# NCAP Discussion Group Meeting #64 3 November 2021 – 19:00 – 20:00 UTC

| Discussion Group Members                      | Observers                                    |
|---|--|
| Julie Hammer, Warren Kumari, Ram Mohan,       | Jim Prendergast                              |
| Anne E. Aikman-Scalese, Barry Leiba, Jaap     |  |
| Akkerhuis, John Kristoff, Jothan Frakes,      | ICANN Org                                    |
| Matthew Thomas, Jim Galvin, Justine Chew, Rod | Matt Larson, Kinga Kowalczyk, Kathy Schnitt, |
| Rasmussen                                     | Steve Sheng, Jennifer Bryce                  |
|   |  |
| Apologies                                     | Contractor Support                           |
| Tom Barrett                                   | Heather Flanagan, Casey Deccio               |

These high-level notes are designed to help NCAP Discussion Group members navigate through the content of the call. They are not meant to be a substitute for the recording or transcript accessed via this link:

https://icann.zoom.us/rec/share/Zzbk\_QitfgCKAvgS5dZUzlSwe5JivBcs1pDzobppNZVNNR-DtYh0O2nIGspTHSOF.Zvx1IuFa-tl3Jpsp

### 1. Welcome, roll call

See attendance record above. The following Discussion Group members provided updates to their Statement of Interest (SOIs):

- Jim Galvin noted he has officially been seated to the ICANN Board as SSAC Liaison.
- Justine Chew noted she has completed her two-year term as an ALAC member and has taken up two new appointments: Vice-Chair of the GNSO EPDP on IDNs, and ALAC Liaison to the GNSO Council.

## 2. Update from the Technical Investigator – Casey Deccio

Casey noted he's finished up the last part of the WPAD.domain.name analysis and highlighted some of his key findings from this work for the group. As a next step, Casey will wait for the admin group to give the go-ahead and then he'll share the draft report in its current state with the wider group.

## 3. Current status of the NCAP project – Jennifer Bryce

Jennifer highlighted in the <u>project plan</u> the target completion dates for items that the Discussion Group is working on. Matt Thomas provided an update on the progress of the Impact and Data Sensitivity Analysis, noting that more data is forthcoming which may change some of the thinking, and as such could delay the delivery of the draft report. Jim noted this would likely not affect the overall timeline for Study 2.

## 4. Name Collision Analysis – Capture a Picture of the Collisions

Jim led a discussion on the Proposed Name Collision Analysis workflow using these <u>slides</u>, picking up from where the group left off before ICANN72. The discussion was high-level, with

the plan for the next meeting to dig further into the details on some of the points. Some key points from the draft workflow discussion and areas the group should think about include:

- With regard to the available data, Matt Larson noted that his team is happy to consider other ways to display the data. Jim noted the group will expand the details of what its critical diagnostic measurements are to be included in the final work product and suggested it could be useful for someone from Matt's team to join a future Discussion Group meeting.
- Based on the group's discussion to date, three different pictures of collisions might be captured:
  - Picture 1 as part of application
  - Picture 2 after controlled interruption
  - Picture 3 after honeypot, if that occurs? (currently, the group is using the term "honeypot" in a broad sense, but the group should talk about this and make a determination as to what exactly it believes should be collected and why).
- Actual data and what has to happen after the data is captured, including questions for the group to think about, such as:
  - Are we going to expect applicants to be able to find their own technical expertise for the assessment, or could assistance be available?
  - Whether or not the Technical Review Team could be helpful to the applicant?
  - What questions should go in the assessment?
  - For pictures 2 and 3, what analysis might be done?
  - Could there be predictability parameters, and what might those be?
- Items that could be included in the Board package for review, and ways in which these might differ from the last round.

## 5. AOB

- Kinga noted she will be out for 12 weeks and as such Jennifer will be lead support for the NCAP Discussion Group with the help of other ICANN org staff.
- Jim confirmed the Discussion Group meetings will continue to be at 19:00 UTC after the end of daylight savings time in several parts of the world.