the
applicant upon liquidation of the
account to the extent not used to
pay the costs and expenses of
maintaining the escrow.
The deposit plus accrued
interest, less any bank fees in
respect of the escrow, is to be
returned to the applicant if the
funds are not used to fund
registry operations due to a
triggering event or after five
years, whichever is greater.
<del></del>
provide ICANN an explanation
as to the amount of the deposit,
the institution that will hold the
deposit, and the escrow
agreement for the account at the
time of submitting an application.
Applicant should attach evidence
of deposited funds in the escrow

account, or evidence of provisional arrangement for deposit of funds. Evidence of deposited funds and terms of escrow agreement must be provided to ICANN prior to or concurrent with the execution of the registry agreement.		

#### TLD Applicant -- Financial Projections : Instructions Start-up Costs Year 1 Year 2 Year 3 Revenue 62.000 80.600 104.780 (A) Forecasted registration (B) Registration fee 5.00 \$ 6.00 \$ 7.00 (A\*B) Registration revenue 310,000 483,600 733,460 Other revenue / funding 35,000 48,000 62,000 **Total Revenue** 345,000 531,600 795,460 Cost Labor: 72,000 **Marketing Labor** 25.000 66.000 81.000 **Customer Support Labor** 71,000 74,000 5,000 68,000 Technical Labor 47.000 49.000 32.000 45.000 Marketing 40,000 44,000 26,400 31,680 **General Instructions Facilities** 7,000 10,000 12,000 14,400 **General & Administrative** 14.000 112.000 122.500 136,000 **Interest and Taxes** 2,500 4,000 4,800 5,760 1,800 2,400 2,880 3,456 Equipment Other Costs 12,200 18,000 21,600 25,920 **Total Costs** 139,500 369,400 380,180 421,216 Net Operation (Revenues less Costs) (139,500)(24,400)151,420 374,244 **Capital Expenditures** For each Projection prepared, please include Comments and Notes on the bottom of the Hardware 98,000 21,000 16,000 58,000 Software 32,000 18,000 24,000 11,000 Furniture & Equipment 43,000 22,000 14,000 16,000 year-to-year; 173,000 61,000 54,000 85,000 2) How you plan to fund operations; 3) Contingency Plannin (312,500) (85,400) 97,420 289,244 **Cash Requirements** General Comments (Notes Regarding Assumptions Used, Significant Variances Between Years, etc.): Comments regarding how the Applicant plans to Fund operations:

Include Comments that will assist those reviewing this projection understand your business model and any expected trends or variations from the business model.

#### Comments / Notes

The Start-up Period is for Costs and Capital Expenditures only; there should be no revenue projections input to this column

Marketing Costs represent the amount spent on advertising, promotions, and other marketing activity. This amount should not include Labor Costs which is included in "Marketing Labor" above.

The application process requires the applicant to submit two Financial Projections

The first projection (Template 1) should show the revenues and costs associated with the Most Likely scenario expected. This projection should include the number of registrations, the registration fee, and all costs and capital expenditures expected during the start-up period and during the first three years of operations. Template 1 relates to Question 46 (Projections Template) in the application.

We also ask applicants to show as a separate projection (Template 2) the revenues and costs associated with a realistic Worst Case Scenario assuming that the registry does not succeed. Template 2 relates to Question 49 (Contigency Planning) in the application

projection (in the area provided) to provide those reviewing these projections with information

otions Used, Significant Variances in Revenues, Costs, and Capital Expenditures from

Include explanations for any significant variances between years (or expected in years eyond the timeframe of the template) in any category of costing or funding.

Include comments here regarding how you will fund operations. Funding can be derived from several sources (e.g., existing capital or proceeds/revenue from operation of the proposed registry). For each source (as applicable), describe: I) How existing funds will provide resources for both: 1) initial start-up of operations, and 2) ongoing operations, II) a description of the revenue model including projections for transaction volumes (if the applicant does not intend to rely on registration revenue in order to cover the costs of the registry's operation, it must clarify how the funding for the operation will be developed and maintained in a stable and sustainable manner), III) outside sources of funding, the applicant must (where applicable) provide evidence of the commitment by the party committing the funds.

**General Comments regarding contingencies:** 

Include commentary here to describe your contingency planning: identify any projected barriers to implementation of your business plan and how they affect cost, funding or timeline in your planning. E.g., have you identified any particular regulation, law or policy that might impact the Registry Services offering? Describe your contingency planning where funding sources so significantly under run your business plan that material deviations from your implementation model are required. In particular, how will on-going technical requirements be met? Describe your contingency planning where activity volumes so significantly exceed the high projections that material deviation from your implementation odel are required. In particular, how will on-going technical requirements be met?

Template 1: Fina	ancial Projecti	ons: Most L	ikely Scenario		
	Start-up Costs	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Comments / Notes
Revenue (A) Forecasted registration		<u></u>	_ <del></del>	<u></u>	<u></u>
(B) Registration fee					
(A*B) Registration revenue Other revenue / funding	-	-	-	-	
Total Revenue	-	-	-	<del>-</del>	
Cost Labor:					
Marketing Labor Customer Support Labor					
Technical Labor Marketing					
Facilities General & Administrative					
Interest and Taxes Equipment					
Other Costs  Total Costs		-		_	
Net Operation (Revenues less Costs)					
			<u> </u>		
Capital Expenditures Hardware					
Software Furniture & Equipment					
	-	-	-	-	
Cash Requirements	-	-	-	-	
General Comments (Notes Regarding	g Assumptions Used. S	ignificant Variance	s Between Years, etc	λ:	
,	,			7	
Comments regarding how the Applic	ant plans to Fund ope	rations:			
General Comments regarding contin	gencies:				
comments regarding contain	g				

Template 2: Fina	inciai Projecti	ons: worst C	ase Scenario		
Revenue	Start-up Costs	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Comments / Notes
(A) Forecasted registration (B) Registration fee (A*B) Registration revenue	-	-	-	-	
Other revenue / funding Total Revenue	-	-	-	-	
Cost Labor: Marketing Labor Customer Support Labor Technical Labor Marketing Facilities General & Administrative Interest and Taxes Equipment Other Costs					
Total Costs_	-	-	-	<del>-</del>	
Net Operation (Revenues less Costs) =	<u> </u>	<del>-</del>	-	-	
Capital Expenditures Hardware Software Furniture & Equipment			-		
Cash Requirements				-	
General Comments (Notes Regarding A	Assumptions Used, Sig	nificant Variances B	etween Years, etc.):		
Comments regarding how the Applica	nt plans to Fund opera	itions:			
General Comments regarding continge	encies:				

#### TLD Applicant -- Financial Projections: Sample

	Start-up Costs	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
Revenue				
(A) Forecasted registration	-	62,000	80,600	104,780
( ,		,,,,,,	,	,
(B) Registration fee	\$ - \$	5.00	\$ 6.00	\$ 7.00
(A*B) Registration revenue	-	310,000	483,600	733,460
Other revenue / funding	-	35,000	48,000	62,000
Total Revenue	-	345,000	531,600	795,460
Cost				
Labor:				
Marketing Labor	25,000	66,000	72,000	81,000
Customer Support Labor	5,000	68,000	71,000	74,000
Technical Labor	32,000	45,000	47,000	49,000
Marketing	40,000	44,000	26,400	31,680
Facilities	7,000	10,000	12,000	14,400
General & Administrative	14,000	112,000	122,500	136,000
Interest and Taxes	2,500	4,000	4,800	5,760
Equipment	1,800	2,400	2,880	3,456
Other Costs	12,200	18,000	21,600	25,920
Total Costs	139,500	369,400	380,180	421,216
Net Operation (Revenues less Costs)	(139,500)	(24,400)	151,420	374,244
Capital Expenditures				
Hardware	98,000	21,000	16,000	58,000
Software	32,000	18,000	24,000	11,000
Furniture & Equipment	43,000	22,000	14,000	16,000
	173,000	61,000	54,000	85,000
Cash Requirements	(312,500)	(85,400)	97,420	289,24

#### General Comments (Notes Regarding Assumptions Used, Significant Variances Between Years, etc.):

We expect the number of registrations to grow at approximately 30% per year with an increase in the registration fee of \$1 per year for the first three years. We anticipate our costs will increase at a controlled pace over the first three years except for Marketing costs which will be higher in the start-up and first year as we establish our brand name and work to increase registrations. Our capital expenditures will be greatest in the start-up phase and then our need to invest in computer hardware and software will level off after the start-up period. Our investment in Furniture and Equipment will be greatest in the start-up period as be build our infrastructure and then decrease in the following periods.

## Comments regarding how the Applicant plans to Fund operations:

We have recently negotiated a line of credit with XYZ Bank (a copy of the fully executed line of credit agreement has been included with our application) and this funding will allow us to purchase necessary Equipment and pay for employees and other Operating Costs during our start-up period and the first few years of operations. We expect that our business model will be self funded (i.e., revenue from operations will cover all anticipated costs and capital expenditures) by the second half of our second year in operation; we also expect to become profitable with positive cash flow in year three.

#### General Comments regarding contingencies:

Although we expect to be cash flow positive by the end of year 2, the recently negotiated line of credit will cover our operating costs for the first 4 years of operation if necessary. We have also entered into an agreement with XYZ Co. to assume our registrants should our business model not have the ability to sustain itself in future years. Agreement with XYZ Co. has been included with our application.

#### Comments / Notes

Registration was forecasted based on recent market surveys.

We do not anticipate significant increases in Registration Fees subsequent to year  ${\bf 3}$  .

Other revenues represent Advertising Revenue from display ads on our website.

Assumption is that Computer Equipment has a three year useful life and then must be replaced.