

NCAP Discussion Group

Meeting #87

18 May 2022 at 19:00 – 20:00 UTC

Meeting wiki: <https://community.icann.org/x/FQPCCw>

Discussion Group Members James Galvin, Matthew Thomas, Rod Rasmussen, Julie Hammer, Suzanne Woolf, Tom Barrett, Anne Aikman-Scalese, Jeff Schmidt	Observers None
Apologies Warren Kumari	ICANN Org Matt Larson, Kathy Schnitt, Jennifer Bryce, Kinga Kowalczyk, Steve Sheng
	Contractor Support Casey Deccio, Heather Flanagan

These high-level notes are designed to help NCAP Discussion Group members navigate through the content of the call. They are not meant to be a substitute for the recording or transcript accessed via this link:

<https://icann.zoom.us/rec/share/ZKTArjRqty72LuKfLyureoxEz3Uv3ylmijDPzxOWxJ3Y0qIX6gE7hZ-PXu2Zks9Q.R5R3G-Ckkj1V8kR>

NCAP Discussion Group action items and decision log:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1DE5lcOqFujazdw4_x5ii9vcBnsoskAUJnBee_HaVHn8/edit?usp=sharing.

1. Welcome, roll call - Jim

See attendance record above. No SOIs provided.

2. Current status of the NCAP project; changes made to any action items – Jennifer

Jennifer recapped the action items and decisions updated from last meeting.

3. Continue discussions on the Study 2 [draft document](#) (see section: Controlled Interruption vs. Passive Collision Assessment) and on the Root Cause Analysis. Questions for discussion:

- a. Questions from Heather
- b. Is passive collision assessment really non-disruptive?
- c. What criteria can we offer that scopes high-risk labels?

Jim led a discussion around controlled interruption versus passive collision assessment. The discussion was focused on the effectiveness of controlled interruption. Casey stressed the importance of taking the findings from the Root Cause Analysis in context and not drawing conclusions about the effectiveness or otherwise of one single thing. Jeff Schmidt agreed and expressed that he believes it is unclear what the problem is that the Discussion Group is trying to solve with the new workflow, as there is even less data about the steps than about the actions taken in the 2012 round. He expressed the importance of understanding the trade-off of doing something new versus the effort. The discussion focused around these points for the remainder of the meeting, with other members expressing some alternative viewpoints.

The Discussion Group will pick up the discussion again next week.

4. Summary of action items and decisions – Jennifer

No discussion.

5. AOB

None raised.