



IGO Complainant (as defined in Rec #1) files UDRP/URS. Per Rec #2, the IGO Complainant is exempt from requirements to submit to Mutual Jurisdiction, but shall agree to binding arbitration to resolve a challenge to the panel determination, if respondent also agrees.

Domain name locked

Proceeding formally initiated: forward copy of Complaint to respondent (with response due in 14 calendar days)

Per Rec #2, respondent is informed of 1) right to challenge in court 2) that IGO Complainant may assert privileges and immunities and 3) option to file arbitration at in any time, including in lieu of court proceedings

Examiner(s) appointed

Panel carries out review, taking into account Rec #1.

Examiner finds in favor of...

Domain is unlocked

Per Rec #3 / #4, in communicating decision, respondent informed of availability to challenge decision in court and/or participate in binding arbitration. Respondent also informed of arbitral rules.

For UDRP, Registrar waits 10 days before implementing decision, or stays implementation if within that period court or arbitration is initiated.  
For URS, domain name is suspended immediately.

Respondent initiates court proceedings or selects arbitration or does not respond?

If the court instead decides the case on its merits, decision carried out accordingly

Arbitration  
Per Rec #3 / #4, parties mutually agree to binding arbitration.

Court  
Court declines to hear merits of case

Agrees to arbitration?

Per Rec #5, arbitration conducted in accordance with law as mutually agreed; if unable to agree, then IGO Complainant's choice of registrar or respondent law. If neither law provides suitable cause of action, arbitral tribunal to determine.

Decision carried out by registrar accordingly

No response