

PDP Improvements Tracker

	Proposed Improvement	Proposed Next Steps	Consideration Status	Comments	Assigned To	% Complete
1	CATEGORY I IMPROVEMENTS: Easy to implement and not requiring any changes to existing processes and/or procedures					
2	#1 Enable a process during the PDP to share relevant information and analysis on potential impacts to existing policies, to support consideration by PDP working groups (define this process as part of GDS liaison role) - Origin: Modifying Consensus Policies	Council to review Guidelines for GDS Liaisons to PDPs and determine whether anything further is needed to consider this improvement complete	Agreement to move forward with proposed next steps	Guidelines for GDS Liaisons to PDPs were shared with the GNSO Council during ICANN75 (see https://gns0.icann.org/en/council/guidelines-icann-gds-staff-liaisons-pdp-30sep22-en.pdf).	Council Council	
3	#2 The Council should consider sharing with the ICANN Board when certain items are expected to move from Council to Board to facilitate advance planning by the ICANN Board - Origin: Council SPS	Derive from the project list and ADR items expected to be delivered to the ICANN Board in the coming year. In combination with sharing its SPS report, the Council communicates to the Board which items are expected to be forwarded to the ICANN Board for its consideration during that year to allow the ICANN Board to anticipate as part of its planning when it may need to consider GNSO policy recommendations.	Agreement to move forward with proposed next steps	Note that this is also done through the sharing of announcements in relation to upcoming milestone publications, such as the recent webinar on the Transfers Initial Report.	GNSO Staff Support; GNSO Council	
4	#3 Following adoption of a PDP Final Report by the GNSO Council, schedule a meeting with the ICANN Board to allow the GNSO Council, with the assistance of the PDP Chair(s), to present the Final Report and recommendations to the ICANN Board and allow for Q & A. - Origin: Council SPS	An invitation has been sent to the ICANN Board to provide a briefing on the IGO Curative Rights EPDP recommendations. Proposed presentation / slides are to be shared in advance of the meeting with the Board to ensure that it is a neutral representation of the results and does not interfere with independent review. Following meeting, debrief with Council & GNSO appointed Board members to determine if this was helpful and/or whether it should be continued	Agreement to move forward with proposed next steps		Council & GNSO Appointed Board members	
5	CATEGORY II IMPROVEMENTS: Some effort to implement, but not requiring any changes to existing processes and procedures					
6	#4 Add to the charter template a general or specific provision / question regarding consideration of impact on existing consensus policies - Origin: Council SPS	Staff support team to create for Council review proposed addition for charter template that would highlight the expectation that a PDP WG is to consider the impact of its recommendation on existing consensus policies. GNSO Council to review proposed addition (note, the template can always be modified as needed to ensure that it is fit for purpose, including calling out specific existing policies that should be factored in)	Agreement to move forward with proposed next steps		GNSO Staff Support, GNSO Council	
7	#5 Include in the Final Report template a section to address any direct or indirect implications for existing policies, to support full consideration by the PDP working groups and the GNSO Council. This may include implementation guidance where appropriate. - Origin: Modifying Consensus Policies	Staff support team to include in the Final Report template a section to address any direct or indirect implications for existing Policies. Inclusion of this section by a PDP Team will be linked to any charter requirement in this regard. GNSO Council to review proposed addition to Final Report template	Agreement to move forward with proposed next steps		GNSO Staff Support	
8	#6 Consider if/how the role of the Board liaison of a PDP can contribute to more effective communication. This could, for example, be done by communicating clearly at the outset of a PDP the expected role of the Board liaison. - Origin: Council SPS	Staff support team to include link to Board Liaison guidelines in charter template so that if Board liaison is included as part of the charter, the role and expectations can be considered in the context of the review of the charter GNSO Council to review proposed update to charter template	Agreement to move forward with proposed next steps		GNSO Staff Support, GNSO Council	
9	CATEGORY III IMPROVEMENTS: Higher level of effort to implement and likely requiring changes to existing processes and procedures					
10	#7 Update CPIF to note that as part of implementing a new policy, ICANN org and the IRT review updates to other policies and incorporate as part of the implementation plan - Origin: Modifying Consensus Policies	Requests GDS to provide an indication of possible timing & consultation of such updates to the CPIF (note, last round of updates were made in 2018 and involved consultation with the GNSO Council / community – the communication should confirm that the expectation is that the same approach would be used).	Agreement to move forward with proposed next steps		Council leadership	
11	#8 Review of Policy & Implementation Recommendations - Origin: Review of Policy & Implementation Recommendations & Review IRT Liaison's role description - Origin: PDP 3.0 Parking Lot Item	Staff support team to provide further detail on what a policy status review (PSR) would be expected to cover and confirm that a PSR does not mean that a review is initiated but it allows Council to consider whether or not a review is appropriate, and what should be considered as part of a review, if initiated. GNSO Council to review PSR details and decide if/how to proceed.	Agreement to move forward with proposed next steps		GNSO Staff Support, GNSO Council	

Proposed Improvement	Proposed Next Steps	Consideration Status	Comments	Assigned To	% Complete
<p>#9 Review of the Operational Design Phase - Origin: ODP</p>	<p>Staff support team to develop set of questions straightforward survey that can be used to solicit input from the GNSO Council liaison to the SSAD ODP as well as the small team that was tasked to review the ODP to document its findings so that these can be shared when the review takes place after the second ODP completes.</p> <p>Council to review proposed questions and determine if these are to be circulated in the form of a survey or used to guide a meeting / interviewsurvey.</p> <p>Council leadership to notify the Council Liaison to the SubPro ODP that there will be the expectation to provide input on the experience with the ODP so that he can prepare and document his findings accordingly. Also consider how the input from others that have contributed to the ODP, such as the Council small team, can document their experience.</p>	<p>Agreement to move forward with proposed next steps</p>	<p>As envisaged, the ODP will become part of the generic top-level domain (gTLD) policy implementation lifecycle and eventually be incorporated into the Consensus Policy Implementation Framework (CPIF). Before modifying the CPIF, ICANN org will conduct a community consultation on the functionality of the ODP after a minimum of two ODPs have concluded, to ensure that the ODP operates effectively and fulfills the needs of the Board, the community, and ICANN org. The first ODP completed recently, with the second ODP, on the SubPro recommendations, currently scheduled to complete by October 2022. Note, this item is also linked to the above mentioned review of the CPIF.</p>	<p>GNSO Staff Support, Council, ODP Liaisons, Council leadership</p>	

12