

# IGO EPDP UPDATE

- Agreement of the process in principle (flow chart)
- Wordsmithing continues
- Work plan: Stable draft 28 Feb, final consensus 28 March, draft submitted to GNSO 4 April

IGO Complainant (as defined in draft Rec #1) files UDRP/URS. Per draft Rec #3, the IGO Complainant is exempt from rules requirements to submit to Mutual Jurisdiction, but shall agree to binding arbitration to resolve a challenge to the panel determination, if respondent agrees.

Domain name locked

Proceeding formally initiated: forward copy of Complaint to Respondent (with response due in 14 calendar days)

Per draft Rec #3, Respondent is informed of 1) right to challenge in court and 2) that IGO Complainant may assert privileges and immunities.

Examiner(s) appointed

Panel carries out review, taking into account draft Rec 1.

Examiner finds in favor of the Complainant, domain name is immediately suspended.

Registrar waits 10 days before implementing decision, or stays implementation if within that period court or arbitration is initiated.

