**Recommendation #3: Exemption from Agreement to Submit to Mutual Jurisdiction for IGO Complainants**

1. **In relation to the UDRP**: The EPDP team recommends that an IGO Complainant (as defined under Recommendation #1, above) be exempt from the requirement to state that it will “submit, with respect to any challenges to a decision in the administrative proceeding canceling or transferring the domain name, to the jurisdiction of the courts in at least one specified Mutual Jurisdiction”.
2. **In relation to the URS:** The EPDP team recommends that an IGO Complainant (as defined under Recommendation #1, above) be exempt from the requirement to state that it will “submit, with respect to any challenges to a determination in the URS proceeding, to the jurisdiction of the courts in at least one specified Mutual Jurisdiction”.

**Suggested Amendment from BC (07 Jan 2022):**

A Respondent may challenge a decision (from the administrative proceeding canceling or transferring the domain name) in a court in at least one Mutual Jurisdiction specified by the Complainant in the Complaint, however in such a court proceeding an IGO may raise its claimed privileges and immunities. For greater clarity, nothing in this provision abrogates or diminishes an IGO’s right to claim privileges and immunities as a defense to a challenged administrative proceeding's decision, nor does the IGO’s agreement to this provision constitute a waiver of any of its claimed privileges and immunities.

**UDRP Rules MJ Definition:**

Mutual Jurisdiction means a court jurisdiction at the location of either (a) the principal office of the Registrar (provided the domain-name holder has submitted in its Registration Agreement to that jurisdiction for court adjudication of disputes concerning or arising from the use of the domain name) or (b) the domain-name holder's address as shown for the registration of the domain name in Registrar's Whois database at the time the complaint is submitted to the Provider.

3(b)(xiii)

State that Complainant will submit, with respect to any challenges to a decision in the administrative proceeding canceling or transferring the domain name, to the jurisdiction of the courts in at least one specified Mutual Jurisdiction;