

Health	Task Name	Current Duration	Current Start	Current Finish	Predecessors	% Complete	Status	Baseline Start	Baseline Finish	2021				2022				2023				2024				2025				2026			
										Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
1	● EPDP: Internationalized Domain Names	1262d	05/20/21	03/20/26		29%	In Progress	05/20/21	08/18/23																								
2	● PROJECT CONTROL	1233d	05/20/21	02/09/26		48%	In Progress	05/20/21	07/10/23																								
3	Project start confirmed	0	05/20/21	05/20/21		100%	Complete	05/20/21	05/20/21																								
4	Adopt/Confirm charter	0	05/20/21	05/20/21	3	100%	Complete	05/20/21	05/20/21																								
5	Confirmation of Team	60d	05/20/21	08/11/21	3	100%	Complete	05/20/21	08/11/21																								
6	Appointment of Team Chair	46d	05/20/21	07/22/21	3	100%	Complete	05/20/21	07/22/21																								
7	Appointment of Liaison to GNSO Council	46d	05/20/21	07/22/21	3	100%	Complete	05/20/21	07/22/21																								
8	Chair / Staff preparations	13d	07/23/21	08/10/21	6	100%	Complete	07/23/21	08/10/21																								
9	● Project Management	1187d	07/23/21	02/09/26		41%	In Progress	07/23/21	07/10/23																								
10	Develop and confirm Project Plan	50d	07/23/21	09/30/21	6	100%	Complete	07/23/21	09/30/21																								
11	Obtain project plan approval from GNSO Council	20d	10/01/21	10/28/21	10	100%	Complete	10/01/21	10/28/21																								
12	● Develop monthly Project Packages and deliver to Group & Council	740d	10/29/21	08/29/24	11	35%	In Progress	10/29/21	03/30/23																								
13	● Leadership Sub team	810d	07/23/21	08/29/24		41%	In Progress	07/23/21	03/30/23																								
14	● On-going preparation and planning of group activities	810d	07/23/21	08/29/24	6	41%	In Progress	07/23/21	03/30/23																								
15	● Close project and transition project to implementation	1d	02/09/26	02/09/26	14, 179	0%		07/10/23	07/10/23																								
16	● GROUP DELIBERATIONS	1102d	08/11/21	10/30/25		33%	In Progress	08/11/21	03/16/23																								
17	First meeting of Team	1d	08/11/21	08/11/21	8	100%	Complete	08/11/21	08/11/21																								
18	Understand Charter, organize materials and develop initial approach	10d	08/11/21	08/24/21	8	100%	Complete	08/11/21	08/24/21																								
19	Overview & initial discussion of all Policy Topics	10d	08/11/21	08/24/21	8	100%	Complete	08/11/21	08/24/21																								
20	Develop definitions and terminology	28d	08/11/21	09/17/21	8	100%	Complete	08/11/21	09/17/21																								
21	Input from other SO/ACs & GNSO SG/Cs	58d	09/09/21	11/29/21		100%	Complete	09/09/21	12/01/21																								
22	Develop template for input	15d	09/09/21	09/29/21		100%	Complete	09/09/21	09/29/21																								
23	Announce input opportunity	30d	09/30/21	11/10/21	22	100%	Complete	09/30/21	11/10/21																								
24	Collect and collate input in to Review Tool	8d	11/11/21	11/22/21	23	100%	Complete	11/11/21	11/17/21																								
25	Group to review input and determine relevance to policy deliberations on issues within scope	5d	11/23/21	11/29/21	24	100%	Complete	11/18/21	12/01/21																								
26	Phase 1 Deliberations	403d	08/25/21	03/10/23		64%	In Progress																										
27	● Group 1: Definition of All gTLDs Using RZ-LGR	127d	08/25/21	02/17/22		99%	In Progress	08/25/21	11/02/21																								
28	Understand topic and determine need to deliberate	5d	08/25/21	08/31/21	18	100%	Complete	08/25/21	08/31/21																								
29	Deliberate policy considerations and determine approach	5d	08/25/21	08/31/21	18	100%	Complete	08/25/21	08/31/21																								
30	● Deliberate policy issues	112d	09/01/21	02/03/22	29	97%	In Progress	09/01/21	10/19/21																								
31	a1) Evaluating all TLDs using RZ-LGR as the one and only authoritative source allows for a consistent approach for reviewing current and future TLDs. The SubPro PDP, the Staff Paper, and the Study Group on Technical Use of RZ-LGR ("TSG") recommend that compliance with RZ-LGR (RZ-LGR-4, and any future RZ-LGR versions) must be required for the validation of all future gTLDs (including IDN and ASCII labels) and the calculation of their variant labels as a matter of policy, including the determination of whether the disposition of the label should be blocked or allocatable. For existing delegated gTLD labels, does the WG recommend using the RZ-LGR as the sole source to calculate the variant labels and disposition values?	112d	09/01/21	02/03/22	29	100%	Complete	09/01/21	10/19/21																								
32	a2) Before the proposed RZ-LGR mechanism, applications for IDN gTLDs have asked the applicant to identify and list any variant labels (based on their own calculations) corresponding to the applied-for string. The self-identified "variant" labels do not have legal standing, as "[d]eclaring variant strings is informative only and will not imply any right or claim to the declared variant strings."The TSG recommends that the self-identified "variant" labels which are also variant labels calculated by RZ-LGR will need to be assigned a variant disposition based on RZ-LGR calculation, as discussed in a1). If some self-identified "variant" TLD labels by the former gTLD applicants are not found consistent with the calculation of the RZ-LGR, but have been used to certain extent (e.g., used to determine string contention sets), how should such labels be addressed in order to conform to the LGR Procedure and RZ-LGR calculations? Consider this question by taking into account the data to be collected in the "Data and Metric Requirements" section of this charter.	112d	09/01/21	02/03/22	29	100%	Complete	09/01/21	10/19/21																								
33	a3) SubPro PDP recommends that ICANN establish a mechanism that allows specific parties to challenge or appeal certain types of actions or inactions that appear to be inconsistent with the Applicant Guidebook.SubPro PDP recommends that such a limited challenge/appeal mechanism applies to several types of evaluations and formal objections decisions, including the DNS Stability aspect of evaluation/challenge procedures. Previously, both the SSAC and TSG also recommended a challenge process for resolving disagreement with the RZ-LGR calculation on certain strings. If an applied-for TLD label, whose script is supported by the RZ-LGR, is determined to be "invalid", is there a reason NOT to use the evaluation challenge processes recommended by SubPro? If so, rationale must be clearly stated. If SubPro's recommendation on the evaluation challenge process should be used, what are the criteria for filing such a challenge? Should any additional specific implementation guidance be provided, especially pertaining to the challenge to the LGR calculation as it can have a profound, decimating impact on the use of RZ-LGR?	112d	09/01/21	02/03/22	29	100%	Complete	09/01/21	10/19/21																								











