NCAP Discussion Group Meeting #93 13 July 2022 at 19:00 – 20:00 UTC Meeting wiki:

https://community.icann.org/pages/viewpage.action?pageId=206143760

Discussion Group Members	Observers
Matthew Thomas, James Galvin, Anne Aikman-	None
Scalese, Barry Leiba, Geoff Huston, Japp Akkerhuis,	
Jeff Schmidt, Julie Hammer, Thomas Barrett,	ICANN Org
Suzanne Woolf, Justine Chew	Steve Sheng, Kathy Schnitt, Kinga Kowalczyk, Steven
	Kim
Apologies	
Jennifer Bryce, Rod Rasmussen, Matt Larson	Contractor Support
	Heather Flanagan, Casey Deccio

These high-level notes are designed to help NCAP Discussion Group members navigate through the content of the call. They are not meant to be a substitute for the recording or transcript accessed via this link:

https://icann.zoom.us/rec/share/Q3FzjAl3aF_IWVmdaE47AkKRRbOOjG-YWwntya1g8fomaUXDs-BDyJq8IW00rNWs.-uu fDLBW1rzeW9D

NCAP Discussion Group action items and decision log:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1DE5lcOqFujazdw4_x5ii9vcBnsoskAUJnBee_HaVHn8/edit?usp =sharing.

1. Welcome, roll call - Matt

See attendance record above.

2. Consensus call on the Perspective Study and Case Study documents – Matt

Matt reminded the Group that he will continue to review and accept any changes to the <u>Perspective</u> <u>Study</u> and <u>Case Study</u> suggested by the DG members. No one had any additional comments during the meeting.

3. Continue discussion on Workflow Table Exercises - Matt

Matt briefly walked the group through <u>the slides</u> summarizing what was discussed in the last meeting for those who did not attend the previous Discussion Group call. Comments and questions asked by the Discussion Group members during the meeting:

- Anne asked about the function of reviewing any collision incidents and whether this group would have to make a recommendation on what work report should be filed. Jim pointed out that the NCAP Study 2 won't suggest any requirements to implement by ICANN. Matt requested to add an action item to address the name collision reporting function in the NCAP Study 2.
- Anne had also a question about the necessity to provide a notification to the end user about a name collision incident.

4. Summary of action items and decisions – Matt

<u>Action item</u>: Discussion Group to document in the workflow the ways in which the workflow meets the relevant implementation guidance from the SubPro final report.

<u>Action item</u>: Discussion Group to consider providing implementation recommendation guidance in the report.

Action item: Discussion Group to address the name collision reporting function in the NCAP Study 2.

5. AOB

None.