| | FY24 Planning Prioritization Process - *Worksheet 10132022* | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 2 | *PRE-FILLED Based on Review Final Report and / or Board Resolutions* | | | | | *ICANN Org Proposed Prioritization (as a starting point only)* | | | | *Community Planning Prioritization Group - UPDATE HERE* | | | |
| 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | **Activity Type** | **Activity Name** | **Activity Description** | **Board Adoption Date** | **Review Team Priority Determination** | **Level of Urgency** | **Level of Importance** | **Priority Level (calculated)** *P1 = highest priority* *P4 = lowest priority* | **Rationale of the Priority Level** | *Level of Urgency* | *Level of Importance* | *Priority Level (calculated) P1 = highest priority P4 = lowest priority* | **Rationale of the Priority Level** |
| 5 | GNSO Policy | EPDP Temporary Specification for gTLD Registration Data – Phase 2A | EPDP on Registration Data for dealing with the: 1. Differentiation between Legal vs. natural persons data 2. Feasibility of unique contacts to have a uniform anonymized email address | 10 Mar 2022 | n/a | Urgent | Important | P1 | Policy development and implementation is part of ICANN's core Mission per ICANN's Bylaws section 1.1.(a). As such org would like to prioritize the implementation of EPDP2A. | | | | |
| 6 | Specific Review | SSR2 Recommendation 5.4 | ICANN org should reach out to the community and beyond with clear reports demonstrating what ICANN org is doing and achieving in the security space. These reports would be most beneficial if they provided information describing how ICANN org follows best practices and mature, continually improving processes to manage risk, security, and vulnerabilities. | 1 May 2022 | High | Less Urgent | Less Important | P4 | ICANN has existing engagements with multiple firms for various auditing and evaluation efforts of ICANN's systems and processes to manage risk, security, and vulnerabilities. The implementation of this recommendation would be best to complete when those active contracts are renegotiated at the end of their current term. | | | | |
| 7 | ccNSO Policy | Policy Development Process (PDP) 3 - Retirement (Part 1) | ccNSO PDP3 - Retirement of ccTLDs (Part 1) developed policy recommendations for a Review Mechanism pertaining to decisions on delegation, transfer, revocation, and retirement of ccTLDs. | 22 Sep 2022 | n/a | Urgent | Important | P1 | The Retirement of ccTLDs is important because managing ccTLDs is mission critical and part of ICANN's strategic effort to maintain its stakeholder's trust that IANA is the proper home for enabling global interoperability through unique identifier coordination. The ccNSO Council initiated the 3rd ccNSO Policy Development Process (ccPDP3) in March 2017 so it is considered urgent. | | | | |
| 8 | CCWG | ICANN Grant Program | Community recommendation and proposals of disbursement of the 2012 New gTLD Program auction proceeds. | 12 June 2022 | n/a | Urgent | Important | P1 | Through this program, millions of dollars will be delivered to projects around the world to support the global interoperability, reliability, and security of the Internet's unique identifiers. This decision materializes the Board's long-standing promise to the Community that disbursement of the auction proceeds would be done in line with Community-developed proposals. | | | | |