# Registration Data Consensus Policy for gTLDs

Alan Greenberg

09 November 2022

### History

- EU GDPR Privacy protection. AND PENALTIES
- May 2018 Temporary Specification
- July 2018 EDPD on Temp Spec
- Feb. 2019 EPDP Phase 1 Report Issued
- May 2019 EDPD Phase 1 Recommendations Board approval
- Feb. 2020 Expected completion of policy formulation AND Contracted Part Implementation

#### **EPDP Recommendations**

- At-Large (and others) not happy with outcomes.
- But...

- Now we are looking at how the policies are being implemented
  - Not rehashing what we thought they should be.

#### **Overall Evaluation**

- The Implementation Review Team (IRT)
  - led by ICANN staff with community involvement
  - No formal ALAC/At-Large participation
  - A few RALO people participated
- Overall, implementation faithful to intent of recommendations

## Timing

- Original expectation that IRT (Policy Drafting) as well as Contracted Party Implementation complete by March 2020.
- Just reviewing draft policy now
- Proposed implementation date 4Q2024
  - TWO YEARS AWAY!
  - 6 ½ years from start of PDP!

#### **Urgent Disclosure Requests**

#### **Urgent Requests:**

- imminent threat to life, serious bodily injury, critical infrastructure, or child
- Critical infrastructure means the physical and cyber systems that are vital in that their incapacity or destruction would have a debilitating impact on economic security or public safety

#### • PDP Recommendation:

A separate timeline of [less than X business days] will considered for the response to 'Urgent' Reasonable Disclosure Requests, ... [time frame to be finalized and criteria set for Urgent requests during implementation] CPWG - 09 November 2022 Registration Data Consensus Policy for gTLDs

## **Urgent Request Timing**

- "Business Day" mentioned in Recommendation
- Set to TWO business days.
  - Could be 2, 3, 4, 5 calendar days!
  - Extendable to three business days if complex, or large number of requests received.
- RAA Abuse Response:
  - Well-founded reports of Illegal Activity submitted to these contacts must be <u>reviewed within 24 hours by an</u> <u>individual who is empowered by Registrar to take</u> <u>necessary and appropriate actions</u> in response to the report.

#### Thick Whois

- The EPDP requires that appropriate agreements are in place to allow Thick Whois.
- The Recommended change to the Thick WHOIS Policy effectively eliminates it!

As of [INSERT Registration Data Policy Effective Date] all requirements of this Policy have been superseded by the Registration Data Policy.