
IGO Complainant (as defined in draft Rec #1) files UDRP/URS. Per draft Rec #3, the IGO Complainant is exempt from rules requirements to submit to Mutual Jurisdiction, but shall agree to binding arbitration to resolve a challenge to the panel determination, if respondent agrees.
Domain name locked
Proceeding formally initiated: forward copy of Complaint to Respondent (with response due in 14 calendar days)
Per draft Rec #3, Respondent is informed of 1) right to challenge in court and 2) that IGO Complinant may assert privileges and immunities.
Examiner(s) appointed
Panel carries out review, taking into account draft Rec 1.
Examiner finds in favor of the Complainant, domain name is immediately suspended.
Per draft rec #4 / #5, in communicating decision, Respondent informed of availability to challenge decision in court and/or participate in binding arbitration. Respondent also informed of arbitral rules.
Registrar waits 10 days before implementing decision, or stays implemention if within that period court or arbitration is initiated.
Losing registrant initiates court proceedings *or* selects arbitration *or* does not respond?
Arbitration
Court
No response
If the court instead decides the case on its merits, decision carried out accordingly
Court declines to hear merits of case
Agrees to arbitration?
Yes
No
Per draft Rec #4 / #5, parties mutually agree to binding arbitration.
Per draft Rec #6, arbitration conducted in accordance with law as mutually agreed; if unable to agree, then IGO Complainant's choice of registrar or respondent law. If neither law provides suitable cause of action, arbitral tribunal to determine.
Decision carried out by registrar accordingly