

NCAP Discussion Group

Meeting #94

20 July 2022 at 19:00 – 20:00 UTC

Meeting wiki: <https://community.icann.org/x/FwBpD>

Discussion Group Members Matthew Thomas, James Galvin, Anne Aikman-Scalise, Barry Leiba, Jaap Akkerhuis, Jeff Schmidt, Thomas Barrett, Justine Chew	Observers None
Apologies Jennifer Bryce, Julie Hammer, Rod Rasmussen, Casey Deccio, Warren Kumari	ICANN Org Steve Sheng, Kathy Schnitt, Kinga Kowalczyk, Steven Kim
	Contractor Support Heather Flanagan

These high-level notes are designed to help NCAP Discussion Group members navigate through the content of the call. They are not meant to be a substitute for the recording or transcript accessed via this link:

https://icann.zoom.us/rec/share/uGHJCUBtZYvnB1seC1IA28t3MYMDraZDHw6DYZF_c-m2y0PUpKeWkVp0bMVEobj.atWm_rSL1c_5R4qD

NCAP Discussion Group action items and decision log:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1DE5lcOqFujazdw4_x5ii9vcBnsoskAUJnBee_HaVHn8/edit?usp=sharing

1. Welcome, roll call - Matt

See attendance record above. Jim noted that his employer has re-branded, although his role has not changed. He will update his SOI.

2. Project status and updates to any action items and decisions made:

- a. **1 action item(s) added to the log from last meeting (13 July): Discussion Group to address the name collision reporting function in the NCAP Study 2.**

Matt provided a recap of the current project schedule and encouraged team members to provide contributions to the [draft Study 2 document](#).

Jim noted that he and Matt have added a lot of text to the document, including a separate section for analysis, which is intended to capture key points from the meeting discussions, and separate sections for findings and for recommendations. Matt and Jim continue to add text to the document to support the findings and recommendations. Jim noted that the Discussion Group should have a full draft of text to review by the end of next week, which is behind schedule by one week.

3. Consensus call on the Perspective Study and Case Study documents – Matt

Matt confirmed that this item was achieved last week, and he has made the minor edits to the executive summary on the perspective study document. This item is considered closed. Matt noted, however, that a consensus call needs to be taken on the Root Cause Analysis document. This is an open action item.

4. Continue discussion on Workflow Table Exercises - Matt

Matt briefly walked the group through [the slides](#) from the workflow tabletop exercise, as discussed in the previous few meetings. The discussion focused on the Passive Collision Assessment (PCA) Ad Data, and Active Collision Assessment (ACA) elements. Discussion Group members raised some comments and questions, including:

- Jeff asked if the Discussion Group is suggesting that all the tests must be performed by the Technical Review Team, in all cases? Matt noted that PCA and ACA are always being implemented and tested on all strings as the default action unless there is a reason not to. The ad measurements are noted as additional tools. Jeff advocated that PCA, and ACA may not need to be performed in all cases as to not 'overprescribe' what the TRT should do.
- Jeff asked some questions regarding the terminology of ACA and PCA.
- Jeff elaborated on his comment regarding overprescribing referring to the Interisle and JAS reports and had some discussion with Jim around this. Jim noted that additional text will be added to the report and Jeff's points should be revisited to ensure everything is captured in the text.

In conclusion to the discussion, Matt asked that if people have other tabletop exercises they'd like to run through, to please share these with the group. Matt also noted that he will be forwarding some information that he received about the Ad Measurement to the group.

Jim and Matt will sync and decide about next week's meeting early in the week and let the Discussion Group know if there will be a regular DG meeting.

5. Summary of action items and decisions – Matt

Action item: Root Cause Analysis document is subject to consensus of the DG. The document will be circulated to the Discussion Group when Casey has it prepared.

Action item: Matt will forward some information to the Discussion Group regarding the ad measurements. Expect this later in the week or next week.

6. AOB

None.