NCAP Discussion Group Meeting #83

20 April 2022 at 19:00 - 20:00 UTC

Meeting wiki: https://community.icann.org/x/G4KpCw

Discussion Group Members	Observers
James Galvin, Warren Kumari, Barry Leiba, Geof	f
Huston, Jaap Akkerhuis, Jeff Schmidt, Julie Hami	mer, ICANN Org
Justine Chew, Rod Rasmussen, Suzanne Woolf, -	Tom Matt Larson, Kathy Schnitt, Steve Sheng, Kinga
Barrett	Kowalczyk, Jennifer Bryce
Apologies	Contractor Support
Matt Thomas, Anne Aikman-Scalese	Heather Flanagan, Casey Deccio

These high-level notes are designed to help NCAP Discussion Group members navigate through the content of the call. They are not meant to be a substitute for the recording or transcript accessed via this link:

https://icann.zoom.us/rec/share/7wVOqGB1aOlcgH1m5nIKrsKM6UJpkCgnJZbppKBOkMNEzt5ITHIOLQw9A9Y0K8hz.aoD8ejr29JOEgNsr

NCAP Discussion Group action items and decision log:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1DE5lcOqFujazdw4_x5ii9vcBnsoskAUJnBee_HaVHn8/edit?usp = sharing.

1. Welcome, roll call

See attendance record above. No SOIs provided.

2. Update from the Technical Investigator – Casey

Casey noted that he'd shared a draft of the root cause analysis to the Discussion Group on Monday, however he is making some updates and additions. Casey will share the updated draft with the Discussion Group in the coming days.

3. Current status of the NCAP project; restatement of summary of action items and decisions made from last meeting – Jennifer

Jennifer recapped the action items and decisions <u>captured</u> from last week. She noted several items on the <u>work plan</u>, including the upcoming ICANN meeting. Jim noted that, in addition to the planned working session, the Discussion Group may try to provide an update to the community during one of the SSAC slots, if it will be possible.

<u>Action item</u>: The Discussion Group may try to provide an update to the community during one of the SSAC slots at ICANN74, if it will be possible. Coordination to continue on this effort.

4. Continue discussion on the workflow, picking up from last week – Jim

Jim led a discussion on the workflow using the <u>slides</u>, which have not changed since the 13 April meeting. The group discussed several items including the following:

- The applicant's assessment of public data and what the risk is that the applicant is investigating. Jim noted that the Discussion Group should come up with some guidelines about how to determine what's high-risk, so that the applicant can know this in advance.
- The Discussion Group has to define what the Technical Review Team requirements are, their expectations and obligations.

5. Summary of action items and decisions – Jennifer

Jim noted that next week there will be a discussion on the response to public comments received on the NCAP study 2 documents, and continuation of the workflow discussion.

6. AOB

None raised.