

NCAP Discussion Group

Meeting #98

9 November 2022 at 20:00 – 21:00 UTC

Meeting wiki: <https://community.icann.org/x/Yok-DQ>

Discussion Group Members Matthew Thomas, Anne Aikman-Scalese, Barry Leiba, Geoff Huston, Jaap Akkerhuis, Jeff Schmidt, Suzanne Woolf, Thomas Barrett, Warren Kumari, Rod Rasmussen	Observers None
Apologies Julie Hammer, James Galvin, Hadia Abdelsalam Mokhtar EL miniawi	ICANN Org Kinga Kowalczyk, Jennifer Bryce, Matt Larson, Kathy Schnitt
	Contractor Support Heather Flanagan, Casey Deccio

These high-level notes are designed to help NCAP Discussion Group members navigate through the content of the call. They are not meant to be a substitute for the recording or transcript accessed via this link: <https://icann.zoom.us/rec/share/OcQXGeol1P-7vJAinNLWjMjk4y0X2rSW1hKxgS2EAX1PgOYmA5iO7fse5uLX4K4.tR9Syp08D-HF8lsX>

1. Welcome, roll call - Matt

See attendance record above. Jennifer noted that Betty Fausta has joined the NCAP Discussion Group (previously an observer). SOIs for all DG members are on the wiki [here](#).

2. Review and Path Forward for Study 2 (including timeline update)

New Co-Chair: Per Rod's [email](#) to the Discussion Group list, Suzanne Woolf has offered and agreed to take on the role of Co-Chair, given that Jim has stepped down.

Timeline Update: Jennifer presented a high-level revised timeline to complete the NCAP Discussion Group work between now and end of June 2023. A more detailed timeline will be developed with the Co-Chairs and Heather and the group will be kept apprised of this work.

Path Forward for Study 2: Matt noted three parallel tasks that the Discussion Group should focus on to complete the Study 2 work:

- Board questions: Matt intends to share a draft of these questions by the end of the year, with the goal of reaching consensus on these questions. For now, the questions have been taken out of the Study 2 report and are in a standalone document (Matt will share the link). He also noted that:
 - Some of the questions scoped for Study 3 around mitigation could potentially be addressed.
 - Name collision definition and scope correction: Matt recapped the [email](#) he sent to the list on this matter. The group agreed to make the change and this action item is considered resolved.
- Study 2 document:

- The new material that Casey presented during the last Discussion Group meeting will be included in the report.
- Casey is developing a document designed to put some of the issues raised in previous discussions into context. This document is not for publication, only an aid for the group. He's also preparing a communication which will provide his perspective on things based on his evaluation of the root cause analysis data.
- Recommendations within the Study 2 document, including the workflow: Heather (working together with Jeff) has created a virtual whiteboard to list out the findings from NCAP, and the JAS report conclusions, to map areas where there is alignment and areas where it looks like the group may not come to consensus at all based on discussions to date. The goal is that in the final product of this discussion group, in areas where the group does not agree, there is a description of different paths that could be taken.

Next meeting:

- Plan is to look at Board questions 7 and 8. Matt will send a link to the list with the latest Board questions.
- Jeff also intends to have his write-up on the "repeat 2012 round" items for discussion with the group.
- IPv6 v IPv4: Casey will share something ahead of the meeting for discussion.

March ICANN meeting: The group discussed having a public session at the ICANN meeting in March (non-plenary) to tie in with Public Comment and having a working group meeting.

3. AOB

- Anne noted that some coordination with ICANN org staff working on the Operational Design Assessment might be useful given they have a whole section on name collisions.
- Anne noted that IPv6 should be discussed in depth, given that a full discussion hasn't yet taken place, there has only been emails on the list. Matt noted that Casey is writing something up on this to be coming out shortly and hopefully for discussion next week.

4. Summary of action items and decisions

Decision: The Discussion Group agreed to make the changes Matt outlined in his [email](#) regarding the name collision definition and scope correction.

Action item: ICANN org to support planning of a public session and a working group meeting at the March ICANN meeting.

Action item: Matt to share the latest link to the Board questions document with the Discussion Group, for discussion of Board questions 7 and 8 during the next meeting.

Action item: Casey to share a write up on the IPv6 topic ahead of next week's call, for discussion during the next meeting.

Action item: Jeff to share a write up on his views for repeating the 2012 round approach ahead of next week's meeting, for discussion during the meeting.