



IGO Complainant (as defined in Rec #1) files UDRP/URS. Per Rec #2, the IGO Complainant is exempt from requirements to submit to Mutual Jurisdiction, but shall agree to binding arbitration to resolve a challenge to the panel determination, if respondent also agrees.

Domain name locked

Proceeding formally initiated: forward copy of Complaint to respondent (with response due in 14 calendar days)

Per Rec #2, respondent is informed of 1) right to challenge in court 2) that IGO Complainant may assert privileges and immunities and 3) option to file arbitration at in any time, including in lieu of court proceedings

Examiner(s) appointed

Panel carries out review, taking into account Rec #1.

Examiner finds in favor of the Complainant, domain name is immediately suspended.

Per Rec #3 / #4, in communicating decision, where panel finds in favor of the IGO Complainant, respondent informed of availability to challenge decision in court and/or participate in binding arbitration. respondent also informed of arbitral rules.

Registrar waits 10 days before implementing decision, or stays implementation if within that period court or arbitration is initiated.

respondent initiates court proceedings or selects arbitration or does not respond?

Arbitration

Court

If the court instead decides the case on its merits, decision carried out accordingly

No response

Court declines to hear merits of case

Per Rec #3 / #4, parties mutually agree to binding arbitration.

Agrees to arbitration?

Per Rec #5, arbitration conducted in accordance with law as mutually agreed; if unable to agree, then IGO Complainant's choice of registrar or respondent law. If neither law provides suitable cause of action, arbitral tribunal to determine.

Decision carried out by registrar accordingly