



Registration Data Consensus Policy for gTLDs Public Comment CPWG Update

Hadia El Miniawi
CPWG
31, August 2022

Agenda



1. Required inputs on the Public Comment
2. Part 1: The draft registration data consensus policy for gTLDs
3. Part 2: Updates to the policies and procedures that were impacted by the registration data consensus policy
4. ALAC previous comments
5. Asks from the CPWG
6. Questions?

Required inputs on the Public Comment



Input is required on:

1. The draft registration data consensus policy for gTLDs
2. Updates to the policies and procedures that were impacted by the registration data consensus policy



Part 1: The draft registration data consensus policy for gTLDs

- Section 1 of the Registration Data Consensus Policy
This section pertains to the Introduction to the Registration Data Consensus Policy
- Section 2 of the Registration Data Consensus Policy
This section pertains to the scope of the Registration Data Consensus Policy.
- Section 3 of the Registration Data Consensus Policy
This section pertains to the definitions and interpretations used within the Registration Data Consensus Policy.
- Section 4 of the Registration Data Consensus Policy
This section pertains to the date of when the Registration Data Consensus Policy will be required to be implemented by Contracted Parties.
- Section 5 of the Registration Data Consensus Policy
This section pertains to the Data Protection Agreements with the ICANN organization and Contracted Parties.

Part 1: The draft registration data consensus policy for gTLDs

- Section 6 of the Registration Data Consensus Policy
This section pertains to the Collection of Registration Data.
- Section 7 of the Registration Data Consensus Policy
This section pertains to the Transfer of Registration Data from Registrar to Registry Operator.
- Section 8 of the Registration Data Consensus Policy
This section pertains to the Transfer of Registration Data to Data Escrow Providers.
- Section 9 of the Registration Data Consensus Policy
This section pertains to the Publication of Domain Name Registration Data.
- Section 10 of the Registration Data Consensus Policy
This section pertains to Disclosure Requests.
- Section 11 of the Registration Data Consensus Policy
This section pertains to maintaining Log Files.

Part 1: The draft registration data consensus policy for gTLDs

- Section 12 of the Registration Data Consensus Policy
This section pertains to the Retention of Registration Data.
- Addendum I of the Registration Data Consensus Policy
This section pertains to the implementation of Whois (available via port 43) and web-based Whois directory services.
- Addendum II of the Registration Data Consensus Policy
This section pertains to the Registrant Organization Field.
- Implementation Notes of Registration Data Consensus Policy
Implementation notes are not considered policy requirements but are included to provide guidance on how to best implement the requirements described in sections 5 -12.
- Background Section of the Registration Data Consensus Policy
This section pertains to the general background of the Registration Data Consensus Policy.

Part 2: Updates to the policies and procedures that were impacted by the registration data consensus policy



EPDP-TempSpec Phase 1

- Recommendation 27:
The EPDP recommended that as part of the implementation of the EPDP phase 1 policy recommendations, updates are made to existing policies/procedures affected by the new policy to ensure consistent policy recommendations
- For example a number of policies refer to administrative and or technical contacts both of which were omitted

Polices requiring update include:

- [Registry Registration Data Directory Services Consistent Labeling and Display Policy](#)
- [Thick WHOIS Transition Policy for .COM, .NET, .JOBS](#)
- [Rules for Uniform Domain Name Dispute Resolution Policy](#)
- [WHOIS Data Reminder Policy](#)
- [Transfer Policy](#)
- Uniform Rapid Suspension System (URS) Rules
- Transfer Dispute Resolution Policy

Part 2: Updates to the policies and procedures that were impacted by the registration data consensus policy



Policies requiring update:

1. Additional Whois Information Policy (AWIP)
2. Expired Registration Recovery Policy (ERRP)
3. Protection of International Governmental Organization (IGO) and International Non-Governmental Organization (INGO) Identifiers in all gTLDs Policy.
4. Registry Registration Data Directory Services Consistent Labeling and Display (CL&D) Policy
5. Restored Names Accuracy Policy (RNAP)
6. Revised ICANN Procedure for Handling Whois Conflicts with Privacy Law
7. Thick Whois Transition Policy for .COM, .NET, and .JOBS
8. Transfer Form of Authorization (FOA) Confirmation of Registrar Transfer Request
9. Transfer FOA Initial Authorization for Registrar Transfer
10. Transfer Dispute Resolution Policy (TDRP)
11. Transfer Policy

(Review the Redlined Policy)

2. Updates to the policies and procedures that were impacted by the registration data consensus policy

Policies requiring update:

12. Uniform Domain Name Dispute Resolution Policy (UDRP).
13. UDRP Rules
14. Uniform Rapid Suspension System (URS) Procedure
15. URS Rules
16. URS High Level Technical Requirements for Registries and Registrars
17. Whois Data Reminder Policy (WDRP)
18. Whois Marketing Restriction Policy
19. New Advisory: Clarifications to the Registry and Registrar Requirements for Whois Data Directory Services
20. Registration Data Access Protocol (RDAP) Technical Implementation Guide
21. RDAP Response Profile

(Review the Redlined Policy)

3. Any Additional Comments

Additional feedback on this Public Comment Proceeding could be provided:

- In addition to completing the public comment form
- Instead of completing the public comment form

ALAC previous comments



- ALAC previous comments included:
 - The issue of Thick WHOIS
 - The issue of legal/natural differentiation
 - The issue of accuracy and WHOIS accuracy reporting system
 - Registrants contact emails (anonymized/pseudonymized)
 - The WHOIS directory service

- ALAC has not previously looked at all the policy updates required because of the impact of the new gTLD registration policy

Asks from the CPWG



Should ALAC:

- Provide a public comment?
- Complete the public comment form?
- Include an attachment Instead of completing the public comment form?
- Complete the public comment form and provide an attachment?

Recommendation:

Complete the Public Comment Form and Provide an attached comment if required

Questions?



Thank you