

WHOIS Disclosure System Design Paper

Project Update with GNSO Council Small Team



10 August 2022

Agenda

- Intro
- Project Timeline
- Design Highlights
- Question to Small Team
- Q&A

Project Timeline

- **Week of 12 September**
 - Share the Design Document with the Small Team
- **17 September (1500-1600 & 1630-1730 local time)**
 - Present key features & system mockups with the Small Team
 - Q&A

WHOIS Disclosure System

- **Simplifies the process** for submitting and receiving requests for nonpublic gTLD registration data for both the requestors and contracted parties
 - Features for requestors to easily create and manage requests
 - Registrar features to effectively manage and process in-bound requests
- **Cost effective**
 - Simpler features allow system to be built quickly
 - Less costly to build and maintain the system
 - Utilization of existing ICANN systems

System Design Highlights

System Features

- Modeling off of CZDS
 - Utilization of what's available within ICANN
- System connects requestors and registrars
 - Registries are not envisioned to be system users
- System handles data requests for domains in gTLDs
 - Domains in ccTLDs and other non-contracted registries are out of scope
- Email verification
 - No further identity verification
- Any communications between requestors and registrars takes place outside of the system
 - i.e. Clarifying questions, additional documentation request, data disclosure, etc.
- No integration with registrars' systems

Other notable features

- Voluntary participation model with registrars
 - No policy or contracts to mandate participation
 - We are exploring how to encourage participation
- ICANN funded
 - No billing functions
- Compliance with data protection laws
 - Registrars make the data disclosure decisions

Are we aligned?

Design largely aligns with Small Team's Proof of Concept about what SSAD-related recommendations should be reflected in the *WHOIS Disclosure System*

Exception: Contracted Party behaviors

No policy or contractual clause to mandate a specific behavior from Registrars

Question to Small Team

The Proof of Concept in the [Preliminary Report](#) states for rec 4:

*“Necessary - Automated response to requestor once a form has been submitted, **informing of proof of concept approach** as well as confirming data processing / retention that will take place. ICANN org relays request to sponsoring Registrar.”*

Question:

What kind of information is expected to be displayed in this request submission acknowledgement?

?