

RFCs related to handling of variants

Andrew Sullivan

IDNA2008

- RFCs 5890, 5891, 5892, 5893 (and rationale in RFC 5894)
- Why relevant:
 - Obsoletes IDNA2003
 - Alters the characters available
 - Some backwards incompatibility (e.g. Greek final sigma, eszett vs ss)
 - Joiners are not mapped away

JET guidelines

- RFC 3743
- Original introduction of “variant” term into IETF parlance for this case
- Provides a full mechanism
- Does *not* provide recommendations for any given language, but aimed at “CJK”

JET “Generalized”

- RFC 4290
- Generalizes the basic approach of RFC 3743
- Describes a “CreateBundle” mechanism
- Recommends language-sensitive policies
 - This is ultimately very similar to recommendations in IDNA2008

Chinese guidelines

- RFC 4713
- Specific discussion of registration of Chinese domain names as adopted by CNNIC and TWNIC
- At root, an implementation of RFC 3743 with language-specific details

Cyrillic Guidelines

- RFC 5992
- A table-based approach similar to RFC 3743, but without the third column
- Divides the code space into Base Cyrillic, and then adds language-specific additional code points
- Seems implied (but not stated) that registration requests come with a language tag

Arabic Guidelines

- RFC 5564
- Raises a number of issues about ways Arabic characters ought and ought not to be used
- Not based on RFC 3743
- Not really registration guidelines as such, but more linguistic advice for how to build a policy