

NCAP Discussion Group

Meeting #79

23 March 2022 at 19:00 – 20:00 UTC

Meeting wiki: <https://community.icann.org/x/FxQiCw>

Discussion Group Members

James Galvin, Geoff Huston, Jeff Schmidt, Justine Chew, Suzanne Woolf, Tom Barrett, Julie Hammer, Anne Aikman-Scalèse

Apologies

Matt Thomas

Observers

Jim Prendergast

ICANN Org

Matt Larson, Kathy Schnitt, Jennifer Bryce, Steve Sheng, Kinga Kowalczyk

Contractor Support

Heather Flanagan, Casey Deccio

These high-level notes are designed to help NCAP Discussion Group members navigate through the content of the call. They are not meant to be a substitute for the recording or transcript accessed via this link: https://icann.zoom.us/rec/play/Qn-l69AH49NGYNzBla5qUtQ-6cOD4_NEnRagImuBVxq88-9gmSBKu3zh4SZ7wN-Airrsik4Dfo0Oel3O.kl4aCUaQYpXm6gri?startTime=1648062155000.

NCAP Discussion Group action items and decision log:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1DE5lcOqFujazdw4_x5ii9vcBnsoskAUJnBee_HaVHn8/edit?usp=sharing.

1. Welcome, roll call

See attendance record above. No SOIs provided.

2. Update from the Technical Investigator – Casey

Casey presented several slides to provide an update on his work (slides to be added to the wiki). In the past week he analyzed a subset of ASN-to-suffix mappings and was able to find contact information for around 50 ASN-subset mappings. Casey is working with ICANN org to determine the right process for reaching out to these contacts to learn more about their experience as a next step. He has modified the [draft form](#) for outreach that would be used to collect further information, as shared last week.

Action item: Casey to share the slides he presented today to be included as part of the meeting materials on the wiki.

3. Current status of the NCAP project; restatement of summary of action items and decisions made from last meeting – Jennifer

Jennifer noted the public comment period on the 'Case Study' and 'Perspective Study of DNS Queries for Non-Existent Top-Level Domains' closed on 18 March. Three comments were received and the staff summary report is in progress. In an upcoming meeting the Discussion Group should review the public comments and discuss how each should be responded to. Heather has prepared a [tool](#) for this work. Jennifer also provided an update on the action items in the [tracker](#).

Action item: Discussion Group should review the public comments received on the 'Case Study' and 'Perspective Study of DNS Queries for Non-Existent Top-Level Domains' and record responses in the tool that Heather has created, to form an appendix of the draft NCAP Study 2 report.

4. Review 'Section 5: Analysis and Findings' in the [draft report](#) – Heather

The Discussion Group reviewed the 'Controlled Interruption vs. Active Collision Assessment' table on pages 18-19. The group had a discussion and Geoff Huston provided some language to inform the table, which Heather will incorporate. The group also discussed the 'Critical Diagnostic Measurement' section on page 20. Jim proposed that next week the group pick up the discussion, beginning with some suggested requirements for Enhanced Controlled Interruption. The writing team will provide some text for the group to respond to and discuss during the next meeting.

Action item: Next week the group should pick up the discussion on 'Analysis and Findings', beginning with some suggested requirements for Enhanced Controlled Interruption. The writing team will provide some text for the group to respond to and discuss.

5. Summary of action items and decisions made – Jennifer

Action item: Casey to share the slides he presented today to be included as part of the meeting materials on the wiki.

Action item: Discussion Group should review the public comments received on the 'Case Study' and 'Perspective Study of DNS Queries for Non-Existent Top-Level Domains' and record responses in the tool that Heather has created, to form an appendix of the draft NCAP Study 2 report.

Action item: Next week the group should pick up the discussion on 'Analysis and Findings', beginning with some suggested requirements for Enhanced Controlled Interruption. The writing team will provide some text for the group to respond to and discuss.

6. AOB

None raised.