



Work Stream 2 Community Coordination Group (WS2 CCG) Meeting #1

5 April 2022

Agenda

1

Introduction & WS2

2

WS2 CCG Background

3


**Update on Consultant for
Diversity Recommendations**

4

Topics for Discussion

Introduction & WS2


Community Directed Recommendations



WS2 CCG Background


Scope and Composition

- SO/AC Chairs agreed to form a **lightweight coordination group** in December 2021
- Serve as a **central point to exchange** best practices, lessons learned, and sharing information and progress
- Address topics that can **benefit from a uniform, community-wide approach**
- **No decision making authority**
- Each SO, AC, GNSO SG, RALO to **appoint one (1) member**; may appoint one (1) alternative
 - Except ASO, all groups have completed their process of appointing members
- Operate in a **transparent** fashion



Timeline & Scope for Consulting Services

Timeline & Scope for Consulting Services




Topics for Discussion

Topics for Discussion

Meeting arrangements

- Role of Members, Alternates and Observers
- Need for a Chair
- Discussion on meeting frequency and timing
- Transparency and note-taking

Sequence of work



Questions or AOB

Backup Slides

Scope of Work

Rec 1 Diversity (1.1, 1.7)

Rec 1.1 Seven Proposed Elements of Diversity

SO/AC/Groups should agree that the following seven key elements of diversity should be used as a common starting point for all diversity considerations within ICANN: Geographical / Regional Representation; Language; Gender; Age; Physical Disability; Diverse Skills; Stakeholder Group or Constituency

Rec 1.7 Process for Handling Complaints about Diversity

ICANN staff should support SO/AC/Groups in developing and publishing a process for dealing with diversity-related complaints and issues.

Rec 2 Guidelines (2.3)

Non-Mandatory

Rec 2.3 Standalone Framework for Exercising Empowered Community Powers

2.3.1 A standard framework be developed and used to raise the issue of Board removal to the respective body – either the specific SO/AC who appointed the member or the Decisional Participant in the case of a NomCom appointee. The framework would be in the context of developing a broader framework for implementing community powers and entering into the discussions contemplated by WS1. This framework could be developed by a new group specifically formed for that purpose.

2.3.2 Implement the guidelines as a community best practice to apply to all discussions even if not covered by the indemnities contemplated under Article 20. There may be discussions around rejecting a budget or rejecting a proposed standard Bylaw that would benefit from a good faith process. The guidelines for engaging discussions around Board removal could be adopted as a universal standard given that they are broad enough to encompass any discussion.

Rec 3 Human Rights

Rec 3 Human Rights Framework

Recommends the adoption of the Framework of Interpretation it developed for the ICANN Bylaws dealing with Human Rights, which can be found in Annex 3.